

SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO X:
An Analysis of 2011 Data from The New Mexico
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository



Developed by Betty Caponera, Ph.D.

Funded by:

New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission — Violence Against Women Act Grants Office,
Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Dept. of Justice; State of New Mexico: Human Services Department -
Behavioral Health Services Division, and the Department of Health — Office of Injury Prevention
Through the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.

December 2012

New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository

3909 Juan Tabo NE, Suite 6

Albuquerque, NM 87111

Phone (505) 883-8020

Fax (505) 883-7530

email: nmcsap@swcp.com

Letter from the Director...

In 2012, the Central Repository obtained 2011 data for the first time from the Administrative Office of the Courts which houses centralized data from statewide district courts. Information obtained includes new sexual assault charges and cases filed for the year, sexual assault charges and cases disposed for the year, all disposition outcomes by sexual assault charge and case, and sentencing information.

An analysis of this data found that there typically are numerous sexual assault charges per case. Two-thirds (68%) of sexual assault charges or half (48%) of sexual assault cases disposed in statewide district courts were dismissed in 2011 and these percentages do not include cases bound over/transferred, conditional discharges, remands, or other dispositions that resulted from some prosecution actions. As 56% of the dismissed charges include serious sexual assault (criminal sexual penetration, 21%), and/or sexual assault against children (criminal sexual penetration of a minor, 16% and criminal sexual contact of a minor, 19%), greater oversight is warranted to identify the reasons for the dismissals of these charges (especially those perpetrated against children) at the prosecution and judicial levels.

As is customary, findings from the previous year (2011) Central Repository sexual assault data from statewide SANE Programs and law enforcement and service provider agencies are presented; and in Section Three, you can see at a glance, county trends on 14 important sex crime variables.

On behalf of myself, the New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission, Violence Against Women Grants Office, the Department of Health Behavioral Health Services Division and the Injury and Epidemiology Bureau, Office of Injury Prevention, we thank you for your continued commitment to sexual assault surveillance, and to the health and safety of sexual assault victims and their families.

Sincerely,



Betty Caponera, Ph.D.
Director

SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO X:

An Analysis of 2011 Data from The New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository

*Developed by Betty Caponera, Ph.D.
For the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.*

“This project was supported by Grant No. 2011-WF-AX-0021 or awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this publication/program/exhibition are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.”

Funded by:

New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission — Violence Against Women Act Grants Office, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Dept. of Justice; State of New Mexico: Human Services Department - Behavioral Health Services Division, and the Department of Health — Office of Injury Prevention
Through the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.

DECEMBER 2012

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to formally acknowledge the host of dedicated professionals who have lent their invaluable expertise to this surveillance initiative.

Program Guidance and Funding:

- Kim Alaburda, Executive Director, New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs
- Connie Monahan, SANE Program Statewide Coordinator
- Sheila Allen, State of New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission, VAWA Program Manager
- Kristy Ring, Director, State of New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission
- Vicki Nakagawa, Program Manager, Department of Health, Office of Injury Prevention

Data Systems and Analysis:

- Rayo McCullough
- Jenna Yanez, Administrative Office of the Courts, Domestic Violence, Dating, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Staff Attorney
- Renee Casio, Administrative Office of the Courts, Information Technology Support Manager
- Bob Jackson, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Information Division

Report Publication:

- Kim Alaburda, Distribution, New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs
- Lisa Meyer, Graphics and Design, New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs
- Kathleen Donlin, New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs

** A special thanks to the chiefs, sheriff's, marshals, and records department staff of all the participating law enforcement agencies; executive directors and staff of the sexual assault service providers and SANE programs; and all data entry staff of the individual law enforcement agencies and district courts without whom this report would not be possible.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
➤ LETTER FROM THE DIRECTOR	
➤ ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
➤ FACT SHEET	
➤ EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
➤ SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO REPORT:	
I. INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION ONE: Analysis of 2011 Sex Crimes Data from the Central Repository	
I. ABOUT THE CENTRAL REPOSITORY	1
II. LAW ENFORCEMENT REPORTED SEX CRIMES	
A. Definitions	2
B. Law Enforcement Reported Incidence of Sexual Assault	4
C. Characteristics of Criminal Sexual Penetration Cases	5
1. Victim and Offender Gender	5
2. Victim and Offender Age	5
3. Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity	6
4. Victim/Offender Relationship	6
5. Weapon Use and Injury	7
6. Alcohol/Drug Use	8
7. Children Witnesses to Criminal Sexual Penetration	9
8. Suspect Arrests Resulting from Sexual Assault	9
D. Characteristics of Non-Penetration Sex Crimes	9
1. Victim and Offender Gender	10
2. Victim and Offender Age	10
3. Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity	10
4. Weapon Use and Injury	11
5. Alcohol/Drug Use	11
6. Children Witnesses to Non-Penetration Sex Crimes	11
7. Suspect Arrests in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes	12
III. SEXUAL ASSAULT SERVICE PROVIDERS AND NEW CLIENTS SERVED	12
A. Survivor Demographics	
1. Gender of Survivor	12
2. Age of Survivor at Time of Current Sexual Assault	12
3. Age of Survivor at Presentation for Therapy	15
4. Survivor History of Prior Sexual Assault/Abuse	16
5. Race/Ethnicity of Survivor	17
6. Survivor Disability	18
B. Offender Demographics	
1. Gender of the Offender	20
2. Age of Offender	20

	<u>Page</u>
3. Offender Race/Ethnicity	20
C. Sexual Offense Characteristics	
1. Type of Sexual Offense	22
2. Survivor/Offender Relationship	23
3. Number of Offenders Involved Per Sexual Assault	26
4. Type of Coercion Used	26
5. Use of Alcohol/Drugs	27
6. Location of Sexual Offenses	28
7. Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault	29
8. Sexually Transmitted Disease and Pregnancy	30
9. Reported Sexual Assault	30
10. Medical Treatment Sought	31
11. Rape Kit Evidence Collection	32
12. Accessing Services	33
13. Reasons for Seeking Services	34
IV. SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINER (SANE) PROGRAMS	
A. Overview of SANE	36
B. SANE Program Findings	
1. Patient Gender	36
2. Patient Age	36
3. Patient Race/Ethnicity	37
4. Patient Disability	38
5. Offender Gender and Age	38
C. Offense Characteristics	
1. Victim/Offender Relationship	39
2. Number of Offenders	40
3. Type of Coercion	40
4. Location of Sexual Offenses	44
5. Patient Injury	45
D. SANE Programs Service Characteristics	
1. Referral Source	46
2. Evidence Collection	46
3. Assessment Services	48
4. Reports to Law Enforcement	48
5. SANE Referrals to Other Sources	48
SECTION TWO: IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS	58
TABLES	66
APPENDICES	107
SECTION THREE: COUNTY TRENDS TABLES, 2007-2011	135

FIGURES

<u>Figures</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Percent Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes	4
2	Victim and Offender Age as Reported by Law Enforcement	5
3	Comparison of Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity in Criminal Sexual Penetration Cases as Reported by Law Enforcement to State of New Mexico Racial/Ethnic Composition	6
4	Victim/Offender Relationship in Criminal Sexual Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County as Reported by Law Enforcement	7
5	Using Party in Rapes that Involve Alcohol/Drug Use	8
6	Age of Children Present at Criminal Sexual Penetration Cases, as Reported by Law Enforcement	9
7	Victim and Offender Age in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County, as Reported by Law Enforcement	10
8	Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County as Reported by Law Enforcement, 2011	11
9	Age of Children Present at Law Enforcement Reported Non-Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County	11
10	Age of Survivor at Time of Most Recent Sexual Assault as Reported by Service Providers	13
11	Age of Survivors at Time of Most Recent Sexual Assault, by Gender, as Reported by Service Providers	13
12	Comparison of Victims' Ages by Gender in Criminal Sexual Penetration Crimes as Reported by Service Providers	14
13	Comparison of Victims' Ages by Gender in Non-Penetration Sexual Assaults as Reported by Service Providers	14
14	Age of Survivor at Presentation of Therapy	15
15	Age of Survivor at Presentation of Therapy, by Gender	15
16	Time Lapse from Time of Victimization to Time Seeking Therapy Among Sexual Assault Victims, by Gender	16
17	A Comparison of Age at Time of Prior Assault Between Victims of Ongoing Sexual Abuse and Victims Who Experienced an Isolated Prior Event, as Reported by Service Providers	16
18	Survivor Race/Ethnicity and State of New Mexico Racial/Ethnic Composition as Reported by Service Providers	17
19	Male Criminal Sexual Penetration Victims by Age and Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	17
20	Female Criminal Sexual Penetration Victims by Age and Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	18

<u>Figures</u>	<u>Page</u>
21 Sexual Assault Among Persons with Disability as Reported by Service Providers	18
22 Percent of Child, Adolescent, and Adult Rape Victims with a Disability	19
23 Criminal Sexual Penetration Victims with a Disability by Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	19
24 Offender Age as Reported by Service Providers	20
25 Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers and State of New Mexico Racial/Ethnic Composition	21
26 Percent Offenders of Each Race/Ethnicity That Are the Same Race/Ethnicity as Their Sexual Assault Victims	21
27 Type of Sexual Offense as Reported by Service Providers	22
28 Type of Criminal Sexual Penetration as Reported by Service Providers	22
29 Type of Offense, by Victim Gender, as Reported by Service Providers	23
30 Stranger-Perpetrated Sexual Assaults by Survivor Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	23
31 Number of Known "Related" Offenders by Relationship Category, as Reported by Service Providers	24
32 Number of Known "Non-Related" Offenders as Reported by Service Providers	25
33 Type of Coercion Used as Reported by Service Providers	26
34 Type of Coercion Used by Survivor Age as Reported by Service Providers	27
35 Type of Coercion by Survivor Gender as Reported by Service Providers	27
36 Survivor Use of Alcohol/Drugs by Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	28
37 Location of Sexual Offenses as Reported by Service Providers	29
38 Reported Sexual Assaults by Type of Agency Notified, as Reported by Service Providers	30
39 Percent of Sexual Assaults Not Reported, by Race/Ethnicity of Survivor, as Reported by Service Providers	31
40 Medical Treatment Sought by Victim Age as Reported by Service Providers	31
41 Percent Seeking Medical Treatment by Survivor Race/Ethnicity, as Reported by Service Providers	32
42 Percent Rape Kit Evidence Collection by Survivor Race/Ethnicity, as Reported by Service Providers	33
43 Rape Kit Evidence Collection Among Rape Victims, by Age and Gender as Reported by Service Providers	33
44 How Survivors Hear About Sexual Assault Services	34

<u>Figures</u>	<u>Page</u>	
45	Reasons Survivors Seek Services	35
46	Patients Served by SANE Units by Age and Gender	37
47	SANE Programs Patient Race/Ethnicity	37
48	Percent SANE Patients, by Race/Ethnicity and Age	38
49	Percent Offender Relationship Among SANE Patients 12 and Under	39
50	Percent Offender Relationship Among Adolescent and Adult SANE Patients	40
51	Type of Coercion in SANE Sexual Assault Cases	41
52	Type of Coercion in SANE Sexual Assault Cases, by Patient Age	42
53	Comparison of Type of Coercion Used by Victim/Offender Relationship Among SANE Patients	43
54	Location of Sexual Offenses Among SANE Patients	44
55	Location of Sexual Offenses by Victim Age	45
56	Percent SANE Patients with Each Type of Injury, By Age	46
57	Referrals to SANE Programs	47
58	Evidence Collection by SANE Programs, by Patient Age	47
59	Assessment Services Provided by SANE Programs, by Patient Age	48
60	SANE Patient Referrals to Other Services	49
61	SANE Patient Referrals to Other Services, by Patient Age	50
62	Percent Sexual Assault Charges Filed in District Courts	51
63	Percent Sexual Assault Charges Disposed in District Courts	53
64	Percent Sexual Assault Charges Dismissed in District Courts	54
65	Percent Disposed Sexual Assault Charges that Obtained a Guilty Plea or Conviction in District Courts	55
66	Percent Acquittals per Disposed Sexual Assault Charge in District Court	56
67	Average Length of Jail Sentence for Each Type of Sexual Assault Charge Disposed in District Courts	57

TABLES

<u>Tables</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes by Agency, 2011	66
2. Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes by County, 2011	74
3. Percent Criminal Sexual Penetration Crimes with A Suspect Arrest	76
4. Percent Sexual Assault Survivors Served by Participating Agencies, 2011	78
5. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County, 2011	79
6. Number Sex Crimes Survivors Served by Rape Crises/Mental Health Centers and Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement by County, 2011	80
7. Percent Male Victims by Service Provider Agency, 2011	81
8. Percent SANE Patients by SANE Program, 2011	82
9. Percent of Sexual Assault Charges Filed in 2011, by District Court	83
10. Percent of Sexual Assault Charges Disposed in 2011, by District Court	84
11. Percent of Sexual Assault Charges Dismissed in 2011, by District Court	85
12. Percent Guilty Pleas/Convictions in 2011, by District Court	86
13-40. Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges in 2011, by District Court:	
13. Alamogordo District Court	87
14. Albuquerque District Court	88
15. Aztec/Farmington District Court	90
16. Bernalillo District Court	91
17. Carlsbad District Court	92
18. Carrizozo District Court	93
19. Clovis District court	93
20. Deming District court	94
21. Estancia District Court	94
22. Fort Sumner District Court	95
23. Gallup District Court	95
24. Grants District Court	96

<u>Tables</u>	<u>Page</u>
25. Las Cruces District Court	96
26. Las Vegas District Court	97
27. Los Alamos District Court	98
28. Los Lunas District Court	98
29. Lovington District Court	98
30. Portales District Court	99
31. Raton District Court	99
32. Roswell District Court	100
33. Santa Fe District Court	101
34. Santa Rosa District Court	102
35. Silver City District Court	102
36. Socorro District Court	102
37. T or C District Court	103
38. Taos District Court	103
39. Tierra Amarilla District Court	103
40. Tucumcari District Court	104
41. Number of Total Cases Dismissed, Convicted and Acquitted For Each District Court	104
42. Disposed District Court Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed	105
43. Disposed District Court Sexual Assault Cases with a Guilty Plea/Conviction	106

APPENDICES

	<u>Page</u>
A. Survey Of Violence Victimization In New Mexico: Summary of the Findings, 2005	107
B. Sexual Abuse of Children and Youth in New Mexico	113
C. New Mexico Sex Crimes Statutes	116
D. Participating Law Enforcement Agencies	123
E. Law Enforcement Data Collection Form	126
F. Number of Law Enforcement Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration Incidents by County	127
G. Rate and Rank of Law Enforcement Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration Incidents for Counties with Complete* Reporting	128
H. Participating Sexual Assault Service Providers	129
I. Sexual Assault History Form	130
J. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Programs	132
K. SANE Programs Patient Data Collection Form	133

SECTION THREE: COUNTY TRENDS TABLES, 2007-2011

<u>County</u>	<u>Page</u>
...Bernalillo	135
...Catron	138
...Chaves	141
...Cibola	144
...Colfax	147
...Curry	150
...De Baca	153
...Dona Ana	156
...Eddy	159
...Grant	162
...Guadalupe	165
...Hidalgo	168
...Lea	171
...Lincoln	174
...Los Alamos	177
...Luna	180
...McKinley	183
...Mora	186
...Otero	189
...Quay	192
...Rio Arriba	195
...Roosevelt	198
...San Juan	201
...San Miguel	204
...Sandoval	207
...Santa Fe	210
...Sierra	213
...Socorro	216
...Taos	219
...Torrance	222
...Union	225
...Valencia	228

FACT SHEET: SEXUAL ASSAULT IN NEW MEXICO

I. Lifetime Prevalence of Sexual Assault:	New Mexicans	U.S Population¹
▶ Completed Rapes and Attempted Rapes	15%	11%
Women	24% 1 in 4	18%
Men	5% 1 in 20	3%
▶ Completed Rapes	13%	9%
Women	21% 1 in 5	15%
Men	4% 1 in 25	2%
▶ Incapacitated Rape (Subset of Completed Rapes)	5.5%	
Women	8.5% 1 in 12	
Men	2.5% 1 in 40	

II. Rape and/or Attempted Rape Incidence Previous 12 Months (Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico, 2005)

▶ Completed Rape and Attempted Rape Victims		
Adult Women (18 and Older)	7 per 1000	
Adult Men (18 and Older)	4 per 1000	
▶ Completed Rape Victims Only		
Adult Women	6 per 1000	
Adult Men	2 per 1000	
Total Rape Incidents		
▶ Law Enforcement Reported Rape Incidents 2011		1,338
▶ Law Enforcement Reported Non-Penetration Sex Crimes, 2011		2,313
▶ Service Provider Sexual Assault Victims Served, 2011		1,978
▶ SANE Sexual Assault Patients, 2011		1,077

III. Selected Rape Findings by Data Source

Rape: Victim Gender, 2011

	Survey	Law Enforcement	Service Providers	SANE
Females	78%	83%	87%	90%
Males	22%	17%	13%	10%

Rape: Victim Ages, 2011

	Adults	Adolescents	Children
Law Enforcement 2011	43%	30%	27%
Service Providers 2011	46%	27%	27%
Survey Lifetime	33%	23%	44%
SANE 2011	56%	17%	26%

Rape: Victim Race/Ethnicity, 2011

	White (non-Hispanic)	Hispanic	Native American	Black	Asian	Other	Mixed
Law Enforcement 2011	39%	48%	10%	3%	0%	-	-
Service Providers 2011	35%	44%	14%	2%	0%	-	5%
SANE 2011	27%	47%	17%	3%	-	1%	7%
Survey Lifetime	53%	33%	5%	2%	1%	2%	

Rape: Offender Gender, 2011

Gender	Survey Lifetime	Law Enforcement 2011	Service Providers 2011	SANE 2011
Males	85%	94%	97.5%	97%

Rape: Offender Ages, 2011

	Adults	Adolescents	Children
Law Enforcement 2011	81%	16%	3%
Service Providers 2011	80%	16%	4%
SANE 2011	85%	10%	5%
Survey Lifetime	78%	20%	<1%

Rape: Offender Race/Ethnicity, 2011

	White (non-Hispanic)	Hispanic	Native American	Black	Asian	Mixed
Law Enforcement	32%	52%	6%	10%	0%	-
Service Providers	29%	49%	15%	4%	0%	2%

Rape: Victim/Offender Relationship, 2011

	Survey	2011	2011	2011
	Lifetime	Law Enforcement	Service Providers	SANE
Stranger	13%	17%	10%	17%
Known Offender	87%	83%	90%	83%
Family	17%	20%	38%	23%
Current or Former Intimate Partner	24%	12%	15%	12%

Rape: Victim Injury, 2011

	Survey	2011	2011
	Lifetime	Law Enforcement	SANE
Percent Rape Incidents with Victim Injury	25%	28%	76%

Rape: Alcohol/Drug Use, 2011

	Survey Lifetime	Law Enforcement 2011	Service Providers 2011
Percent of Rape Cases Involving Alcohol/Drugs		33%	
Victim	23.5%	-	33%
Offender	45.5%	-	75%

Rape: Medical Care Sought for Victim Injuries , 2011

	Percent Rape Victims That Sought Medical Treatment
Survey Lifetime	33%
Service Providers 2011	45%

Rape: Suspect Arrests, 2011

	Percent Rapes with a Suspect Arrest
Survey Lifetime	3% males (47% of those reported to police) 7% females (37% of those reported to police)
Law Enforcement 2011	12%

IV. Selected Survey Findings on Rape in New Mexico

- ▶ Percent Rapes Reported to Police 17%
- ▶ Percent Rape Victims Filing Criminal Charges 6%
- ▶ Percent Rape Victims Obtaining a Restraining Order 10% (3% males; 11% females)
- ▶ Percent Rape Offenders Violating a Restraining Order 49% (52% males; 49% females)

Dispositions for Offenders of Survey Victims:

- ▶ Percent Charges Dropped 25%
- ▶ Percent Acquitted 6%
- ▶ Percent Convicted 45%
- ▶ Percent Pled Guilty 11%
- ▶ Percent Convicted/Guilty Sentenced to Prison/Jail 88%
- ▶ Average Length Sentence for Rape Conviction 62.5 months
- ▶ Percent Rape Offenders Serving 48 Months or Less 54%

V. Selected Sexual Assault Findings

- ▶ **Percent Sexual Assault Victims with a Prior Assault:**
Service Providers, 2011: 60%

Sexual Assault Survivors with a Disability:

	Percent Sexual Assault Survivors with a Disability
Service Providers 2011	33%
SANE 2011	27%

¹Prevalence, Incidence and Consequences of Violence Against Women: Findings from the National Violence Against Women Survey, by Patricia Tjaden and Nancy Toennes, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice, November 1998

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. INTRODUCTION

In 2005, the Department of Health, Office of Injury Prevention obtained funds to conduct a statewide violence victimization survey. The purpose of the survey was to obtain state estimates of the prevalence and nature of victimization among adults in New Mexico.

Preliminary findings from the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico (SVV) were published in the report *Sex Crimes In New Mexico V*, January 2007. A summary of these findings is found in Appendix A of the *Sex Crimes in New Mexico X*, December 2012 full report. It offers statewide rates of the incidence and prevalence of rape and attempted rape, together with a discussion of the findings on the experience of rape among males and females.

Similarly, further findings from the SVV on the prevalence and nature of rape victimizations among children and adolescents in New Mexico were published in the *Sex Crimes In New Mexico VI*, October 2007 report. A summary of these findings with comparisons to 2011 statewide data is found in Appendix B of *Sex Crimes in New Mexico X*, December 2012 full report. Additionally, selected findings from the SVV can be found in the *Fact Sheet* of the full report, as well.

This report summary includes an analysis of 2011 sex crimes data from the New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository, which includes findings from law enforcement, service providers, statewide Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner [SANE] units, and District Courts.

II. SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS

A. **In 2011, Fewer Sexual Assaults In New Mexico Came To The Attention Of Law Enforcement, While Slightly More Victims Sought Services Than In 2010; The Number Of Adult Rapes Reported To Law Enforcement Represent 8% Of The Estimated Adult Rapes In The State**

There were 100 law enforcement agencies that submitted sexual assault data to the Central Repository during 2011. Presently, these agencies represent 91% of the New Mexico population. There were 3,651 sex crimes reported by participating law enforcement agencies. During the same calendar year, service providers from rape crisis centers and mental health centers served 1,978 victims of sexual assault (as reported on the standardized *Sexual Assault History Form*). SANE Programs served 1,077 sexual assault victims/patients.

In 2011, law enforcement responded to 1,338 criminal sexual penetration crimes and 2,313 non-penetration crimes including criminal sexual contract, criminal sexual contact of a minor, child enticement, sexual exploitation, and indecent exposure. The number of non-penetration sex crimes decreased 9% from the 2,537 reported in 2010. Similarly, the number of criminal sexual penetration crimes decreased 13% from the 1,546 reported in 2010. The rate of rapes reported to statewide law enforcement agencies in 2011, is .74 per 1000.

The Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico was conducted in 2005 to obtain a baseline rate of all rape: reported and unreported. The SVV found that the rate of reported and unreported rape in New Mexico was 6 per 1000 adult females and 2 per 1000 adult males in the previous 12 month period. This rate applied to the 2011 adult population (1,540,507: 753,092 males; 787,415 females) would mean that a closer estimate of adult completed rapes in New Mexico for 2011 is 6,313: 1,531 males and 4,782

females. This means that the number of adult rapes that came to the attention of law enforcement (490) represent approximately 7.8% of the estimated rapes that occurred in 2011.

B. More Sexually Abused Males Than Females Are Abused As Children

In 2011, children (<13 years) comprised almost one-third (34%) of the sexual assault victims assisted by service providers, an average 29.5% (27% rape victims and 32% victims of non-penetration sex crimes) that came to the attention of statewide law enforcement agencies, and 26% of those patients served at statewide SANE units.

Service provider records in 2011 demonstrate that when examined by gender, twice as many males (60%) as females (29%) were children at the time of their sexual assault. There is significant disparity between the rates of victimized male children and female children in criminal sexual penetration and non-penetration crimes. In 2011, of the males that were raped, 53% were children, compared to 24% of females. Similarly, of the males that were victims of non-penetration crimes, 79% were children compared to 48% of females.

C. More Females Seek Therapeutic Services Sooner Than Males

An examination of data in 2011 demonstrates that more females (51%) than males (35%) obtained therapeutic services in the year of the victimization. Further, after a delay of one year, 36% of males and 16% of females were more likely to wait over 20 years to seek services. The average delay for males was 15.0 years compared to 7.8 years for females.

D. Rape Is A Crime Of Opportunity

1. Offenders are older than their victims

It is clear that rape is a crime of opportunity and that opportunity presents itself most often among the vulnerable. In 2011, while 57% of rape victims in law enforcement cases were children and adolescents, greater than three-quarters (82%) of offenders were adults (18 and older). To emphasize this point, in 2011 service providers reported that 60% of their clients experienced a sexual victimization prior to the one for which they presented for services. Two-thirds (62%) of the victims that experienced a prior sexual assault were victims of on-going abuse, 87% of which occurred by age 12. Likewise, 37% were victims of a prior isolated sexual assault, and two-thirds (65%) of these occurred by age 12.

2. Offenders take advantage of those with disabilities

One-third (33%) of the victims of sexual assault that sought services in 2011 had a mental and/or physical disability before the victimization. More *adult* (35%) and *adolescent* (17%) victims had a disability than *child* victims (15%); *Black* victims (29%), *White* (non-Hispanic) victims (28%), victims of *mixed race/ethnicity* (27%) and *Hispanic* victims (24%) reported significantly more cases of disability compared to *Native American* victims (15%).

3. Offenders are overwhelmingly male

Overwhelmingly, offenders of sexual offenses are males. In 2011, the offender in 94% of law enforcement rape cases, 97.5% of service provider rape cases, and 97% of SANE cases were male. Additionally, data from the SVV found that 85% of reported and unreported rapes in New Mexico had a male offender.

E. Most Survivors Are Sexually Assaulted By Someone Of The Same Race

In 2011, service providers reported in 86% of their cases, the offender was the same race/ethnicity as the client. When examined by race/ethnic group, more *Hispanic* victims (92%) were victimized by someone of their own race, than victims of any other race/ethnicity. With the exception of law enforcement identified Native American victims, law enforcement and service providers reported that *Hispanics* (52% and 53%, respectively), *Native Americans* (6% and 14%, respectively) and *Blacks* (10% and 3%, respectively) had a greater representation among offenders than their representation in the New Mexico general population: *Hispanics* (47%), *Native Americans* (8%) and *Blacks* (2%).

F. Sexual Assault And The Probability of Domestic Violence

In 2011, 49% of survivors and two-thirds (65%) of offenders had a history of domestic violence. Among service provider cases with family offenders, 60.5% involved domestic violence. Among service provider cases with non-family current or former intimate partner offenders, 41% involved domestic violence. Additionally, a survivor with a *history of domestic violence* was significantly more likely to be offended by someone with a *history of domestic violence* (86%), than a survivor with no history of domestic violence (35%).

G. Survivors Of Rape Are More Likely To Seek Therapeutic Services Than Survivors Of Non-Penetration Sex Crimes

It is evident that sexual assault victims that are raped are more likely to seek therapeutic services than victims of other non-penetration sex crimes. Of those that sought therapeutic services in 2011, two-thirds (65%) were rape victims. Another 23% of victims seeking services were victims of criminal sexual contact. An examination of 2011 service provider data found that significantly more females (67%) than males (59%) that presented for services were rape victims.

H. Most Survivors Who Seek Services Are Victims Of Incest

Overwhelmingly, victims that seek services are incest victims. In three-quarters (73%) of service provider cases that identified the nature of the rape, the survivors were victims of incest. This is not surprising given the earlier discussion on the rate of clients that had experienced a prior victimization before age 12, the rate victimized by someone of the same race/ethnicity, and the rate victimized by a family member.

I. Most Sexual Assault Survivors Know Their Offenders

In law enforcement reported rapes in 2011, the offender was known to the victim in 83% of the rapes perpetrated. Of the known offenders, 20% were family members. Similarly, of the victims that sought therapeutic services, 90% of the victims of rape were victimized by someone known to them, 38% of which were family members.

J. More Sexually Assaulted Females, Than Males Are Assaulted By A Stranger

When stranger-perpetrated sexual offenses were examined by gender, 11% of the cases with female survivors were perpetrated by a stranger compared to 5% of cases with a male survivor. When stranger-perpetrated sexual offenses were examined by race/ethnicity, *Black* survivors (17%) comprised more of the stranger-perpetrated sexual offenses than survivors of all other races/ethnicities: *White* (non-Hispanic) survivors and survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* (10%, respectively), *Native American* survivors (9%) and *Hispanic* survivors (7%).

K. Alcohol/Drug Use Is Greater Among Female Victims And Increases Vulnerability To Stranger-Rape, Multiple-Offender Victimizations, and Contraction of STDs

In 2011, law enforcement reported that approximately one-third (32%) of the rape cases involved the use of alcohol or drugs. Similarly, service providers reported that 30% of their rape cases involved alcohol or drug use. When alcohol/drug use was examined by gender, more (34%) female survivors of rape than male (23%) survivors of rape that sought services used alcohol or drugs at the time of the sexual assault. When examined by survivor age for all types of sexual assault, 53% of adult survivors, 32% of adolescent survivors, and 2% of child survivors used alcohol or drugs during the reported sexual assault.

An examination of service provider data in 2011 demonstrates that alcohol/drug use increases ones vulnerability to being raped by a stranger. Of those clients that sought services, two (2.4) times as many survivors that used alcohol or drugs (17%) were victimized by a *stranger* compared to survivors that did not use alcohol or drugs (7%).

Survivors using alcohol/drugs were more than two times (30%) as likely for their assault to involve multiple offenders as survivors not using alcohol/drugs (16%). Furthermore, survivors using alcohol/drugs were four times more likely to contract a sexually transmitted disease.

L. Types of Coercion Used In Sexual Assaults, Such As Physical Force, Verbal Threat, Weapons, Manipulation, And Intentional Drugging Differ By Victim Age

Service providers document the type of coercion that was involved in the sexual offenses experienced by their clients. *Physical force* (37%) was the type of coercion reported most in 2011, followed by *manipulation* (28%) and *verbal threat* (19%). *Weapons* were involved in 4% of cases. Similarly, *physical force* (51%) and *verbal threat* (20%) and *authority over the victim* were involved in most SANE cases. However, *weapons* were involved in a greater proportion of SANE cases (12%).

When examined by age, service providers reported that adults and adolescents experienced more *physical force* (41% and 39%, respectively) and *intentional drugging* (11% and 5%, respectively) than children. While 28% of children were victims of *physical force*, they were most coerced by *manipulation* (47%), very frequently coerced by *verbal threat* (21%) and rarely coerced by *intentional drugging* (<1%).

Similarly, most adult (68%) and adolescent SANE patients (54%) experienced *physical force*, while most children were coerced by *someone in authority* (88%).

M. SANE Programs Best Capture Survivor Injuries

In 2011, law enforcement reported that 28% of rapes involved an *injury*. The SVV found that 27% of female rape victims and 16% of male rape victims reported being injured. In 2011, statewide SANE programs reported that 76% of their patients incurred injury from their assault. When examined by gender, over two-thirds (75%) of females and 51% of males were injured during their sexual assault.

By far, more SANE patients of all ages experienced *vaginal* injuries, with children (<13), 65%, experiencing vaginal injury more than adolescents (61%) or adults (50%). *Rectal* injuries were experienced more by children (31%) than adolescents (13%) or adults (16%). *Strangulation* was experienced more by adults (15%) than adolescents (11%) and children (0%).

N. The Rate Of Seeking Medical Treatment Differs Significantly By Survivor Gender, Age, And Race

In 2011, service providers reported that 45% of their clients sought medical treatment as a result of their sexual assault. Significantly more female survivors (50%) than male survivors (37%) sought medical treatment. Similarly, significantly more child survivors (49%), (<6 years old) sought medical treatment compared to children 6-12 years old (16%). More adults (66%) than adolescents (43%) sought medical treatment.

Of survivors who sought therapeutic services, significantly more survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* (73%) than survivors from all other races sought medical treatment: *Black* survivors (52%), *Hispanic* survivors (47%), *White* (non-Hispanic) survivors (36%) and *Native American* survivors (67%).

O. The Rate Of Obtaining Rape Kit Evidence Differs Significantly By Survivor Gender, Age, And Race

One-quarter (25%) of survivors seeking therapeutic services in 2011 had rape kit evidence collected. Significantly more *female* survivors (25%) than *male* survivors (18%) had rape kit evidence collected.

There is great disparity in the rate of males and females obtaining rape kit evidence among adolescent and adult survivors. More than three times as many *female* adolescent survivors (26%) had rape kit evidence collected compared to 8% of *male* adolescent survivors. By contrast, 34% of *female* adult survivors had rape kit evidence collected compared to (41%) of *male* adult survivors.

In 2011, *Native American* survivors (54%) were more than twice as likely to obtain rape kit evidence collection as survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* (21%), *White* (non-Hispanic) survivors (20%) and *Hispanic* survivors (19%), and almost two times (1.7) as likely as *Black* survivors (31%).

P. Reporting Sexual Assault Differs Significantly By Gender And Race

In 2011, approximately one-quarter (24%) of survivors seeking therapeutic services did not report their victimization to anyone. Of those that did report their victimization, 36% reported to *law enforcement*, 33% to a *rape crisis center* and 17% to an *emergency department* or *SANE unit*. Another 8% of survivors reported to *social service agencies*. The SVV found that three times more females (19%) than males (6%) reported their victimization to law enforcement.

When examined by race/ethnicity, only 10% of *Native American* survivors did not report their victimization to law enforcement compared to 34% of *White* (non-Hispanic) survivors, 24% of survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity*, and 23% respectively, of *Hispanic* and *Black* survivors.

Q. The Rate Of Suspect Arrests In Sexual Assault Cases Have Decreased Slightly Since 2010 and Differ Significantly by Victim Gender

In 2011, law enforcement reported that 12% of rape cases had a suspect arrest. This represents a 3% decrease over that reported in 2010. The SVV found that 3% of all male rapes and 47% of female rapes reported to law enforcement resulted in a suspect arrest.

R. Sexual Assault Survivors Get Help When Encouraged By Others And To Address Mental Health Concerns And PTSD Symptoms

There were 1,529 reasons why sexual assault survivors decided to seek help. Of all the reasons for seeking assistance, most survivors (39%) did so because they were *encouraged to get help by others*, and because they sought help for *mental health problems/concerns or symptoms from the assault, such as nightmares* (38%).

S. Too Many Sexual Assault Charges Are Dismissed in District Courts

Of 2,718 sexual assault charges disposed in 2011, 68% (1,838) were dismissed. *Sexual exploitation of children* was the charge with the greatest proportion of dismissals (37%), followed by the more egregious charge of *criminal sexual penetration* (21%). Additionally, 19% of *criminal sexual contact of a minor* charges were dismissed, and 16% of *criminal sexual penetration of a minor* charges. Of all disposed charges, 28% obtained a *guilty plea or conviction*, 3% were *acquitted*, and 2% were charges with *other dispositions* that resulted from prosecution proceedings (conditional discharges, remands, and consent decrees).

Each sexual assault case may have many charges of which some may be dismissed, some convicted, and some acquitted. An examination of sexual assault dispositions on a case level provides a clearer picture of the cases: 1) where at least one charge obtained a conviction, 2) where all charges were acquitted, and 3) where all charges were dismissed. The 2,718 disposed sexual assault charges comprised 586 cases. Of these, 47% obtained a *guilty plea or conviction*, 3% obtained an *acquittal*, 2% had prosecution proceedings that resulted in *other dispositions*, and 48% were *dismissed*.

III. SECTION TWO: IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS

Findings from the SVV on the rate of rape in the previous 12 months would estimate the number of reported and unreported rapes among adults in New Mexico in 2011 to be 6,313. This is thirteen (12.8) times the number of adult rapes actually reported to law enforcement in the same year, 490. This suggests greater outreach is needed to identify rape victims and refer them to appropriate services.

The rape of children and adolescents in New Mexico must be a primary focus of sexual assault prevention, identification, investigation, and prosecution efforts. Findings from the SVV, law enforcement, service providers, and SANE Programs demonstrate that victims of sex crimes are overwhelmingly female; and a significant proportion of males and females are victimized by age 12. When one considers that parents and step-parents, and other family members are responsible for much of this abuse of males and females, it is imperative that parents, guardians, and extended family be targeted for prevention education and outreach, to compliment the training of other professionals (teachers, clergy, law enforcement, and judges) who must respond to a suspected sexual assault of a child or a child's disclosure.

The negative effects of sexual violation during childhood cannot be overstated. To be sure, sexual assault during childhood is a precursor to experiencing a sexual assault in the future. Over half (60%) of all those who sought assistance for a sexual assault in the year 2011, had experienced a prior sexual assault. Further, while sexual abuse prevention instruction is recommended for students throughout elementary school, when developmentally appropriate, a necessary component of such education must address the shocking reality that children who are sexually abused are at greater risk of becoming pregnant as a teen, than children who are not sexually abused. Education on self-esteem, self-respect,

components for healthy relationships, and normal sexual developmental must be addressed to reduce the likelihood of early pregnancy among sexually violated children.

Ten percent of service provider sexual assault cases compared to 17% respectively, of sexual assault cases reported to SANE programs and law enforcement were perpetrated by a stranger. These findings suggest that sexual assault victims who are victimized by a *stranger* are more likely to report to law enforcement and seek medical services and forensic documentation of their victimizations; and that victims who are victimized by a *relative* are less likely to seek medical services and forensic documentation regarding their victimization. By extension, this means that successful prosecution of sexual assaults perpetrated by family members is less likely, and victims of these sex crimes are less likely to access needed services and protections. Since family members comprise a significant number of all perpetrators of reported sexual assaults in our state, it is imperative to provide education and outreach in schools and communities to inform those at risk about services available to them.

Sexual victimizations of adolescents and adults more often involved a gun, knife, and intentional drugging than victims of other ages. Adolescents and young adults are vulnerable to date rape and rape by new and/or social acquaintances. Further, alcohol and/or drug use is associated with a greater vulnerability to stranger rape, multiple offender rape, and the contraction of a sexually transmitted disease. These findings have implications for personal safety instruction and alcohol and drug prevention education programs for high school students.

In 2011, nearly one-third (33%) of victims who sought assistance for a sexual assault had some type of disability before the sexual assault. Most of these victims (64%) were mentally/emotionally disabled. This speaks to the need for education programs to promote greater awareness among families and communities regarding the vulnerability of their residents with disabilities to being sexually assaulted; and the need for sexuality education and personal safety for individuals with disabilities.

Only one-third (36%) of sex crimes that came to the attention of service providers were reported to law enforcement. Additionally, over 16% of adult victims, 15% of adolescent victims, and 9% of child victims in the SVV reported their victimizations to law enforcement. Further, the SVV found that females report to law enforcement (19%) three times the rate of males (6%). There are several implications: 1) training for healthcare providers to effectively respond to patient disclosures of sexual assault; 2) training of law enforcement officers to respond with sensitivity to the needs of sexual assault victims and initiate advocacy for the victim; and 3) accessible legal advocacy to assist victims through the legal process.

Survivors with a history of domestic violence were two (2.5) times more likely to be sexually assaulted by someone with a history of domestic violence than survivors who were not exposed to domestic violence in their past. Experiencing domestic violence as a child increases one's vulnerability to abuse and sexual assault as an adult. This finding implies that greater efforts should be made by those in law enforcement and in collaboration with those in the helping professions to identify children from violent homes and provide appropriate counseling services.

Law enforcement reported that 28% of criminal sexual penetration cases and 33% of non-penetration sex crimes involved injury to the victim. Conversely, SANE practitioners found that 76% of their sexual assault patients incurred one or more injuries during their assault. The reasons for the great disparity in injury reporting between law enforcement and SANE practitioners can be explained in part, by the fact that SANE practitioners are specifically trained to identify and document sexual assault injuries; and beyond observable injuries to the head/neck or extremities of the victim, law enforcement officers are not likely to detect injury. Secondly, sexual assault victims who believe they are injured may be more likely to seek SANE services than sexual assault victims who do not believe they are injured.

Therefore, SANE Programs would naturally have a higher rate of victims who experienced injury. All this said, there may be an implication for officer training regarding victim injury in sexual assaults and a more accurate way to report injury on law enforcement offense incident reports.

There is great disparity in the rates of female and male adolescents that seek rape kit evidence collection. Adolescent female rape victims (26%) are three times more likely than adolescent male rape victims (8%) to obtain rape kit evidence collection. As the availability of proper forensic evidence increases the likelihood of successful identification and prosecution of sexual assault offenders, more advocacy, outreach, and education of adolescent male rape victims and their parents/guardians regarding the value of forensic evidence collection is warranted.

Most survivors of sexual assault seek treatment within the first year of the assault. However, many survivors delay seeking treatment for many years (the average delay for females and males is 15.0 years and 7.8. years, respectively). Most survivors sought treatment because they were *encouraged to do so by others* (39%) and because they had *mental health problems* (38 %). These findings have implications for greater outreach, community training, and the training of professionals to understand the prevalence of mental health concerns among sexual assault survivors, and the power and importance of seizing all opportunities to encourage survivors to get help.

Two-thirds (68%) of sexual assault *charges* or half (48%) of sexual assault *cases* disposed in statewide district courts were dismissed in 2011 and these percentages do not include cases bound over/transferred, conditional discharges, remands, or other dispositions that resulted from some prosecution actions. As 56% of the dismissed charges include serious sexual assault (*criminal sexual penetration*, 21%), and/or sexual assault against children (*criminal sexual penetration of a minor*, 16% and *criminal sexual contact of a minor*, 19%), greater oversight is warranted to: 1) identify the reasons for the dismissals of these charges (especially those perpetrated against children) at the prosecution and judicial levels; and 2) implement steps necessary to address identified problem areas.

SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO X:
**An Analysis of 2011 Data from The New Mexico
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

*Developed by Betty Caponera, Ph.D.
For the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.*

“This project was supported by Grant No. 2011-WF-AX-0021 or awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this publication/program/exhibition are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.”

Funded by:

New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission — Violence Against Women Act Grants Office, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Dept. of Justice; State of New Mexico: Human Services Department - Behavioral Health Services Division, and the Department of Health — Office of Injury Prevention
Through the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.

DECEMBER 2012

I. INTRODUCTION

In 2005, the Department of Health, Office of Injury Prevention obtained funds to conduct a statewide violence victimization survey. The survey was conducted by Schulman, Ronca and Bucuvalas, Inc. (SRBI) a national research organization with over 25 years experience conducting national and statewide surveys on health and trauma issues.

The purpose of the survey was to obtain state estimates of the prevalence and nature of victimization among adults in New Mexico. The sample for the *Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico* (SVV) was drawn from a statewide sample of telephone households developed by random digit dialing (RDD). A statewide random sample of 4,000 adults aged 18 and older: 2000 males and 2000 females were interviewed. Interviewing for the survey was conducted between December 6, 2005 and January 22, 2006. Comprehensive information regarding the survey methods used (sample construction, instrument design, programming, testing, interviewer selection, training, monitoring, conducting the interviews, response rates, field outcomes, data preparation and processing) is found in the *Survey Methods Report* available upon request from the Central Repository.

Preliminary findings from the SVV were published in the report, *Sex Crimes In New Mexico V*, January 2007. A summary of these findings is found in **Appendix A**. It offers statewide rates of the incidence and prevalence of rape and attempted rape, together with a discussion of the findings on the experience of rape among males and females.

Similarly, further findings from the SVV on the prevalence and nature of rape victimizations among children and adolescents in New Mexico were published in the *Sex Crimes In New Mexico VI*, October 2007 report. A summary of these findings with comparisons to 2011 statewide data is found in **Appendix B**. Additionally, selected findings from the SVV can be found in the *Fact Sheet* of this report, as well.

This report has three sections: *Section One* presents an analysis of 2011 sex crimes data from the New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository, which includes findings from law enforcement, service providers, statewide Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) units, and analysis of sexual assault charges, dispositions and sentencing from statewide district courts data obtained from the Administrative Office of the Courts; *Section Two* presents a discussion of the implications of the findings; and *Section Three* offers county tables that present important trends information specific to each county.

SECTION ONE: ANALYSIS OF 2011 SEX CRIMES DATA FROM THE CENTRAL REPOSITORY

I. ABOUT THE CENTRAL REPOSITORY

The Central Repository is supported by the State of New Mexico Department of Health, Office of Injury Prevention and Behavioral Health Services Division and the Violence Against Women Act. It was established in 1998 to house data submitted from a variety of agencies statewide (law enforcement, district and magistrate courts, and domestic violence service providers) that deal with the issue of domestic violence. In 2001, the Central Repository began capturing statewide sexual assault data, as well. To this end, sexual assault data from law enforcement agencies and the courts, as well as data from rape crisis centers, mental health centers, and SANE Programs that provide services for sexual assault victims, are submitted to the Central Repository.

Currently, standardized data from law enforcement are submitted to the Central repository on a quarterly basis, and data from service provider agencies and SANE programs are submitted monthly. Data from statewide district courts were extracted from the Administrative Office of the Courts and submitted annually. The data analyzed for this report covers sexual assault law enforcement, service provider, SANE, and district court data for the period 1/1/11 – 12/31/11.

II. LAW ENFORCEMENT REPORTED SEX CRIMES

A. DEFINITIONS

Sexual assault incidents captured in New Mexico include the following statutes regarding sexual offenses. These statutes are presented in brief. Full definitions are found in **Appendix C**.

30-9-11 Criminal sexual penetration

A. Criminal sexual penetration is the unlawful and intentional causing of a person to engage in sexual intercourse, cunnilingus, fellatio or anal intercourse or the causing of penetration, to any extent and with any object, of the genital or anal openings of another, whether or not there is any emission.

30-9-12 Criminal sexual contact

A. Criminal sexual contact is the unlawful and intentional touching of or application of force, without consent, to the unclothed intimate parts of another who has reached his eighteenth birthday, or intentionally causing another who has reached his eighteenth birthday to touch one's intimate parts.

30-9-13 Criminal sexual contact of a minor

A. Criminal sexual contact of a minor is the unlawful and intentional touching or applying force to the intimate parts of a minor or the unlawful and intentional causing of a minor to touch one's intimate parts. For the purposes of this section, "intimate parts" means the primary genital area, groin, buttocks, anus or breast.

30-9-14 Indecent exposure

A. Indecent exposure consists of a person knowingly and intentionally exposing his primary genital area to public view. As used in this section, "primary genital area" means the mons pubis, penis, testicles, mons veneris, vulva or vagina.

30-10-3 Incest

A. Incest consists of knowingly intermarrying or having sexual intercourse with persons within the following degrees of consanguinity: parents and children including grandparents and grandchildren of every degree, brothers and sisters of the half as well as of the whole blood, uncles and nieces, aunts and nephews.

30-9-1 Enticement of child

Enticement of child consists of:

- A. Enticing, persuading or attempting to persuade a child under the age of sixteen years to enter any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 (30-9-1 to 30-9-9 NMSA 1978) of the Criminal Code; or
- B. Having possession of a child under the age of sixteen years in any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 of the Criminal Code.

30-6A-2 Sexual exploitation of children

- A. and B. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally *possess* or *distribute* any visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such act and if that person knows or has reason to know that one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age.
- C. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally cause or permit a child under eighteen years of age to engage in any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows, has reason to know or intends that the act may be recorded in any obscene visual or print medium or performed publicly.
- D. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally manufacture any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age.

30-4-1 Kidnapping

- A. Kidnapping is the unlawful taking, restraining, transporting or confining of a person, by force, intimidation or deception, with intent: 1) that the victim be held for ransom; 2) that the victim be held as a hostage or shield and confined against his will; 3) that the victim be held to service against the victim's will; or 4) to inflict death, physical injury or a sexual offense on the victim.

30-52-1 Human trafficking

- A. Human trafficking consists of a person knowingly: 1) recruiting, soliciting, enticing, transporting or obtaining by any means another person with the intent or knowledge that force, fraud or coercion will be used to subject the person to labor, services or commercial sexual activity; 2) recruiting, soliciting, enticing, transporting or obtaining by any means a person under the age of eighteen years with the intent or knowledge that the person will be caused to engage in commercial sexual activity; or 3) benefiting, financially or by receiving anything of value, from the labor, services or commercial sexual activity of another person with the knowledge that force, fraud or coercion was used to obtain the labor, services or commercial sexual activity.

30-37-3.2 Child solicitation by electronic communication device


- A. Child solicitation by electronic communication device consists of a person knowingly and intentionally soliciting a child under sixteen years of age, by means of an electronic communication device, to engage in sexual intercourse, sexual contact or in a sexual or obscene performance, or to engage in any other sexual conduct when the perpetrator is at least three years older than the child.

B. LAW ENFORCEMENT REPORTED INCIDENCE OF SEXUAL ASSAULT

There were 100 law enforcement agencies that submitted sexual assault data to the Central Repository during 2011 (see **Appendix D**). Presently, these agencies represent 91% of the New Mexico population. Data from each participating agency was extracted from police offense incident reports and submitted in aggregate form on the standardized *Law Enforcement Sexual Violence Data Collection Form* (see **Appendix E**).

1. All Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes

In 2011, there were 3,651 sex crimes reported by participating law enforcement agencies, a 11% decrease over that reported in 2010 (4,083). For a list of sex crime reports by law enforcement agency, see **Table 1**. For a list of sex crime reports by county, see **Table 2**. Of the reported sex crimes, 37% (1,338) were cases of *criminal sexual penetration*, 20% (746) *criminal sexual contact of a minor*, 11% (396) *criminal sexual contact*, 5% (166) *sexual exploitation*, 4% (151) *child enticement*, 3% (104) *indecent exposure*, 19% (706) *kidnapping*, 1% respectively, of *solicitation by electronic device* (24) and prostitution (20). See **Figure 1**.



2. Law Enforcement Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration (Rape) Incidents

The number of criminal sexual penetration (rape) cases per county that were reported to law enforcement in 2011 is shown in **Appendix F**. The *rate* of law enforcement reported *criminal sexual penetration* (LER-CSP) incidents in New Mexico was calculated based on counties with complete reporting (those counties with the law enforcement agency from the largest city(s) reporting). The rate of *law enforcement reported* criminal sexual penetration for New Mexico is 0.74 per 1000 persons, which is similar to the 0.77 rate reported in 2010. A ranking of law enforcement reported criminal sexual penetration rates for counties with complete reporting is found in **Appendix G**.

C. CHARACTERISTICS OF CRIMINAL SEXUAL PENETRATION CASES


1. Victim and Offender Gender

There were 1,420 criminal sexual penetration victims identified from the 1,338 law enforcement sexual assault reports. Victim *gender* was documented in 1,160 cases. Of these, 963 (83%) were *female* victims and 197 (17%) *male* victims.

Of the 1,338 cases of criminal sexual penetration, 1,439 offenders were identified. Offender gender was documented in 1,167 reports. Of these, 94% (1097) had a *male* offender.


2. Victim and Offender Age

Of the 1,146 reports of criminal sexual penetration that identified *victim age*, the greatest proportion of all victims were in the age group *13-18* (30%), followed by victims age *19-25* (15%), victims *7-12* (14%), victims *<6* (13%), and victims *26-35* (12%). Conversely, of the 927 reports that identified *offender age*, the greatest proportion of all offenders was in the age group *19-25* (26%), followed closely by offenders *26-35* (22%). Offenders *36-45* comprised 17% of all offenders and offenders *13-18* comprised 16% of all offenders. See **Figure 2**.



3. Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity

Of the 1,080 criminal sexual penetration cases that identified *victim race/ethnicity*, 48% (516) were *Hispanic*, 39% (423) were *White (non-Hispanic)*, 10% (107) *Native American*, and 3% (34) *Black*. Likewise, of the 870 criminal sexual penetration cases that identified *offender race/ethnicity*, 52% (449) were *Hispanic*, 32% (281), *White (non-Hispanic)*, 6% (52) *Native American*, and 10% (88) *Black*. For a comparison of victim and offender race/ethnicity to racial/ethnic compositions in New Mexico for 2011, see **Figure 3**.




CSP = Criminal Sexual Penetration

4. Victim/Offender Relationship

The *victim/offender relationship* was documented in 1,033 of the 1,978 reported cases of criminal sexual penetration. Of these, 17% (180) were perpetrated by a *stranger* to the victim and 83% (853) were perpetrated by someone *known* by the victim. Twenty percent (208) of offenders were a relative to the victim.

While law enforcement agencies report whether the offender was a stranger or known to the victim, they do not further report the *type of relationship* among *known* offenders. However, such documentation is available from Bernalillo County in the reported cases of criminal sexual penetration submitted by the *Albuquerque Police Department* and the *Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office*. Of the 560 victims of criminal sexual penetration reported by law enforcement agencies in Bernalillo County, the *victim/offender relationship* was documented in 537 cases. Of these, 121 (22.5%) were perpetrated by a *stranger* and 416 (77.5%) by *someone known* to the victim. *Relatives* comprised 27% (145) of all victim/offender relationships documented. *Acquaintances* comprised the largest category of *known non-family offenders* 20% (105), followed by *boyfriends/girlfriends* 11% (60), *friends* 9% (49), and *other unspecified known non-relative offenders* 6% (32). **Figure 4** illustrates the number and percent of each type of *victim/offender relationship* identified.

Figure 4. Victim/Offender Relationship in CSP Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County as Reported by Law Enforcement, 2011: Offender Was . . .



CSP = Criminal Sexual Penetration

5. Weapon Use and Injury

There is a parallel between weapon use in sexual assault incidents and its use in domestic violence incidents, though there is reluctance among professionals in the field of sexual violence prevention and prosecution to report this observation. Because the intent in a domestic assault or battery is to physically harm the victim, an offender's fists and feet used in kicking, slapping, or punching a victim are considered "personal weapons". Applying this liberal definition of a *weapon* to criminal sexual


penetration crimes would result in 87% or 508 of 585 cases in New Mexico, involving a weapon. However, while this demonstrates comprehensive and accurate reporting, there is great reluctance in reporting such high rates of weapon use in sexual assault incidents. Sexual assault advocates and prosecutors have been working for years to dispel the long held social myth that unless there is a “non-personal” or deadly weapon involved, the victim consented too easily and must have freely engaged in the sexual activity. In truth, a very small proportion of criminal sexual penetration cases nationally, involve a “non-personal” weapon, such as a gun, knife, bat, etc. The same is true in New Mexico, as only 11% or 54 of 502 cases documenting *type of weapon used* involved “non-personal” weapons.

While it is most appropriate to include “personal weapons” in a weapon count in sex crimes and in domestic violence crimes, it is crucial to argue that sexual assault victims are raped, i.e. forced against their will, even without the presence of a weapon of *any* kind. The law states clearly, that just the presence of a *threat* of physical harm that the victim believes can be presently executed, is all that is required to demonstrate force or coercion. The requirement of “personal”, “non-personal” and/or deadly weapon-use to justify that victim resistance occurred during a sexual assault is inconsistent with sexual assault statutes. Therefore, this practice must not continue.

Of the 669 cases that documented whether the victim was injured, victim injury occurred in 28% (187) of the cases.

6. Alcohol and Drug Use


There were 799 cases of criminal sexual penetration where *alcohol/drug use* was documented. Of these, alcohol and/or drugs were used in 32% (255) of cases. Of the 255 cases where alcohol or drugs were used, 241 documented the using party(s). *Offender-only* use of alcohol/drugs was reported in 63% (152) of cases. *Victim-only* use was found in 16% (38) of cases, and both the *victim* and *offender* used alcohol/drugs in 21% (51) of cases. See **Figure 5**. Therefore, offenders used alcohol/drugs in 84% of the cases involving alcohol/drug use and victims used alcohol/drugs in 37% of the cases involving alcohol/drug use.



7. Children Witnesses to Criminal Sexual Penetration

When analyzing data regarding children, two variables are analyzed: 1) the *number of incidents* where at least one child was present; and 2) the total *number of children* present. In 2011, 100 law enforcement agencies reported to the Central Repository. Of these, 72 reported at least one case of criminal sexual penetration but 60 of these agencies did not report how many incidents had children present, or how many total children were present during their reported criminal sexual penetration incidents. There were 689 cases that documented the *number of incidents* where at least one child was present. Of these, 301(44%) cases had at least one child present. There were 517 children present at these 301 incidents, or 1.7 children per incident. There were 793 reports that documented the *total number of children present*. Of these, the total number of children present was 561.

The age of the child was documented in 556 children present at the law enforcement reported domestic violence incidents. Of these 19% (104) were ages 0-5; 14% (80) ages 6-9; 15% (83) ages 10-12; 42% (231) ages 13-17; and 10% (58) ages 18-21. See **Figure 6**.



CSP = Criminal Sexual Penetration

8. Suspect Arrests for Criminal Sexual Penetration Incidents

There were 885 cases of criminal sexual penetration that documented whether there was a suspect arrest. Of these, 103 (12%) cases had a suspect arrest. Among agencies with 10 or more reported criminal sexual penetration cases, the *Portales Police Department* had the most incidents with a suspect arrest in 70% or 7 of 10 reported cases, followed by the *Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office*, 27% or 17 of 64 cases, and the *Farmington Police Department*, 21% or 11 of 53 cases. See **Table 3**.

D. CHARACTERISTICS OF OTHER (NON-PENETRATION) LAW ENFORCEMENT REPORTED SEX CRIMES


While participating law enforcement agencies throughout the state report on the number of *non-penetration* sex crimes, including *criminal sexual contact*, *criminal sexual contact of a minor*, *indecent exposure*, *sexual exploitation*, and *child enticement* cases, they do not provide *details* about the nature of these crimes. However, data are available from the Albuquerque Police Department and the Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office that assist in characterizing these *non-penetration* crimes.

1. Victim and Offender Gender

There were 1,315 *non-penetration* sex crimes in Bernalillo County. There were 1,521 victims identified in these crimes. *Victim gender* was documented in 1,517 of the victims identified. Of these, 79% (1,194) were *female*. This is less than the 83% of female victims in law enforcement reported criminal sexual penetration (CSP) crimes. There were 1,571 offenders identified in the non-penetration sex crimes. The *suspect gender* was documented in 1,524. Of these, 83% (1,271) were male. This is significantly less than the 94% of male offenders in CSP crimes.

2. Victim and Offender Age


The *victim age* was documented in 1,485 of the 1,521 victims identified in Bernalillo County sex crimes. Of these, 32% were not yet adolescents: 19% (287) were ages 0-6, and 13% (193) were ages 7-12. Another 19% (284) were ages 19-25, followed by ages 26-35, 17% (256), ages 13-18, 15% (228), and ages 36-45, 8% (188). See **Figure 7**. *Offender age* was documented for 1,427 of the 1,571 offenders. Of these, 30% (430) were ages 26-35, followed by ages 19-25, 27% (381), ages 36-45, 17% (246), ages 46-55, 10% (142), and ages 13-18, 8% (116). Additionally, 3% of offenders were children: 1% (9) ages 0-6, and 2% (35) ages 7-12. Refer to Figure 7.



3. Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity

Victim race/ethnicity was documented for 1,420 of the 1,521 victims. Of these, most victims, 55% (777) were *Hispanic*, followed by *White (non-Hispanic)* victims 31% (439), *Native American* victims 10% (140), *Black* victims 4% (61) and *Asian* victims <1% (3). Similarly, of the 1,571 offenders, race/ethnicity was identified for 1,371. Of these, most offenders, 55% (750) were *Hispanic*, followed by *White (non-Hispanic)* offenders 27% (372), *Black* offenders 11% (1513), *Native American* offenders 6% (89) and *Asian* offenders 1% (9). See **Figure 8**.

Figure 8. Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County as Reported by Law Enforcement, 2011



4. Weapon Use and Injury

Bernalillo County law enforcement agencies reported 87% or 1,149 cases out of 1,315 *involved a weapon*. Of these, 13% (155) involved a deadly weapon: 5% (61) a firearm, and 8% (94) a knife. One-third (33%) (439) of non-CSP cases involved injury compared to one-quarter (28%) (187) of criminal sexual penetrations (CSP) cases.


5. Alcohol/Drug Use

Approximately one-quarter (23% or 299) non-CSP cases involved alcohol/drug use compared to one-third, 32% (255) of CSP cases.

6. Children Witnesses to Non-Penetration Sex Crimes

There were 1,154 children who witnessed 667 of the 1,315 non-penetration sex crimes. Of these, 34% (391) were ages 0-5, 19% (216) were ages 6-9, 14% (157) were ages 10-12, 28% (319) were ages 13-17 and 6% (71) were ages 18-21. See **Figure 9**. A comparison of the age of children present at criminal sexual penetration crimes versus non-penetration sex crimes, illustrates that significantly more (53%) young children (< 13 years of age), were present during *non-penetration* crimes compared to the proportion present during *criminal sexual penetration* crimes (44%).

Figure 9. Age of Children Present at Law Enforcement Reported Non-Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County, 2011



7. Suspect Arrest in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes

Of the 1,315 *non-penetration* sex crimes in Bernalillo County, there was an arrest made in 514 or 39%. This is significantly higher than the 12% of *criminal sexual penetration* crimes with a suspect arrest.

III. SEXUAL ASSAULT SERVICE PROVIDERS AND NEW CLIENTS SERVED

There were 29 sexual assault service provider agencies that submitted data to the Central Repository in 2012 (see **Appendix H**). Therapists from participating agencies complete the *Sexual Assault History* form, a standardized data collection instrument used by mental health and rape crisis centers throughout New Mexico to capture information on each client who presents for therapy for a recent or past sexual offense (see **Appendix I**). The data presented herein represent clients who presented for therapy between 1/1/11 and 12/31/11 for a recent or past sexual assault. Completed *Sexual Assault History* forms are submitted to the Central Repository on a monthly basis. There were 1,978 clients who received services for a sexual assault victimization. This represents a 1% (.07) increase from that reported in 2010 (1,963). Completed forms on these survivors were analyzed for this report.

The *Rape Crisis Center of Central New Mexico* served 22% (543) of all sex crimes survivors seen in 2011, followed by the *La Pinon* (Las Cruces) 16% (320), and the *Solace Crisis Treatment Center* 11% (217). See **Table 4**. For an examination of survivors served by county, see **Table 5**.

It is difficult to make a meaningful comparison between the number of sexual assault victims reported by service providers per county and the number of sex crime victims reported by law enforcement per county because only 43% (848) of all survivors who sought treatment in 2011 experienced the sexual assault in 2011. One may make a comparison simply based on a 12-month interval basis, i.e. in the same period of time that law enforcement reported 3,027 sex crime victims, therapists served 1,978 survivors. See **Table 6**. In this instance, the total number of survivors seen represents 65% of the number reported to police in the same time frame. However, the number of survivors that were assaulted in 2011 (848) represent only 28% of the number of sexual assault victims identified by law enforcement in 2011.

A. SURVIVOR DEMOGRAPHICS



1. Gender of Survivor

Of the 1,978 sexual offense reports, 1,947 documented the *gender of the survivor*, 85% (1,653) of which were *female*. Among agencies with 20 or more cases, the *Santa Fe Community Guidance Center* served the most males victims, 25% (42), followed by *NMBHI-CBS*, 25% (13), *The Counseling Center-Alamogordo*, 24% (28), the *Southwest Counseling Center*, 24% (15) and the *Farmington Community Health Center*, 23% (37). See **Table 7**.

2. Age of Survivor At Time of Current (Presenting) Sexual Assault


Of the 1,761 reports where *age of survivor at the time of the current assault* was documented, the greatest percentage of victimizations occurred between ages of *13-17* and *6-12*, with 24% respectively, followed by victimizations occurring between ages *18-24* (16%). See **Figure 10**. A comparison of *age at*

the time of the current sexual assault between males and females reveals that more males (79%) of all males assaulted, were victims before age 18, compared to the percent of females among female survivors who were assaulted before age 18 (54%). See **Figure 11**.




Since there is a significant difference between genders with regard to the age of the survivors at the time of the most recent assault when examining all types of assault, an analysis was conducted to compare the age of survivors for males and females between *criminal sexual penetration crimes* and *non-penetration sex crimes*.

In *criminal sexual penetration crimes*, the proportion of *male children* (<13) raped (53%) among all males who were raped is significantly more than the proportion of *female children* raped (24%) among all females who were raped. Beyond age 12, a greater proportion of *females* than *males* were raped in every age group. See **Figure 12**.




Similarly, in *non-penetration sex crimes* (criminal sexual contact, criminal sexual contact of a minor, sexual exploitation, child enticement, indecent exposure) the proportion of *male children* (<13) victimized (79%) among all males victimized is also significantly greater than the proportion of female children victimized (48%) among all females victimized. See **Figure 13**.




3. Age of Survivor At Presentation For Therapy


The *age of the survivor when presenting for therapy* was documented in 1,893 of the sexual offenses reported. Of these cases, the age group with the most presentations was 25-34 (23%), followed by the age groups 18-24 (18%) and 35-44 (16%). See **Figure 14**.



More *males* presented for therapy during the ages of 25-34 (24%), followed closely by males ages 35-44 (20%). Thirteen percent of males were ages 45-54 when presenting for therapy. Age groups <6 and 6-12 comprised 10% respectively, of males that presented for therapy. More *females* presented for therapy between the ages of 25-34 (23%), followed by the age group 18-24 (19%). Age groups 13-17 and 35-44 comprised 15% respectively, of all females that presented for therapy. See **Figure 15**.




The sexual assault reports from service providers in 2011 were analyzed to determine the proportion of victims who sought therapeutic services for their sexual assault within one year of the assault, and the proportion of victims who waited longer than one year. Half (51%) of female victims and one-third (35%) of *male* victims sought therapy within one year of their sexual assaults. Of those that waited to seek services beyond the first year following their sexual assault, the average delay in seeking therapy among *male* sexual assault victims was 15.0 years compared to 7.8 years for *female* victims. After one year, more *males* (36%) than *females* (16%) waited over 20 years to seek services. See **Figure 16**.




4. Survivor History of Prior Sexual Assault/Abuse

Prior sexual assault/abuse was documented in 1,148 service provider reports. Of these, 690 (60%) were sexually assaulted prior to the current assault. The age of the survivor at the time of the prior assault was documented in 481 of the 690 cases. Of these, 481 documented whether the prior abuse was ongoing or an isolated event. There were 298 survivors who were victims of *on-going sexual abuse*. The age at onset of abuse is shown in **Figure 17**. Eighty-seven percent of these prior victimizations occurred by *age 12*. Of the 178 cases of *isolated prior sexual assault incidents*, two-thirds (65%) occurred by *age 12*, 24% between the ages of *13 and 17*, and 11% were individuals *18 and older*. Refer to Figure 17.




5. Race/Ethnicity of Survivor


Race/ethnicity of the survivor was documented in 1,909 of reported sexual offenses. Forty-seven percent of the reported survivors were *Hispanic*, 33% *White (non-Hispanic)*, 12% *Native American*, 6% *mixed race/ethnicity*, and 2% *Black*. For a comparison of these percentages to the racial/ethnic composition of New Mexico, see **Figure 18**.



When *race/ethnicity* was examined among *male rape victims seeking services*, there were too few *Black* (5), and *mixed race/ethnicity* (1) male rape victims to examine. Among *White (non-Hispanic)* male rape victims, significantly more were children (44%), than adolescents (31%) or adults (25%). Similarly, among *Hispanic* male rape victims, 56% were children, 29% adolescents, and 15% adults. Among *Native American* rape victims, two-thirds (65%) were children, 13% adolescents and 22% adults. See **Figure 19**.




When *race/ethnicity* was examined among *female rape victims seeking services*, more female *Native American* (51%), *White (non-Hispanic)* 51%, and *Black* (50%), victims were *adults*, than *adolescents* or *children*. Conversely there were slightly more *child* and *adolescent* *Hispanic* female victims (51%) than *adult* *Hispanic* victims, and significantly more *child* and *adolescent* victims of *mixed race* (57%), than *adult* victims of *mixed race*. See **Figure 20**.




6. Survivor Disability


Of the 1,236 reports that documented *disability of the survivor*, 33% (420) had a disability. The highest reported disability was *emotional/mental disability prior to the sexual offense incident*, accounting for 270 (64%) of the 420 documented cases with disability. Over one-quarter (36%) of cases reported a *physical disability*: 4% hearing, 8% visual, 4% mobility and 20% a *non-specified physical disability*. See **Figure 21**



When rape victims were examined by *disability* and *age*, more *adult* (18 and older) rape victims, 35% (161 of 466) had a disability than *adolescent* victims (ages 13-17), 17% (46 of 274) or *child* (12 and under) victims, 15% (40 of 266). See **Figure 22**.



When rape victims were examined by *disability* and *race/ethnicity*, a slightly greater proportion of *Black* victims, 29% (5 of 29) had a disability than *White (non-Hispanic)* victims, 28% (106 of 378), victims of *mixed race/ethnicity*, 27% (15 of 55), and *Hispanic* victims, 24% (113 of 470). Fifteen percent (22 of 150) of *Native American* rape victims had a disability, the fewest of all races. See **Figure 23**.




B. OFFENDER DEMOGRAPHICS

1. Gender of the Offender

Of the 1,762 sexual offense cases where *gender of the offender* was documented, 1,704 (97%) were *male* and 58 (4%) *female*. Similarly, among 1,038 rape cases where offender gender was documented, 1,006 (97%) were *male*.

2. Age of Offender

Age of the offender was documented in 662 of the offense reports submitted. Most offenders were 18-24 (23%) followed by offenders 25-34 (21%), offenders 13-17 (17%) and offenders 35-44 (15%). See **Figure 24**.



3. Offender Race/Ethnicity

Race/ethnicity of the offender was documented in 810 of the reported sexual offenses. Over half (53%) of the reported offenders were *Hispanic*, 28% *White (non-Hispanic)*, 14% *Native American*, 3% *Black*, and 2% *mixed race/ethnicity*. For a comparison of these percentages to the racial/ethnic composition of New Mexico, see **Figure 25**.

In 86% of documented cases, the offender was the *same race/ethnicity* as the victim. However, the proportion of offenders of each race/ethnicity that were the same race/ethnicity as the victim differ, as shown: ninety-two percent of *Hispanic* offenders were the same ethnicity as their victims (378 of 409) compared to 85% of *Native American* offenders (100 of 117), 79% of *White non-Hispanic* offenders (187 of 236), 60% of *Black* offenders (9 of 15), and 36% of offenders of *mixed race/ethnicity* (8 of 22). For *Black* offenders and offenders of *mixed race/ethnicity* these findings should be viewed with caution as too few reports in these race categories captured this variable. See **Figure 26**.

Figure 25. Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers Compared to State of New Mexico Racial/Ethnic Composition, 2011






Figure 26. Percent Offenders of Each Race/Ethnicity That Are the Same Race/Ethnicity as Their Sexual Assault Victims, 2011




C. SEXUAL OFFENSE CHARACTERISTICS

1. Type of Sexual Offense


The type of sex offense was documented in 1,566 of the reported 1,978 cases reported by service providers. Of these, 65% (1,027) were *criminal sexual penetration* (oral, anal, and/or vaginal penetration), 23% (357) *criminal sexual contact*, 4% (68) *sexual harassment*, 3% (52) *stalking*, and 4% (62) *indecent exposure*. See **Figure 27**.



Of the 1,027 cases involving criminal sexual penetration, 653 did not specify the circumstances of the rape. In the 374 cases of rape that specified the assault circumstances, *incest* accounted for 73% (273). *Date/Acquaintance rape* comprised 9% (34) of the specified rape cases. *Spousal rape* comprised 12% (45) of the specified assaults and *gang rape* comprised 6% (22). See **Figure 28**.




There were 1,544 sexual assault cases where both the *survivor gender* and *type of offense* were known. When examined by *gender*, a significantly greater proportion of *females* (67%) among female survivors experienced rape than *males* experienced rape (59%) among male survivors. Conversely, a greater proportion of *males* among male survivors experienced *criminal sexual contact* (27%), and *indecent exposure* (7%) than *females* experienced *criminal sexual contact* (22%) and *indecent exposure* (3%) among female survivors. See **Figure 29**.



2. Survivor/Offender Relationship

Survivor/Offender relationship was documented in 1,669 of the reported cases of sexual assault. In general, 9% (143) were perpetrated by a *stranger* and 91% (1,526) by someone *known to the victim*.


There were 1,577 cases that documented both *stranger-perpetrated* sexual offenses and the *race/ethnicity of the survivor*. Of the 545 cases with *White (non-Hispanic)* survivors, 10% (55) experienced *stranger-perpetrated* sexual assault. Likewise, of the 727 cases with *Hispanic* survivors, 7% (54) experienced *stranger-perpetrated* sexual assault. *Stranger-perpetrated* sexual assault was experienced by 9% (18 of 190) of *Native American* survivors, and by 10% (9 of 91) of survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity*. There were 24 reports on *Black* survivors that documented the relationship of the offender. Of these, 17% (4) were *stranger-perpetrated* rapes. See **Figure 30**.




There were 1,595 cases that documented both *stranger-perpetrated* sexual offenses and *survivor gender*. Of these documented cases, 268 were *male* survivors and 1,327 *female* survivors. Of the cases with *male* survivors, 5% (14) were *stranger-perpetrated*, compared to 11% (128) of the cases with *female* survivors.

Of the sexual offenses reported to service providers, 91% (1,526) were committed by someone known to the survivor. Of those offenders *known to the survivor*, 43% (659) were *family members/relatives*.

Of the sexual offenses committed by *family members/relatives*, *fathers* was the group with the greatest number of reported offenders, committing 123 (19%) of all *family member* sexual offenses followed by *cousins*, 85 (13%), and *brothers* (81) and *uncles* (80) with 12%, respectively. *Step-fathers*, committed 73 (11%) sexual offenses. *Current spouses* (51) and *other relatives* (56) committed 8% respectively, of sexual offenses. See **Figure 31**.



Of the 867 *non-family offenders known to the survivor*, friends 102 (12%), therapists 113 (13%), new acquaintances 74 (9%), social acquaintances 93 (11%), boyfriends 89 (10%) and mom's boyfriend 68 (8%) comprised almost two-thirds (64%) of these offenders. See **Figure 32**.




3. Number of Offenders Involved Per Sexual Assault

The *number of offenders* per sexual assault was documented in 1,965 of reported sexual offenses. Of the documented reports, 77% (1,515) involved *one* offender. Of the *multiple-offender* assaults reported, 6% (119) involved *two offenders*, 2% (37) involved *three offenders*, and 15% (294) involved *four-or-more offenders*.


There were 1,311 cases that identified *survivor alcohol/drug use* and the *number of offenders* involved. Of these, 387 used alcohol/drugs and 924 did not. Survivors using alcohol/drugs were more than twice (30%) or (118 of 387) as likely to be victimized by multiple offenders as survivors not using alcohol/drugs, (16%) or (148 of 924).

4. Type of Coercion Used


Because more than one type of coercion was used with some survivors, there were 1,558 types of coercion reported in 926 sexual assaults that documented this variable. Of these, the *type of coercion* used most was *physical force* (37%), followed by *manipulation* (28%) and *verbal threat* (19%). *Weapons* accounted for 4% of the types of coercion used: *knives* (2%), *guns* (1%) and *other weapons* (1%). *Intentional drugging of the victim by the perpetrator* accounted for 7% of the total types of coercion used and 5% of the types of coercion used were *other*, unspecified means. See **Figure 33**.



Physical force was used on *adult* victims (ages 18 and older) (41%) and *adolescent* victims (ages 13-17) (39%) more than any other type of coercion. *Manipulation* (47%) was used on *child* victims (ages 12 and under) more than any other type of coercion, followed by *physical force* (28%) and *verbal threat* (21%). *Intentional drugging of the victim by the perpetrator* was used more often on *adults* (11%) than adolescents (5%) and children (0%). *Knives* (3%) and *guns* (2%) were used most often on *adults*. See **Figure 34**.



When examined by gender, more *females* (38%) experienced *physical force* than *males* (31%). Similarly, significantly more *females* were *intentionally drugged* (7%) than *males* (4%). *Males* were significantly more likely to experience *manipulation* (36%) and slightly more likely to experience *verbal threat* (21%) than *females* (26% and 19%, respectively). See **Figure 35**.




5. Use of Alcohol/Drugs

a. Survivor

Of the 1,313 reports that documented *alcohol/drug use*, 30% (389) of survivors used alcohol or other drugs during the reported (current) *assault*. When examined by survivor age, 53% of *adult* survivors, 32% of *adolescent* survivors, and 2% of *child* survivors used alcohol or drugs during the reported sexual assault.

There were 249 of the 389 survivor alcohol-use cases that documented the *survivor/offender relationship*. Of these, 17% (43) were committed by a *stranger*. Conversely, of 924 cases where the survivor did not use alcohol/drugs, there were 801 that documented the survivor/offender relationship. Of these, 7% (58) were committed by a *stranger*. This suggests that *alcohol/drug use* presents a vulnerability to *stranger* rape: those who use alcohol/drugs are *two times* more likely to experience rape by a stranger than those who avoid alcohol/drugs.

There were 1,280 sexual assault cases where both *survivor alcohol/drug use* and *race/ethnicity* were documented. Of these, *Native American* survivors were most likely to use alcohol and/or other drugs at the time of their sexual assault, with 44% *Native American* survivors reporting *alcohol/drug use*. Approximately one-third of *White (non-Hispanic)* (30%), and *Black* (30%) survivors used alcohol and/or other drugs at the time of their sexual assault. Approximately one-quarter of *Hispanic* survivors (27%) and survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* (23%) used alcohol and/or other drugs at the time of their sexual assault. See **Figure 36**.




b. Offender

Use of alcohol or other drugs by offenders was documented in only 429 of the 1,978 sexual offense reports. Of these, 70% (301) of offenders *used alcohol or other drugs* during the reported (current) assault.

6. Location of Sexual Offenses

Of the 877 reports from therapists that documented *location of the sexual assault*, 43% (373) were committed in the *survivor's home*. The *offender's home* represented the location of the second highest category of reported offenses, 23% (198) followed by a *residence other than the survivor or offender's home*, 10% (90). Six percent (50) of the assaults occurred in *multiple locations*, 4% (37) occurred in a *public building*, and 3% respectively, occurred in a *vehicle* (29) or *outdoors* (30). See **Figure 37**.

Figure 37. Location of Sexual Offenses as Reported by Service Providers, 2011



7. Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault

Domestic violence history among survivors was documented in 1,306 of the sexual offense reports. Of these cases, 49% (635) of survivors reported a *history of domestic violence*. Among service provider cases with family offenders, 60.5% involved domestic violence. Among service provider cases with non-family current or former intimate partner offenders, 41% involved domestic violence.

Domestic violence history among offenders was understandably reported in a fewer number of cases, since many survivors of assault do not know this information about their offenders. However, of the 132 cases where survivors knew and reported the *domestic violence history of the offender*, 65% (86) of offenders had a *history of domestic violence*. Of these cases, there were 80 that also documented the *survivor's* history of domestic violence. Of 51 survivors with *no history* of domestic violence, 35% (18) were offended by someone *with a history* of domestic violence. Conversely, of 72 survivors *with a history* of domestic violence, 86% (62) were offended by someone *with a history* of domestic violence. This suggests that a survivor *with a history* of domestic violence is significantly (2.5 times) more likely to be offended by someone *with a history* of domestic violence than a survivor who does not have a history of domestic violence.

8. Sexually Transmitted Disease, Pregnancy, and Sexual Assault

a. Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD's)

Of the 668 cases where *contraction of a sexually transmitted disease* was documented, 17 (2.5%) of the survivors contracted a sexually transmitted disease during the reported (current) sexual assault.

There is a significant correlation between *survivor use of alcohol* and the likelihood of *contracting a sexually transmitted disease*. Those survivors who *used alcohol/drugs* were *four times* more likely to contract a STD than those who did not use alcohol/drugs. Of the total reported sexual assaults that documented whether *alcohol/drugs* were used and whether there was *the contraction of a sexually transmitted disease*, 8% (or 7 of 88) of those survivors who *did* use alcohol contracted a STD, compared to 2% (or 8 of 503) among survivors who did not use alcohol.


b. Pregnancy

There were 1,165 cases that documented whether or not a pregnancy resulted from the presenting sexual assault incident. Of these cases, 45(4%) resulted in a pregnancy.


9. Reported Sexual Assault

Of the 1,978 sexual assault offenses, there were 1,556 which documented whether the assault was reported to a professional agency. Of these, 380 (24%) were *not reported*. Of the 1176 that were reported, 78% (913) were reported by the *survivor*, 2% (20) by the *therapist*, 1% (17) by *law enforcement* and 19% (226) by *others* not specified.

There were 1,433 reports made on 1,176 sexual assaults, as each assault may have been reported to more than one type of agency. Of the 1,433 reports made, 522 (36%) were reported to *law enforcement*, 476 (33%) a *rape crisis center*, 242 (17%) an *ER or SANE*, 121 (8%) a *social service agency*, and 72 (5%) *other* agencies not specified. See **Figure 38**.




There were 1,484 cases that documented both, whether a *report was made* and the *race/ethnicity of the survivor*. One-third (34%) of *White (non-Hispanic)* survivors did not report their sexual assault, compared to one-quarter of *Hispanic* survivors (23%), *Black* survivors (23%) and *survivors of mixed race* (24%) that did not report. *Native American* survivors were the racial group with the fewest unreported sexual assaults (10%). See **Figure 39**.




10. Medical Treatment Sought

There were 1,101 sexual assault offenses that documented whether medical treatment was sought by the survivor. Of these, 47% (525) *sought medical treatment*. There were 1,188 reports that documented *medical treatment sought* and *survivor gender*. Of 943 *female* sexual assault survivors, 50% (469) sought medical treatment. This is significantly greater than the rate of *males* who sought medical treatment, 37% (54 of 145).

An examination of medical treatment sought by *survivor age* revealed that *adult survivors* (ages 18 and older) were most likely to seek medical treatment (66%), followed by *child survivors 0-5 years* (49%), *adolescent survivors* (43%), and *child survivors ages 6-12 years* (16%). See **Figure 40**.



An examination of *medical treatment sought by survivor race/ethnicity*, revealed that 73% of survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* sought medical treatment. This represents the racial/ethnic group with the greatest proportion of survivors seeking medical treatment. Two-thirds (67%) of *Native American* survivors sought medical treatment. Approximately half (52%) of *Black* and *Hispanic* survivors (47%) sought medical treatment. See **Figure 41**.



11. Rape Kit Evidence Collection

There were 989 service provider reports that documented whether *rape kit evidence was collected* (within 5 days of the assault). Of these, 24% (237) reported rape kit evidence collection. When examined by *gender*, significantly more *female survivors* (25%) obtained rape kit evidence collection, than *male survivors* (18%)

An examination of *rape kit evidence collection* (within 5 days of the assault) by *survivor race/ethnicity* revealed that *Native American survivors* (54%) were significantly more likely to have rape kit evidence collected, than survivors of any other race/ethnic group: survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* (21%), *Black survivors* (31%), *Hispanic survivors* (19%), and *White (non-Hispanic) survivors* (20%). See **Figure 42**.

An analysis was conducted to compare *rape kit evidence collection* among male and female *rape victims* by *gender* and *age*. Significantly more female (34%) and male (41%) *adult rape victims* (age 18 and older) obtained rape kit evidence collection than female and male rape victims of other age groups. Among *adolescent survivors*, *females* (26%) were more than three times more likely to obtain rape kit evidence collection than *males* (8%). Female and male *child survivors* (16%) were equally likely to obtain rape kit evidence collection. See **Figure 43**.

Figure 42. Percent Rape Kit Evidence Collection by Survivor Race/Ethnicity, as Reported by Service Providers, 2011






Figure 43. Rape Kit Evidence Collection Among Rape Victims, by Age and Gender as Reported by Service Providers, 2011



12. Accessing Services

There were 1,354 service provider reports that documented how the adult survivor heard about available sexual assault services. Of these reports, there were 1,559 responses, as some survivors offered multiple responses to this question. Of these, most referrals were made from someone from *medical*


services, 19% (297), followed by someone from *mental health or social services*, 19% (289), and *corrections*, 15% (229). See **Figure 44**.



13. Reasons for Seeking Services

There were 1,529 survivors that provided one or more reasons why they decided to seek help. Of all the reasons for seeking assistance, most survivors, 39% (591) were *encouraged to get help by others*, followed closely by those who sought help for *mental health problems/concerns* 10% (148) or *symptoms from the assault, such as nightmares, phobias, flashbacks-PTSD* 28% (427). Another 11% (169) of

survivors reported that it was *safe to get help now*. Interestingly, 4% (60) initially presented for services for *another or different reason*. See **Figure 45**.



IV. SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINER (SANE) PROGRAMS

A. OVERVIEW OF SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINERS (SANE)

The purpose of a SANE program is to provide medical treatment to sexual assault victims of all ages and genders. The value of a SANE program is the use of advanced trained nurses who provide prompt, professional medical treatment and care in a private setting, objectively document injuries using special equipment, ensure that evidence is collected properly and backed by chain of custody, and provide quality testimony through legal proceedings – all at no cost to the victim.

All New Mexico SANE Programs use the New Mexico Sexual Assault Evidence Kit (SAEK) within five (5) days of an assault. Overarching principles of SANE include patient confidentiality and informed consent. SANE services are presented as options so that the patient has control over what happens. For example, services offered by SANE programs may include comfort care, medications to prevent sexually transmitted diseases, emergency contraception, evidence collection, documentation and photography of injuries, and referrals for aftermath care. One distinct advantage of the SANE response is its physical environment. SANE units offer a safe, private and quiet environment where the sexual assault victim can influence the pace of the exam and has the time to have services presented as options, both of which are effective tools in re-powering the patient.

One key component of any SANE exam is collaboration with co-responding partners. A coordinated or multi-disciplinary team approach recognizes the dual purpose of the sexual assault exam to address the patient needs and the justice system needs. In New Mexico, every SANE unit actively coordinates with law enforcement, district attorney offices, crime lab, and crisis services/advocacy. See **Appendix J** for a list of statewide SANE Programs.

The standardized individualized data collection form used by SANE Programs is found in **Appendix K**. The data analyzed for this report covers the 12-month period 1/1/11 to 12/31/11.

B. SANE PROGRAM FINDINGS


There were 1,077 patients served by SANE Programs in 2011. As expected, most, 41% (442) of all SANE patients were served by the *Albuquerque SANE Collaborative*, followed by the *Santa Fe St. Vincent SANE Program* 16% (176), and *Sexual Assault Services of Northwest New Mexico* 12% (126). The number of patients served by each SANE Program is found in **Table 8**.

1. Patient Gender

Of all the patients served by SANE Programs in 2011, 90% (968) were *females*, similar to the proportion of female SANE patients in 2010 (91%).


2. Patient Age

There were 1,074 records documenting *patient age*. Most, (56% or 606) patients served were *adults* (ages 18 and older). *Adolescents* (ages 13-17) comprised 17% (187) of all patients served. *Children* (ages 12 and under) comprised 26% (281) of all patients served. When examined by *gender*, most (54%) *male* SANE patients were *children*, while most *female* SANE patients (58%) were *adults*. See **Figure 46**.




3. Patient Race/Ethnicity

Of 1071 records documenting *patient race/ethnicity*, 47% were *Hispanic*, 27% *White (non-Hispanic)*, 17% *Native American*, 3% *Black*, 7% *mixed race/ethnicity*, and 1% *Other*. *Native Americans*, *Blacks*, and those of *mixed race/ethnicity* are more represented among SANE sexual assault patients than in the general population. Conversely, *Whites (non-Hispanic)*, have less representation among SANE sexual assault patients than their representation in the state population. *Hispanics* have an equal representation among SANE patients and in the general population. See **Figure 47**.



When examined by race and age of the SANE patient, there were too few patients of “other” races (9) to examine by age. Likewise, while most *Black* SANE patients were adults (78%), this proportion should be viewed with caution as there were only 27 *Black* SANE patients. A greater proportion of patients of *mixed race/ethnicity* (39%) and *Hispanic* patients (30%), than *White (non-Hispanic)* (20%), *Native American* (24%) and *Black* patients (7%) were children. See **Figure 48**.



4. Patient Disability

Patient disability was known/documented in 1,029 SANE reports. Of these, 27% (277) had a disability. This is greater than the 23% with a disability reported in 2010. More *male* (32%) than *female* SANE patients (26%) had a disability. When examined by age, 9% of *child* SANE patients, 12% of *adolescent* SANE patients, and 38% of *adult* SANE patients had a disability. Overall, of the 266 SANE patients with a disability where age was documented, 82% (219) were adults.

Of the 277 patients with a disability, 36% or 100 had a *mental/cognitive* disability, 19% (54) an unspecified *physical* disability, 33% (91) a *visual* disability, 9% (25) a *hearing* disability and 2% (5) a speech disability.

5. Offender Gender and Age


Of 962 individual reports where *gender of the offender* was documented, there was a *male* offender in 937 (97%), which equals the proportion of reports with a *male* offender in 2010. The *age of the offender* was documented in 798 of the individual reports submitted. Of these, 85% (681) were *adults* (ages 18 and older), 10% (79) were *adolescents* (ages 13-17) and 5% (38) were *children* (12 and under).

C. OFFENSE CHARACTERISTICS

1. Victim/Offender Relationship


The victim/offender relationship was identified for 1061 SANE patients. Overall, over one-quarter (38%) of offenders were *acquaintances*, 23% *family*, 17% *strangers* and 12% were an *intimate partner* (7%), *ex-intimate partner* (4%) or a *date* (1%), and 9% were a *brief encounter*.

Of 281 SANE *child* patients (ages 12 and under), the *victim/offender relationship* was documented in 245. Approximately three-quarters (77% or 189) were victimized by a *family member*, 17% (41) by an *acquaintance*, and 1% (3) respectively, by a *stranger* and *brief encounter*. See **Figure 49**.



By contrast, SANE patients *over age 12* were significantly more likely to be victimized by an *acquaintance* or a *stranger*, than by a *family member*. *Family* offenders comprised 16% of SANE *adolescent* (ages 13-17) patients and 5% of SANE *adult* (18 and older) patients. *Stranger* offenders comprised 20% of *adolescent* SANE patients and 26% of *adult* SANE patients. *Acquaintance* offenders comprised 40% of *adolescent* SANE patients and 34% of *adult* SANE patients. Thirteen percent respectively, of offenders of *adolescent and adult* SANE patients were assaulted by someone from a *brief encounter*. Additionally, 11% of *adult* SANE patients were assaulted by an *intimate partner* and 8% by an *ex-intimate partner*. Similarly, 6% of *adolescent* SANE patients were assaulted by an *intimate partner* and 1% by an *ex-intimate partner*. See **Figure 50**.

Figure 50. Percent Offender Relationship Among Adolescent and Adult SANE Patients, 2011




2. Number of Offenders

Of 932 reports that documented the number of offenders per sexual assault, 806 (86%) sexual assaults were perpetrated by *one* offender, 80 (9%) by *two* offenders, 27 (3%) by *three* offenders, and 19 (2%) by *four or more* offenders.

3. Type of Coercion

Overall, SANE Programs report that the type of coercion used most was *physical force* (51%), followed by *authority over the victim* (32%), *alcohol/drugs* (21%), *verbal threat* (20%) and *physical intimidation* (19%). One or more weapons were used in 12% of SANE cases: *firearm* (4%), *knife* (5%), *other weapon* (3%). See **Figure 51**.




The *type of coercion* used was examined by *victim age* for 784 patients. Child SANE patients (ages 12 and under) were most often coerced by *person of authority* (88%), followed by *physical force* (14%) and *physical intimidation* (7%). See **Figure 52**.

Adolescent SANE patients (ages 13-17) were most often coerced by *physical force* (54%), *alcohol/drugs* (27%), *person of authority* (25%) and *physical intimidation* (23%). Refer to Figure 52.


Adult SANE patients (18 and older) were most often coerced by *physical force* (68%), *alcohol/drugs* (29%), *verbal threat* (28%) and *physical intimidation* (24%). Refer to Figure 52.

Figure 52. Type of Coercion Used in SANE Sexual Assault Cases, by Patient Age, 2011




The type of coercion used on SANE patients was examined by *offender relationship to the victim*, either *family*, *other known offender* or *stranger*. Significantly more offenses involving a *knife* (14%) were committed by *strangers*. Similarly, significantly more offenses involving a *person in authority* (79%) were committed by *family*; and slightly more offenses involving a *firearm* were committed by a *known*

offender (6%) than a *stranger* (4%). A significantly greater proportion of *stranger* and *known offender* offenses than *family* offenses involved *physical force*, *physical intimidation*, *verbal threat*, *alcohol/drugs*, *other weapons* and *other types of coercion*. See **Figure 53**.




4. Location of Sexual Offenses

Overall, more than two-thirds (70%) of the sexual assaults among SANE patients occurred in a residence: *victim's home* (30%), *offender's home* (28%), or *other residence* (12%). Another 8% of sexual assaults occurred *outdoors*, 7% in a *vehicle*, and 5% occurred in a *motel/hotel*. See **Figure 54**.




When *location of the sexual offense* was examined by SANE patient age, most (89%) *child* SANE patients (ages 12 and under) were victimized in a residence: *own home* (42%), *offender's home* (43%), or *other residence* (8%). Most *adolescents* SANE patients (ages 13-17) were victimized in the *offender's home* (27%), the *victim's home* (21%) or *other residence* (20%), and a *vehicle* (14%). Additionally, 7% of *adolescents* were victimized at *school*. Most (69%) *adult* SANE patients (18 and older) were victimized in a residence: *victim's home* (28%), *offender's home* (22%) or *other residence* (13%). An additional 8% were victimized in a *vehicle*, 7% in a *motel/hotel*, and 4% while in *jail*. See **Figure 55**.



5. Patient Injury

Injury was observed in 76% (821) of SANE patients. When examined by *gender*, three-quarters (75%) of *females* and half (51%) of *males* were injured during their sexual assault.

When examined by *age*, victim injury occurred in 91% of *adult* (18 and older) SANE patients, 83% of *adolescent* (ages 13-17) SANE patients, and 41% of SANE *child* patients (ages 12 and under). SANE patients of all ages experienced more *vaginal* injuries than any other type of specified injury: two-thirds (65%) of *child* SANE patients, 61% of *adolescent* patients and 50% of *adult* SANE patients incurred *vaginal* injuries. See **Figure 56**.



Significantly more *child* (31%) SANE patients than *adolescent* and *adult* patients (13% and 16%, respectively) had *rectal* injuries. Significantly more *adult* SANE patients suffered *strangulation* (15%), than *child* (0%) or *adolescent* patients (11%). Significantly more *adult* and *adolescent* SANE patients than *child* patients had injuries to the body: *head/neck* (*adult* 15%, *adolescent* 12%, *children* 3%); *extremities* (*adults* 22%, *adolescents* 14%, *children* 4%); and *torso* (*adults* 11%, *adolescents* 9% and *children* 8%). Refer to Figure 56.

D. SANE PROGRAMS SERVICE CHARACTERISTICS

1. Referral Source

Referral sources were documented for 1,056 SANE patients. Most patients were referred from *law enforcement* (50%), followed by *hospitals* (22%) and *emergency medical services* (15%). An equal proportion were referred by *CYFD* as were *self-referred*, 4% respectively. See **Figure 57**.

2. Evidence Collection

Rape kit evidence was collected in 952 (86%) of 1,077 SANE cases. No rape kit evidence collection was reported for 8.5% of *child* (<13) SANE patients, 7.5% of *adolescent* (13-17) SANE patients, and 6% of *adult* (18 and older) SANE patients. Significantly more *adult* and *adolescent* patients (98% and 96%, respectively) than *child* patients (59%) had swabs taken from the mouth or genitalia as part of the *sexual assault evidence kit* (SAEK). See **Figure 58**. Similarly, while most *adult* patients (56%) and *adolescent* patients (49%) had *clothes collected* for forensic evidence, only 23% of *child* patients had their clothes collected. A great proportion of SANE patients of all ages had *photos taken* as a part of evidence collection: 92% of *children*, 88% of *adolescents*, and 87% of *adults*. Specific to suspected Drug Facilitated Sexual Assault (DFSA), more *adolescent* patients (7%) and *adult* patients (6%) than *child* patients (0%) presented within 24 hours of the suspected DFSA and had *blood collected*. More *adult* patients (20%) and *adolescent* patients (18%) than *child* patients (2%) presented within 120 hours or 5 days of the suspected DFSA to have *urine collected*. Refer to Figure 58.

Figure 57. Referrals to SANE, 2011






Figure 58. Evidence Collection by SANE Programs, by Patient Age, 2011



3. Assessment Services

Most SANE patients (88% or 943) of all ages received some type of *assessment services*: 92% *adults*, 89% *adolescents*, and 79% of *children*.

Treatment of sexually transmitted diseases was the service conducted most on *adult patients* (92%) and *adolescent patients* (89%), while *physical assessment/medical exam* was the service most conducted on *child patients* (91%). See **Figure 59**. *Psychological/suicide assessment* was conducted on a small proportion of SANE patients of all ages: 8% *adolescents*, 6% *adults*, and 3% *children*. Significantly more *adolescent patients* received *pregnancy prevention/emergency contraception services* (71%) than *adult patients* (59%), and *child patients* (4%). Refer to Figure 59.




4. Reports to Law Enforcement

Of 1,077 SANE cases, 935 documented whether a report was made to law enforcement. Of these 832 (89%) were reported to police at the time of the SANE exams. When examined by patient age, 95% of *child cases*, 89% of *adolescent cases* and 86% of *adult cases* were reported to law enforcement.


5. SANE Referrals to Other Services

Sometime during and after SANE services are provided, the patient is also referred to other services for assistance beyond the scope of SANE Programs. There were 619 patients who received referrals to other services. Overall, 56% (346) were referred to *rape crisis centers*, 44% (275) to *law enforcement*, 37% (226) to *crime victims reparation*, and 34% (208) to *community mental health centers*. One-quarter (24% or 149) of SANE patients were referred for a *follow-up SANE visit*, 18% (112) to *health services* (primary healthcare providers, specialty healthcare providers, medical clinics), 17% (103) to *child protective services*, 10% (63) for *victim advocacy services*, and 3% (16) for *domestic violence services*. See **Figure 60**.



When examined by *patient age*, significantly more *child* patients (ages 12 and under) were referred to *law enforcement* (53%), than *adolescent* (ages 13-17) patients (34%) or *adult* (18 and older) patients (40%). Similarly, significantly more *child* patients were referred to CYFD (36%), than *adolescent* patients (10%). Additionally, more *child* patients were referred to *crime victim reparation* (43%), than *adolescent* (38%) or *adult* (29%) patients. Conversely, more *adult* (60%) and *adolescent* (58%) SANE patients were referred to *rape crisis centers* than *child* patients (51%). Similarly, more *adult* (24%) and *adolescent* (19%) patients than *child* patients (12%) were referred for *health care services*. There were negligible differences in the proportion of *adult* (23%), *adolescent* (24%) and *child* patients (25%) referred for a *SANE follow-up* visit and to *community mental health centers*: *adult* (35%), *adolescent* (31%), and *child* patients (33%). See **Figure 61**.

Figure 61. SANE Patient Referrals to Other Services, by Patient Age, 2011




V. District Courts

In 2010, funding from the Violence Against Women Act STOP Grant Program sponsored a Point of Contact Position at the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) to liaison with sexual assault and domestic violence programs to respond to their concerns and needs regarding the judicial response to sexual assault and domestic violence cases. The Central Repository, working with the first Point of Contact, Laura Bassein, and her successor, Jenna Yanez, was able to access and extract valuable district court data from the AOC regarding new sexual assault charges and cases *filed* in 2011, charges and cases

disposed in 2011, the type of disposition outcomes for sexual assault charges and cases, and sentencing information.

A. New Sexual Assault Charges and Cases Filed in 2011

There were a total of 2,456 sexual assault charges filed in 663 new cases of sexual assault in New Mexico in 2011. *Criminal sexual penetration* (CSP) comprised most (39%) of the charges (adults, 16%; children ages 13-17, 10%; and children <13, 13%). *Sexual exploitation of children* (675) and *criminal sexual contact of a minor* (663) comprised 27% respectively, of all sexual assault charges filed. See **Figure 62**.



One-third (32%) of all sexual assault charges were filed in *Bernalillo County*. *Dona Ana County* comprised 18% of sexual assault charges filed, followed by *Chaves County* (11%). *Valencia, San Juan,* and *Santa Fe* counties comprised 5% respectively, of all sexual assault charges filed in 2011, see **Table 9**.

B. Sexual Assault Charges and Cases Disposed in 2011

1. Sexual Assault Charges Disposed

There were 2,718 sexual assault charges *disposed* in 586 cases of sexual assault. Of the disposed sexual assault charges in 2011, most (35%, 959) were *sexual exploitation of children*, followed by *criminal sexual penetration*, 35% (950), and *criminal sexual contact*, 24.5% (666). See **Figure 63** for the proportion of each type of sexual assault crime disposed in 2011. Of the 950 *criminal sexual penetration* charges, 19% (528) were against *adults*, 15% (405) against *children*, and 1% (16) were *incest* charges which did not document the age of the victims. Of the 666 *criminal sexual contact* charges, 22% (602) were against *children*, and 2% (64) against *adults*.

Slightly over one-third (35%) of all sexual assault charges were disposed in *Bernalillo County*. *Dona Ana County* comprised 12% of disposed sexual assault charges, followed by *Chaves County*, 10%. See **Table 10**.

Of the 2,718 sexual assault charges *disposed*, 68% (1,838) were *dismissed*, 3% (69) were *acquitted* and 28% (750) *obtained a guilty plea or conviction*. The remaining 2% (61) were charges with *other dispositions* such as conditional discharges, remands, or consent decrees which demonstrated prosecution proceedings that did not result in a dismissal, conviction, or acquittal.

There were 147 unique charges comprising 14 unique categories of sexual assault crimes among the 2,718 disposed sexual assault charges in 2011. Dispositions (dismissals, acquittals, convictions) were examined by type of sexual assault charge. *Sexual Exploitation of Children* was the charge with the greatest proportion of dismissals, 37% (681), followed by *Criminal Sexual Penetration*, 21% (378), *criminal sexual contact of a minor*, 349 (19%) and *criminal sexual penetration of a minor*, 16% (287). **Figure 64** illustrates the proportion of each charge that was dismissed.

Similarly, when *guilty pleas/convictions* were examined by type of sexual assault charge, *Sexual Exploitation of Children* was the charge with the greatest proportion of convictions, 35% (266), followed by *criminal sexual contact of a minor*, 27% (200), *criminal sexual penetration*, 16% (123) and *criminal sexual penetration of a minor*, 12% (93). **Figure 65** illustrates the proportion of each charge that obtained a *guilty plea or conviction*.

When *acquittals* were examined by type of sexual assault, *criminal sexual contact of a minor*, was the charge with the greatest proportion of acquittals, 49% (34), followed by *criminal sexual penetration*, 20% (14) and *criminal sexual penetration of a minor*, 14% (10). **Figure 66** illustrates the proportion of each charge that obtained an *acquittal*.

Dispositions of sexual assault charges were examined by district court. When *dismissals* were examined by district court (among courts with 10 or more sexual assault charges), *Fort Sumner District Court* had the greatest proportion of dismissals (100%), followed by *Estancia District Court* (91%), and *Tierra Amarilla District Court* (90%). Conversely, *Carlsbad District Court* had the fewest sexual assault charges dismissed (4%), followed by *Raton District Court* (15%) and *Deming District Court* (25%). See **Table 11** for the proportion of sexual assault charges dismissed for all district courts.

Figure 63. Percent Sexual Assault Charges Disposed in District Courts, 2011




Figure 64. Percent Sexual Assault Charges Dismissed in District Courts, 2011






Figure 65. Percent Disposed Sexual Assault Charges that Obtained a Guilty Plea or Conviction in District Courts, 2011





When *guilty pleas/convictions* were examined by district court (among courts with 10 or more sexual assault charges), *Carlsbad* District Court had the greatest proportion of convictions (94%), followed by *Raton* District Court (85%), and *Deming* District Court (75%). Conversely, *Fort Sumner* District Court had the fewest convictions of sexual assault charges (0%), followed by *Taos* and *Estancia* District Courts (8%, respectively) and *Tierra Amarilla* District Court (10%). See **Table 12** for the proportion of sexual assault charges that obtained a guilty plea/conviction for all district courts.

For the disposition outcomes on specific sexual assault charges for each district court, see **Tables 13 - 40**.

2. Sexual Assault Cases Disposed

Of the 586 *cases* of sexual assault disposed in district courts in 2011, 273 (47%) obtained a *guilty plea/conviction*, 19 (3%) obtained an *acquittal*, 279 (48%) were *dismissed*, and 15 (2%) had prosecution proceedings that resulted in *other dispositions* (conditional discharges, remands, and consent decrees).


Table 41 illustrates the total number of cases dismissed, convicted, and acquitted for each district court.

An examination of *dismissed* cases by district court (among courts with 10 or more sexual assault cases) shows that *Los Lunas* District Court had the highest dismissal rate of their disposed sexual assault cases (67%), followed by *Carrizozo* District Court (64%), *Albuquerque* District Court (63%) and *Alamogordo* District Court (62%). See **Table 42**.

Similarly, an examination of cases that obtained a *guilty plea/conviction* by district court (among courts with 10 or more sexual assault cases) shows that *Carlsbad* District Court had the highest conviction rate of their disposed sexual assault cases (82%), followed by *Deming* District Court (80%), *Gallup* District Court (77%) and *Silver City* District Court (75%). Conversely, *Carrizozo* District Court had

the fewest sexual assault cases with a conviction (27%), followed by *Los Lunas, Albuquerque*, and *T or C District Courts* with 33% of sexual assault cases respectively, obtaining a conviction. See **Table 43**.

Of the 273 sexual assault cases that resulted in a *guilty plea or conviction*, 197 (84%) received a jail and/or probation sentence: 39% (92) received a *jail sentence*, 5% (11) received *probation with no jail*, and 94 (40%) received a *jail and probation sentence*. *Criminal sexual penetration-incest*, received the longest average jail sentence (8.7 years), followed by *criminal sexual penetration of a minor* (5.5 years), *criminal sexual penetration of an adult* (5.4 years), *indecent exposure* (5.3 years), and *criminal sexual contact of a minor* (4.6 years). See **Figure 67** for the average length of sentence for each type of sexual assault charge disposed in 2011.



III. SECTION TWO: SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS

A. SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS

1. In 2011, Fewer Sexual Assaults In New Mexico Came To The Attention Of Law Enforcement, While Slightly More Victims Sought Services Than In 2010; The Number Of Adult Rapes Reported To Law Enforcement Represent 8% Of The Estimated Adult Rapes In The State

There were 100 law enforcement agencies that submitted sexual assault data to the Central Repository during 2011. Presently, these agencies represent 91% of the New Mexico population. There were 3,651 sex crimes reported by participating law enforcement agencies. During the same calendar year, service providers from rape crisis centers and mental health centers served 1,978 victims of sexual assault (as reported on the standardized *Sexual Assault History Form*). SANE Programs served 1,077 sexual assault victims/patients.

In 2011, law enforcement responded to 1,338 criminal sexual penetration crimes and 2,313 non-penetration crimes including criminal sexual contract, criminal sexual contact of a minor, child enticement, sexual exploitation, and indecent exposure. The number of non-penetration sex crimes decreased 9% from the 2,537 reported in 2010. Similarly, the number of criminal sexual penetration crimes decreased 13% from the 1,546 reported in 2010. The rate of rapes reported to statewide law enforcement agencies in 2011, is .74 per 1000.

The Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico was conducted in 2005 to obtain a baseline rate of all rape: reported and unreported. The SVV found that the rate of reported and unreported rape in New Mexico was 6 per 1000 adult females and 2 per 1000 adult males in the previous 12 month period. This rate applied to the 2011 adult population (1,540,507: 753,092 males; 787,415 females) would mean that a closer estimate of adult completed rapes in New Mexico for 2011 is 6,313: 1,531 males and 4,782 females. This means that the number of adult rapes that came to the attention of law enforcement (490) represent approximately 7.8% of the estimated rapes that occurred in 2011.

2. More Sexually Abused Males Than Females Are Abused As Children

In 2011, children (<13 years) comprised almost one-third (34%) of the sexual assault victims assisted by service providers, an average 29.5% (27% rape victims and 32% victims of non-penetration sex crimes) that came to the attention of statewide law enforcement agencies, and 26% of those patients served at statewide SANE units.

Service provider records in 2011 demonstrate that when examined by gender, twice as many males (60%) as females (29%) were children at the time of their sexual assault. There is significant disparity between the rates of victimized male children and female children in criminal sexual penetration and non-penetration crimes. In 2011, of the males that were raped, 53% were children, compared to 24% of females. Similarly, of the males that were victims of non-penetration crimes, 79% were children compared to 48% of females.

3. More Females Seek Therapeutic Services Sooner Than Males

An examination of data in 2011 demonstrates that more females (51%) than males (35%) obtained therapeutic services in the year of the victimization. Further, after a delay of one year, 36% of males and 16% of females were more likely to wait over 20 years to seek services. The average delay for males was 15.0 years compared to 7.8 years for females.

4. Rape Is A Crime Of Opportunity

a. Offenders are older than their victims

It is clear that rape is a crime of opportunity and that opportunity presents itself most often among the vulnerable. In 2011, while 57% of rape victims in law enforcement cases were children and adolescents, greater than three-quarters (82%) of offenders were adults (18 and older). To emphasize this point, in 2011 service providers reported that 60% of their clients experienced a sexual victimization prior to the one for which they presented for services. Two-thirds (62%) of the victims that experienced a prior sexual assault were victims of on-going abuse, 87% of which occurred by age 12. Likewise, 37% were victims of a prior isolated sexual assault, and two-thirds (65%) of these occurred by age 12.

b. Offenders take advantage of those with disabilities

One-third (33%) of the victims of sexual assault that sought services in 2011 had a mental and/or physical disability before the victimization. More *adult* (35%) and *adolescent* (17%) victims had a disability than *child* victims (15%); *Black* victims (29%), *White* (non-Hispanic) victims (28%), victims of *mixed race/ethnicity* (27%) and *Hispanic* victims (24%) reported significantly more cases of disability compared to *Native American* victims (15%).

c. Offenders are overwhelmingly male

Overwhelmingly, offenders of sexual offenses are males. In 2011, the offender in 94% of law enforcement rape cases, 97.5% of service provider rape cases, and 97% of SANE cases were male. Additionally, data from the SVV found that 85% of reported and unreported rapes in New Mexico had a male offender.

5. Most Survivors Are Sexually Assaulted By Someone Of The Same Race

In 2011, service providers reported in 86% of their cases, the offender was the same race/ethnicity as the client. When examined by race/ethnic group, more *Hispanic* victims (92%) were victimized by someone of their own race, than victims of any other race/ethnicity. With the exception of law enforcement identified Native American victims, law enforcement and service providers reported that *Hispanics* (52% and 53%, respectively), *Native Americans* (6% and 14%, respectively) and *Blacks* (10% and 3%, respectively) had a greater representation among offenders than their representation in the New Mexico general population: *Hispanics* (47%), *Native Americans* (8%) and *Blacks* (2%).

6. Sexual Assault And The Probability of Domestic Violence

In 2011, 49% of survivors and two-thirds (65%) of offenders had a history of domestic violence. Among service provider cases with family offenders, 60.5% involved domestic violence. Among service provider cases with non-family current or former intimate partner offenders, 41% involved domestic violence. Additionally, a survivor with a *history of domestic violence* was significantly more likely to be

offended by someone with a *history of domestic violence* (86%), than a survivor with no history of domestic violence (35%).

7. Survivors Of Rape Are More Likely To Seek Therapeutic Services Than Survivors Of Non-Penetration Sex Crimes

It is evident that sexual assault victims that are raped are more likely to seek therapeutic services than victims of other non-penetration sex crimes. Of those that sought therapeutic services in 2011, two-thirds (65%) were rape victims. Another 23% of victims seeking services were victims of criminal sexual contact. An examination of 2011 service provider data found that significantly more females (67%) than males (59%) that presented for services were rape victims.

8. Most Survivors Who Seek Services Are Victims Of Incest

Overwhelmingly, victims that seek services are incest victims. In three-quarters (73%) of service provider cases that identified the nature of the rape, the survivors were victims of incest. This is not surprising given the earlier discussion on the rate of clients that had experienced a prior victimization before age 12, the rate victimized by someone of the same race/ethnicity, and the rate victimized by a family member.

9. Most Sexual Assault Survivors Know Their Offenders

In law enforcement reported rapes in 2011, the offender was known to the victim in 83% of the rapes perpetrated. Of the known offenders, 20% were family members. Similarly, of the victims that sought therapeutic services, 90% of the victims of rape were victimized by someone known to them, 38% of which were family members.

10. More Sexually Assaulted Females, Than Males Are Assaulted By A Stranger

When stranger-perpetrated sexual offenses were examined by gender, 11% of the cases with female survivors were perpetrated by a stranger compared to 5% of cases with a male survivor. When stranger-perpetrated sexual offenses were examined by race/ethnicity, *Black* survivors (17%) comprised more of the stranger-perpetrated sexual offenses than survivors of all other races/ethnicities: *White* (non-Hispanic) survivors and survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* (10%, respectively), *Native American* survivors (9%) and *Hispanic* survivors (7%).

11. Alcohol/Drug Use Is Greater Among Female Victims And Increases Vulnerability To Stranger-Rape, Multiple-Offender Victimizations, and Contraction of STDs

In 2011, law enforcement reported that approximately one-third (32%) of the rape cases involved the use of alcohol or drugs. Similarly, service providers reported that 30% of their rape cases involved alcohol or drug use. When alcohol/drug use was examined by gender, more (34%) female survivors of rape than male (23%) survivors of rape that sought services used alcohol or drugs at the time of the sexual assault. When examined by survivor age for all types of sexual assault, 53% of adult survivors, 32% of adolescent survivors, and 2% of child survivors used alcohol or drugs during the reported sexual assault.

An examination of service provider data in 2011 demonstrates that alcohol/drug use increases ones vulnerability to being raped by a stranger. Of those clients that sought services, two (2.4) times as many survivors that used alcohol or drugs (17%) were victimized by a *stranger* compared to survivors that did not use alcohol or drugs (7%).

Survivors using alcohol/drugs were more than two times (30%) as likely for their assault to involve multiple offenders as survivors not using alcohol/drugs (16%). Furthermore, survivors using alcohol/drugs were four times more likely to contract a sexually transmitted disease.

12. Types of Coercion Used In Sexual Assaults, Such As Physical Force, Verbal Threat, Weapons, Manipulation, And Intentional Drugging Differ By Victim Age

Service providers document the type of coercion that was involved in the sexual offenses experienced by their clients. *Physical force* (37%) was the type of coercion reported most in 2011, followed by *manipulation* (28%) and *verbal threat* (19%). *Weapons* were involved in 4% of cases. Similarly, *physical force* (51%) and *verbal threat* (20%) and *authority over the victim* were involved in most SANE cases. However, *weapons* were involved in a greater proportion of SANE cases (12%).

When examined by age, service providers reported that adults and adolescents experienced more *physical force* (41% and 39%, respectively) and *intentional drugging* (11% and 5%, respectively) than children. While 28% of children were victims of *physical force*, they were most coerced by *manipulation* (47%), very frequently coerced by *verbal threat* (21%) and rarely coerced by *intentional drugging* (<1%).

Similarly, most adult (68%) and adolescent SANE patients (54%) experienced *physical force*, while most children were coerced by *someone in authority* (88%).

13. SANE Programs Best Capture Survivor Injuries

In 2011, law enforcement reported that 28% of rapes involved an *injury*. The SVV found that 27% of female rape victims and 16% of male rape victims reported being injured. In 2011, statewide SANE programs reported that 76% of their patients incurred injury from their assault. When examined by gender, over two-thirds (75%) of females and 51% of males were injured during their sexual assault.

By far, more SANE patients of all ages experienced *vaginal* injuries, with children (<13), 65%, experiencing vaginal injury more than adolescents (61%) or adults (50%). *Rectal* injuries were experienced more by children (31%) than adolescents (13%) or adults (16%). *Strangulation* was experienced more by adults (15%) than adolescents (11%) and children (0%).

14. The Rate Of Seeking Medical Treatment Differs Significantly By Survivor Gender, Age, And Race

In 2011, service providers reported that 45% of their clients sought medical treatment as a result of their sexual assault. Significantly more female survivors (50%) than male survivors (37%) sought medical treatment. Similarly, significantly more child survivors (49%), (<6 years old) sought medical treatment compared to children 6-12 years old (16%). More adults (66%) than adolescents (43%) sought medical treatment.

Of survivors who sought therapeutic services, significantly more survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* (73%) than survivors from all other races sought medical treatment: *Black* survivors (52%), *Hispanic* survivors (47%), *White* (non-Hispanic) survivors (36%) and *Native American* survivors (67%).

15. The Rate Of Obtaining Rape Kit Evidence Differs Significantly By Survivor Gender, Age, And Race

One-quarter (25%) of survivors seeking therapeutic services in 2011 had rape kit evidence collected. Significantly more *female* survivors (25%) than *male* survivors (18%) had rape kit evidence collected.

There is great disparity in the rate of males and females obtaining rape kit evidence among adolescent and adult survivors. More than three times as many *female* adolescent survivors (26%) had rape kit evidence collected compared to 8% of *male* adolescent survivors. By contrast, 34% of *female* adult survivors had rape kit evidence collected compared to (41%) of *male* adult survivors.

In 2011, *Native American* survivors (54%) were more than twice as likely to obtain rape kit evidence collection as survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* (21%), *White* (non-Hispanic) survivors (20%) and *Hispanic* survivors (19%), and almost two times (1.7) as likely as *Black* survivors (31%).

16. Reporting Sexual Assault Differs Significantly By Gender And Race

In 2011, approximately one-quarter (24%) of survivors seeking therapeutic services did not report their victimization to anyone. Of those that did report their victimization, 36% reported to *law enforcement*, 33% to a *rape crisis center* and 17% to an *emergency department* or *SANE unit*. Another 8% of survivors reported to *social service agencies*. The SVV found that three times more females (19%) than males (6%) reported their victimization to law enforcement.

When examined by race/ethnicity, only 10% of *Native American* survivors did not report their victimization to law enforcement compared to 34% of *White* (non-Hispanic) survivors, 24% of survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity*, and 23% respectively, of *Hispanic* and *Black* survivors.

17. The Rate Of Suspect Arrests In Sexual Assault Cases Have Decreased Slightly Since 2010 and Differ Significantly by Victim Gender

In 2011, law enforcement reported that 12% of rape cases had a suspect arrest. This represents a 3% decrease over that reported in 2010. The SVV found that 3% of all male rapes and 47% of female rapes reported to law enforcement resulted in a suspect arrest.

18. Sexual Assault Survivors Get Help When Encouraged By Others And To Address Mental Health Concerns And PTSD Symptoms

There were 1,529 reasons why sexual assault survivors decided to seek help. Of all the reasons for seeking assistance, most survivors (39%) did so because they were *encouraged to get help by others*, and because they sought help for *mental health problems/concerns* or *symptoms from the assault, such as nightmares* (38%).

19. Too Many Sexual Assault Charges Are Dismissed in District Courts

Of 2,718 sexual assault charges disposed in 2011, 68% (1,838) were dismissed. *Sexual exploitation of children* was the charge with the greatest proportion of dismissals (37%), followed by the more egregious charge of *criminal sexual penetration* (21%). Additionally, 19% of *criminal sexual contact of a minor* charges were dismissed, and 16% of *criminal sexual penetration of a minor* charges. Of all disposed charges, 28% obtained a *guilty plea or conviction*, 3% were *acquitted*, and 2% were

charges with *other dispositions* that resulted from prosecution proceedings (conditional discharges, remands, and consent decrees).

Each sexual assault case may have many charges of which some may be dismissed, some convicted, and some acquitted. An examination of sexual assault dispositions on a case level provides a clearer picture of the cases: 1) where at least one charge obtained a conviction, 2) where all charges were acquitted, and 3) where all charges were dismissed. The 2,718 disposed sexual assault charges comprised 586 cases. Of these, 47% obtained a *guilty plea or conviction*, 3% obtained an *acquittal*, 2% had prosecution proceedings that resulted in *other dispositions*, and 48% were *dismissed*.

B. IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS

Findings from the SVV on the rate of rape in the previous 12 months would estimate the number of reported and unreported rapes among adults in New Mexico in 2011 to be 6,313. This is thirteen (12.8) times the number of adult rapes actually reported to law enforcement in the same year, 490. This suggests greater outreach is needed to identify rape victims and refer them to appropriate services.

The rape of children and adolescents in New Mexico must be a primary focus of sexual assault prevention, identification, investigation, and prosecution efforts. Findings from the SVV, law enforcement, service providers, and SANE Programs demonstrate that victims of sex crimes are overwhelmingly female; and a significant proportion of males and females are victimized by age 12. When one considers that parents and step-parents, and other family members are responsible for much of this abuse of males and females, it is imperative that parents, guardians, and extended family be targeted for prevention education and outreach, to compliment the training of other professionals (teachers, clergy, law enforcement, and judges) who must respond to a suspected sexual assault of a child or a child's disclosure.

The negative effects of sexual violation during childhood cannot be overstated. To be sure, sexual assault during childhood is a precursor to experiencing a sexual assault in the future. Over half (60%) of all those who sought assistance for a sexual assault in the year 2011, had experienced a prior sexual assault. Further, while sexual abuse prevention instruction is recommended for students throughout elementary school, when developmentally appropriate, a necessary component of such education must address the shocking reality that children who are sexually abused are at greater risk of becoming pregnant as a teen, than children who are not sexually abused. Education on self-esteem, self-respect, components for healthy relationships, and normal sexual developmental must be addressed to reduce the likelihood of early pregnancy among sexually violated children.

Ten percent of service provider sexual assault cases compared to 17% respectively, of sexual assault cases reported to SANE programs and law enforcement were perpetrated by a stranger. These findings suggest that sexual assault victims who are victimized by a *stranger* are more likely to report to law enforcement and seek medical services and forensic documentation of their victimizations; and that victims who are victimized by a *relative* are less likely to seek medical services and forensic documentation regarding their victimization. By extension, this means that successful prosecution of sexual assaults perpetrated by family members is less likely, and victims of these sex crimes are less likely to access needed services and protections. Since family members comprise a significant number of all perpetrators of reported sexual assaults in our state, it is imperative to provide education and outreach in schools and communities to inform those at risk about services available to them.

Sexual victimizations of adolescents and adults more often involved a gun, knife, and intentional drugging than victims of other ages. Adolescents and young adults are vulnerable to date rape and rape by new and/or social acquaintances. Further, alcohol and/or drug use is associated with a greater

vulnerability to stranger rape, multiple offender rape, and the contraction of a sexually transmitted disease. These findings have implications for personal safety instruction and alcohol and drug prevention education programs for high school students.

In 2011, nearly one-third (33%) of victims who sought assistance for a sexual assault had some type of disability before the sexual assault. Most of these victims (64%) were mentally/emotionally disabled. This speaks to the need for education programs to promote greater awareness among families and communities regarding the vulnerability of their residents with disabilities to being sexually assaulted; and the need for sexuality education and personal safety for individuals with disabilities.

Only one-third (36%) of sex crimes that came to the attention of service providers were reported to law enforcement. Additionally, over 16% of adult victims, 15% of adolescent victims, and 9% of child victims in the SVV reported their victimizations to law enforcement. Further, the SVV found that females report to law enforcement (19%) three times the rate of males (6%). There are several implications: 1) training for healthcare providers to effectively respond to patient disclosures of sexual assault; 2) training of law enforcement officers to respond with sensitivity to the needs of sexual assault victims and initiate advocacy for the victim; and 3) accessible legal advocacy to assist victims through the legal process.

Survivors with a history of domestic violence were two (2.5) times more likely to be sexually assaulted by someone with a history of domestic violence than survivors who were not exposed to domestic violence in their past. Experiencing domestic violence as a child increases one's vulnerability to abuse and sexual assault as an adult. This finding implies that greater efforts should be made by those in law enforcement and in collaboration with those in the helping professions to identify children from violent homes and provide appropriate counseling services.

Law enforcement reported that 28% of criminal sexual penetration cases and 33% of non-penetration sex crimes involved injury to the victim. Conversely, SANE practitioners found that 76% of their sexual assault patients incurred one or more injuries during their assault. The reasons for the great disparity in injury reporting between law enforcement and SANE practitioners can be explained in part, by the fact that SANE practitioners are specifically trained to identify and document sexual assault injuries; and beyond observable injuries to the head/neck or extremities of the victim, law enforcement officers are not likely to detect injury. Secondly, sexual assault victims who believe they are injured may be more likely to seek SANE services than sexual assault victims who do not believe they are injured. Therefore, SANE Programs would naturally have a higher rate of victims who experienced injury. All this said, there may be an implication for officer training regarding victim injury in sexual assaults and a more accurate way to report injury on law enforcement offense incident reports.

There is great disparity in the rates of female and male adolescents that seek rape kit evidence collection. Adolescent female rape victims (26%) are three times more likely than adolescent male rape victims (8%) to obtain rape kit evidence collection. As the availability of proper forensic evidence increases the likelihood of successful identification and prosecution of sexual assault offenders, more advocacy, outreach, and education of adolescent male rape victims and their parents/guardians regarding the value of forensic evidence collection is warranted.

Most survivors of sexual assault seek treatment within the first year of the assault. However, many survivors delay seeking treatment for many years (the average delay for females and males is 15.0 years and 7.8. years, respectively). Most survivors sought treatment because they were *encouraged to do so by others* (39%) and because they had *mental health problems* (38 %). These findings have implications for greater outreach, community training, and the training of professionals to understand the

prevalence of mental health concerns among sexual assault survivors, and the power and importance of seizing all opportunities to encourage survivors to get help.

Two-thirds (68%) of sexual assault *charges* or half (48%) of sexual assault *cases* disposed in statewide district courts were dismissed in 2011 and these percentages do not include cases bound over/transferred, conditional discharges, remands, or other dispositions that resulted from some prosecution actions. As 56% of the dismissed charges include serious sexual assault (*criminal sexual penetration*, 21%), and/or sexual assault against children (*criminal sexual penetration of a minor*, 16% and *criminal sexual contact of a minor*, 19%), greater oversight is warranted to: 1) identify the reasons for the dismissals of these charges (especially those perpetrated against children) at the prosecution and judicial levels; and 2) implement steps necessary to address identified problem areas.

SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO X:

**An Analysis of 2011 Data from The New Mexico
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

TABLES

Table 1. Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes by Agency, 2011

Law Enforcement Agency	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes
Alamogordo Department of Public Safety	7	3	3	2	0					15
Albuquerque Police Department	438	151	294		126		15		594	1,618
Angel Fire Police Department	2									2
Artesia Police Department	3	2	9	0	0	0	0	0		14
Aztec Police Department	2	9	5	2	1	0	0	1		20
Bayard Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Belen Police Department	1	0	3	0	0	0	1	0		5
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	64	24	43		1				112	244
Bernalillo Police Department	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0		4
Bloomfield Police Department	9	6								15
Bosque Farms Police Department	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		1

Law Enforcement Agency	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes
Carlsbad Police Department	34	2	21	2	3					62
Carrizozo Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Catron County Sheriff's Department	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		2
Chaves County Sheriff's Department	2		1		3					6
Cibola County Sheriff's Department	6	3	2	1	0	0	0	0		12
Cimarron Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Clayton Police Department	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0		4
Clovis Police Department	39	0	28	7	2	5	1	1		83
Colfax County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Corrales Police Department	1									1
Cuba Police Department	0									0
Curry County Sheriff's Office	3	3	3	0	3	0	0	8		20
De Baca County Sheriff's Office	0									0

Law Enforcement Agency	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes
Dexter Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Dona Ana County Sheriff's Department	41	41	8	6	1	2	0	1		100
Eddy County Sheriff's Office	15	1	10	0	2	0	0	0		28
Espanola Police Department	8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0		14
Estancia Police Department	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		4
Eunice Police Department	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0		2
Farmington Police Department	53	4	31	11	8	6	0	1		114
Gallup Police Department	27	5	16	17	1	0	0	0		66
Grant County Sheriff's Department	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0		7
Grants Police Department	13	10	3							26
Guadalupe County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Hatch Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Hidalgo County Sheriff's Department	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		3

Law Enforcement Agency	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes
Hobbs Police Department	15	2	7	0	0	0	0	0		24
Jal Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Laguna Police Department	2									2
Las Cruces Police Department	212	14	70	36	5	4	2	2		345
Las Vegas Police Department	12	1	6	0	0	0	0	0		19
Lea County Sheriff's Department	5	0	6	0	0	0	0	0		11
Logan Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Lordsburg Police Department	0									0
Los Alamos Police Department	5	1	5	0	0	0	0	0		11
Lovington Police Department	5	1	2							8
Luna County Sheriff's Office	6	3	6							15
McKinley County Sheriff's Office	9	0	10	0	0	0	0	4		23

Law Enforcement Agency	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes
Mora County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Moriarty Police Department	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		2
Peralta, Village of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Pojoaque Tribal Police Department	0									0
Portales Police Department	13	4	5					1		23
Quay County Sheriff's Office	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Questa Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Raton Police Department	6	2	2							10
Red River Marshal's Office	1									1
Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Department	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		3
Rio Rancho Department of Public Safety	43									43
Roosevelt County Sheriff's Office	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0

Law Enforcement Agency	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes
Ruidoso Downs Police Department	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1
Ruidoso Police Department	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	0		9
San Juan County Sheriff's Office	76	45	17	6						144
Sandoval County Sheriff's Office	6		2					2		10
Santa Clara Police Department	0									0
Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department	21	0	14	3	0	0	0	0		38
Santa Fe Police Department	22	8	14	2	2	1	1			50
Santa Rosa Police Department	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		2
Sierra County Sheriff's Office	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		2
Silver City Police Department	10		3							13
Socorro Police Department	3	8								11
State Police Alamogordo	1	0	0	0	0	1				2
State Police Albuquerque	6	6	15	0	0	23				50

Law Enforcement Agency	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes
State Police Clovis	2	1	0	0	0	2				5
State Police Deming	2	3	4	0	1	7				17
State Police Espanola	2	4	4	3	1	7				21
State Police Farmington	2	0	1	0	0	3				6
State Police Gallup	3	0	2	0	1	5				11
State Police Grants	1	0	0	0	1	1				3
State Police Hobbs	0	0	2	0	0	2				4
State Police Las Cruces	2	0	0	0	0	2				4
State Police Las Vegas	10	1	8	1	0	19				39
State Police Moriarty	0	0	1	0	0	1				2
State Police Raton	2	0	3	0	0	4				9
State Police Roswell	3	1	0	0	1	3				8
State Police Santa Fe	16	3	15	0	0	29				63
State Police Santa Rosa	0	0	0	1	0	1				2
State Police Socorro	5	3	4	0	0	10				22
State Police Taos	6	4	5	0	0	12				27

Law Enforcement Agency	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes
State Police Tucumcari	1	0	0	0	2	1				4
Taos Police Department	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		4
Taos Pueblo DPS Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0
Tatum Police Department	0									0
Torrance County Sherrifs Department	9	0	11	1	0	0	0	1		22
Truth or Consequences Police Department	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0		4
Tucumcari Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Tularosa Police Department	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0		3
Vaughn Police Department	0									0
Zuni Police Department	3		2					2		7
Total	1,338	396	746	104	166	151	20	24	706	3,651
Percent of Total Sex Crimes	37%	11%	20%	3%	5%	4%	1%	1%	19%	100%

Table 2. Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes by County, 2011

County Name	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Child Solicitation By Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes
Bernalillo	508	181	352	0	127	23	15		706	1,912
Catron	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		2
Chaves	5	1	1	0	4	3	0	0		14
Cibola	22	13	5	1	1	1	0	0		43
Colfax	10	2	5	0	0	4	0	0		21
Curry	44	4	31	7	5	7	1	9		108
De Baca	0									0
Dona Ana	255	55	78	42	6	8	2	3		449
Eddy	52	5	40	2	5	0	0	0		104
Grant	13	4	3	0	0	0	0	0		20
Guadalupe	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0		4
Hidalgo	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		3
Lea	25	3	19	0	0	2	0	0		49
Lincoln	4	2	2	2	0	0	0	0		10
Los Alamos	5	1	5	0	0	0	0	0		11
Luna	8	6	10	0	1	7				32
McKinley	42	5	30	17	2	5	0	6		107
Mora	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Otero	9	3	4	3	0	1	0	0		20
Quay	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0		4
Rio Arriba	11	10	6	3	1	7	0	0		38
Roosevelt	13	4	5	0	0	0	0	1		23
San Juan	142	64	54	19	9	9	0	2		299
San Miguel	22	2	14	1	0	19	0	0		58
Sandoval	52	0	4	0	0	0	0	2		58
Santa Fe	59	11	43	5	2	30	1	0		151

County Name	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Child Solicitation By Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes
Sierra	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0		6
Socorro	8	11	4	0	0	10				33
Taos	9	5	6	0	0	12	0	0		32
Torrance	12	1	14	1	0	1	0	1		30
Union	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0		4
Valencia	1	0	4	0	0	0	1	0		6
Total	1,338	396	746	104	166	151	20	24	706	3,651
Percent	37%	11%	20%	3%	5%	4%	1%	1%	19%	100%

Table 3. Percent CSP* Incidents with a Suspect Arrest by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	Total CSP Reports Documenting Suspect Arrest	Total CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest	Percent Incidents with a Suspect Arrest
Alamogordo Department of Public Safety	2	1	50%
Bernalillo Police Department	1	0	0%
Bloomfield Police Department	2	1	50%
Carlsbad Police Department	15	1	7%
Cibola County Sheriff's Department	2	0	0%
Clovis Police Department	7	0	0%
Estancia Police Department	2	2	100%
Farmington Police Department	14	4	29%
Gallup Police Department	9	0	0%
Hobbs Police Department	5	1	20%
Las Cruces Police Department	43	0	0%
Portales Police Department	5	5	100%
Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Department	1	1	100%
State Police Santa Fe	6	0	0%
State Police Taos	2	0	0%
State Police Tucumcari	1	0	0%
Torrance County Sheriff's Department	2	0	0%
Tularosa Police Department	0	0	0%
Bloomfield Police Department	2	2	100%
Carrizozo Police Department	0	0	0%
Cibola County Sheriff's Department	2	0	0%
Clovis Police Department	19	5	26%
Estancia Police Department	0	0	0%
Farmington Police Department	15	4	27%
Gallup Police Department	3	0	0%
Hobbs Police Department	5	1	20%
Las Cruces Police Department	55	0	0%
Las Vegas Police Department	4	0	0%
Lea County Sheriff's Department	2	1	50%
Moriarty Police Department	1	0	0%
Portales Police Department	5	2	40%
State Police Albuquerque	2	0	0%
State Police Clovis	1	0	0%
State Police Deming	0	0	0%
State Police Espanola	1	0	0%
State Police Farmington	0	0	0%
State Police Las Vegas	5	1	20%
Torrance County Sheriff's Department	2	0	0%
Tucumcari Police Department	0	0	0%
Tularosa Police Department	0	0	0%

Law Enforcement Agency	Total CSP Reports Documenting Suspect Arrest	Total CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest	Percent Incidents with a Suspect Arrest
Bloomfield Police Department	3	1	33%
Clovis Police Department	13	1	8%
Espanola Police Department	2	1	50%
Farmington Police Department	11	2	18%
Gallup Police Department	8	1	13%
Las Cruces Police Department	64	0	0%
State Police Raton	1	1	100%
Albuquerque Police Department	438	39	9%
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	64	17	27%
Bloomfield Police Department	2	0	0%
Carlsbad Police Department	4	1	25%
Espanola Police Department	3	1	33%
Farmington Police Department	13	1	8%
Gallup Police Department	7	1	14%
Luna County Sheriff's Office	2	2	100%
Ruidoso Police Department	3	1	33%
Torrance County Sherrifs Department	4	1	25%
Total	885	103	12%

*CSP = criminal sexual penetration

Table 4. Percent Sexual Assault Survivors Served by Participating Agency, 2011

Agency Name	County	Number of Survivors Served	Percent of All Survivors Served
Pathways, Inc.	Bernalillo	1	0%
Rape Crisis Center Central NM	Bernalillo	443	22%
Counseling Associates	Chaves	15	1%
Tri-County Community Services, Inc.-Raton	Colfax	8	0%
Mental Health Resources-Clovis	Curry	5	0%
La Pinon Sexual Assault Recovery Services	Dona Ana	320	16%
Southwest Counseling Center	Dona Ana	62	3%
Border Area Mental Health (Grant County)	Grant	5	0%
Silver Regional SASS (Hidalgo County)	Hidalgo	1	0%
Border Area Mental Health (Luna County)	Luna	10	1%
Better Balance Counseling	Otero	2	0%
The Counseling Center-Alamogordo	Otero	117	6%
Mental Health Resources-Tucumcari	Quay	2	0%
Tewa Women United	Rio Arriba	23	1%
Arise Sexual Assault Services	Roosevelt	27	1%
Mental Health Resources-Portales	Roosevelt	2	0%
Desert View DV & SA Services	San Juan	75	4%
Farmington Community Health Center PMS	San Juan	162	8%
PMS SJC Adolescent Residential Tx Ctr (ARTC)	San Juan	2	0%
Sexual Assault Services of Northwest New Mexico	San Juan	133	7%
NMBHI-CBS	San Miguel	52	3%
La Buena Vida (Sandoval County)	Sandoval	11	1%
PMS/Santa Fe Community Guidance Center	Santa Fe	171	9%
Solace Crisis Treatment Center	Santa Fe	217	11%
Silver Regional SASS (Grant County)	Silver City	37	2%
Socorro Mental Health	Socorro	17	1%
Community Against Violence	Taos	40	2%
La Buena Vida (Valencia County)	Valencia	1	0%
Valencia Counseling Services, Inc.-Los Lunas	Valencia	17	1%
Total		1,978	100%

Table 5. Percent Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County, 2011

County	Number of Survivors Served	Percent of All Survivors Served
Bernalillo	444	22%
Chaves	15	1%
Colfax	8	0%
Curry	5	0%
Dona Ana	382	19%
Grant	5	0%
Hidalgo	1	0%
Luna	10	1%
Otero	119	6%
Quay	2	0%
Rio Arriba	23	1%
Roosevelt	29	1%
San Juan	372	19%
San Miguel	52	3%
Sandoval	11	1%
Santa Fe	388	20%
Silver City	37	2%
Socorro	17	1%
Taos	40	2%
Valencia	18	1%
Total	1,978	100%

Table 6. Number of Sex Crimes Survivors Served by Rape Crises/Mental Health Centers and Number of Sex Crimes Victims Reported to Law Enforcement by County, 2011

County	Number of Victims Identified by Law Enforcement	Number of Survivors Served
Bernalillo	1,264	444
Catron	2	
Chaves	14	15
Cibola	46	
Colfax	21	8
Curry	109	5
De Baca	0	
Dona Ana	457	382
Eddy	105	
Grant	20	42
Guadalupe	4	
Hidalgo	3	1
Lea	49	
Lincoln	12	
Los Alamos	11	
Luna	33	10
McKinley	107	
Mora	0	
Otero	20	119
Quay	4	2
Rio Arriba	38	23
Roosevelt	23	29
San Juan	301	372
San Miguel	61	52
Sandoval	58	11
Santa Fe	151	388
Sierra	6	
Socorro	34	17
Taos	33	40
Torrance	31	
Union	4	
Valencia	6	18
Total	3,027	1,978

Table 7. Percent Male Victims Served by Service Provider Agency

Agency Name	Number of Sexual Assault Reports Documenting Victim Gender	Number of Male Victims Served	Percent Male Victims Served
Arise Sexual Assault Services	27	1	4%
Better Balance Counseling	2		0%
Border Area Mental Health (Grant County)	5	3	60%
Border Area Mental Health (Luna County)	10	2	20%
Community Against Violence	39	5	13%
Counseling Associates	15	1	7%
Desert View DV & SA Services	74	10	14%
Farmington Community Health Center PMS	161	37	23%
La Buena Vida (Sandoval County)	11	2	18%
La Buena Vida (Valencia County)	1		0%
La Pinon Sexual Assault Recovery Services	306	41	13%
Mental Health Resources-Clovis	5		0%
Mental Health Resources-Portales	2		0%
Mental Health Resources-Tucumcari	2		0%
NMBHI-CBS	51	13	25%
Pathways, Inc.	1		0%
PMS SJC Adolescent Residential Tx Ctr (ARTC)	2	1	50%
PMS/Santa Fe Community Guidance Center	167	42	25%
Rape Crisis Center Central NM	438	32	7%
Sexual Assault Services of Northwest New Mexico	133	20	15%
Silver Regional SASS (Grant County)	37	3	8%
Silver Regional SASS (Hidalgo County)	1	1	100%
Socorro Mental Health	17	2	12%
Solace Crisis Treatment Center	216	29	13%
Southwest Counseling Center	62	15	24%
Tewa Women United	22	1	5%
The Counseling Center-Alamogordo	115	28	24%
Tri-County Community Services, Inc-Raton	8	1	13%
Valencia Counseling Services, Inc.-Los Lunas	17	4	24%
Total	1,947	294	15%

Table 8. Percent SANE Patients by SANE Program, 2011

Agency Name	County	SANE Sexual Assault Patients	Percent of Total Patients Served
Albuquerque SANE Collaborative	Bernalillo	442	41%
Arise SAS - Clovis (PRMC) SANE Unit	Curry	45	4%
Arise SAS - LEA County Satellite	Lea	18	2%
Arise SAS - QUAY County Satellite	Quay	6	1%
Arise SAS - ROOSEVELT County SANE Project	Roosevelt	26	2%
Artesia Esperanza House SANE Project	Eddy	8	1%
Carlsbad Medical Center - SANE	Eddy	11	1%
Cibola General Hospital SANE (Grants)	Cibola	12	1%
Las Cruces La Pinon SANE Project	Dona Ana	93	9%
Otero/Lincoln Counties SANE Unit (Alamogordo)	Otero	58	5%
Roswell Esperanza House SANE Project	Chaves	43	4%
Santa Fe Christus St. Vincent SANE Program	Santa Fe	176	16%
Sexual Assault Services of NW NM (Farmington SANE)	San Juan	126	12%
Taos Holy Cross Hospital SANE Unit	Taos	10	1%
Lea County SANE	Lea	3	0%
Total		1,077	100%

Table 9. Percent of Sexual Assault Charges Filed in 2011, by District Court

County	Total Sexual Assault Charges Filed	Percent of Total Charges Filed
Bernalillo	783	32%
Catron	8	0%
Chaves	268	11%
Cibola	13	1%
Colfax	5	0%
Curry	47	2%
De Baca	1	0%
Dona Ana	446	18%
Eddy	41	2%
Grant	18	1%
Hidalgo	6	0%
Lea	28	1%
Lincoln	8	0%
Los Alamos	10	0%
Luna	59	2%
McKinley	52	2%
Otero	38	2%
Quay	6	0%
Rio Arriba	13	1%
Roosevelt	52	2%
San Juan	117	5%
San Miguel	42	2%
Sandoval	49	2%
Santa Fe	124	5%
Santa Rosa	3	0%
Sierra	13	1%
Socorro	29	1%
Taos	20	1%
Torrance	36	1%
Union	1	0%
Valencia	120	5%
Total	2,456	100%

Table 10. Percent Sexual Assault Charges Disposed in 2011, by District Court

Court	Total Sexual Assault Charges	Percent of Total Charges Disposed
Alamogordo District Court	124	5%
Albuquerque District Court	939	35%
Aztec/Farmington District Court	161	6%
Bernalillo District Court	90	3%
Carlsbad District Court	70	3%
Carrizozo District Court	22	1%
Clovis District Court	186	7%
Deming District Court	20	1%
Estancia District Court	64	2%
Fort Sumner District Court	16	1%
Gallup District Court	78	3%
Grants District Court	18	1%
Las Cruces District Court	329	12%
Las Vegas District Court	24	1%
Los Alamos District Court	2	0%
Los Lunas District Court	56	2%
Lovington District Court	62	2%
Portales District Court	13	0%
Raton District Court	20	1%
Roswell District Court	283	10%
Santa Fe District Court	79	3%
Santa Rosa District Court	1	0%
Silver City District Court	8	0%
Socorro District Court	7	0%
T or C District Court	7	0%
Taos District Court	13	0%
Tierra Amarilla District Court	21	1%
Tucumcari District Court	5	0%
Total	2,718	100%

Table 11. Percent Sexual Assault Charges Dismissed in 2011, by District Court

Court	Total Charges	Total Dismissed	Percent Dismissed
Alamogordo District Court	124	91	73%
Albuquerque District Court	939	710	76%
Aztec/Farmington District Court	161	94	58%
Bernalillo District Court	90	33	37%
Carlsbad District Court	70	3	4%
Carrizozo District Court	22	18	82%
Clovis District Court	186	153	82%
Deming District Court	20	5	25%
Estancia District Court	64	58	91%
Fort Sumner District Court	16	16	100%
Gallup District Court	78	59	76%
Grants District Court	18	16	89%
Las Cruces District Court	329	167	51%
Las Vegas District Court	24	17	71%
Los Alamos District Court	2	0	0%
Los Lunas District Court	56	43	77%
Lovington District Court	62	25	40%
Portales District Court	13	7	54%
Raton District Court	20	3	15%
Roswell District Court	283	236	83%
Santa Fe District Court	79	40	51%
Santa Rosa District Court	1	1	100%
Silver City District Court	8	2	25%
Socorro District Court	7	3	43%
T or C District Court	7	4	57%
Taos District Court	13	11	85%
Tierra Amarilla District Court	21	19	90%
Tucumcari District Court	5	4	80%
Total	2,718	1,838	68%

Table 12. Percent Guilty Pleas/Convictions in 2011, by District Court

Court	Total Charges	Guilty Pleas/Convictions	Percent Guilty Pleas/Convictions
Alamogordo District Court	124	31	25%
Albuquerque District Court	939	159	17%
Aztec/Farmington District Court	161	57	35%
Bernalillo District Court	90	57	63%
Carlsbad District Court	70	66	94%
Carrizozo District Court	22	3	14%
Clovis District Court	186	21	11%
Deming District Court	20	15	75%
Estancia District Court	64	5	8%
Fort Sumner District Court	16	0	0%
Gallup District Court	78	19	24%
Grants District Court	18	2	11%
Las Cruces District Court	329	158	48%
Las Vegas District Court	24	5	21%
Los Alamos District Court	2	2	100%
Los Lunas District Court	56	13	23%
Lovington District Court	62	30	48%
Portales District Court	13	5	38%
Raton District Court	20	17	85%
Roswell District Court	283	36	13%
Santa Fe District Court	79	33	42%
Santa Rosa District Court	1	0	0%
Silver City District Court	8	5	63%
Socorro District Court	7	4	57%
T or C District Court	7	3	43%
Taos District Court	13	1	8%
Tierra Amarilla District Court	21	2	10%
Tucumcari District Court	5	1	20%
Total	2,718	750	28%

Table 13. Alamogordo District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact (13-18) by school employee 4th Degree	4			4	
Criminal sexual contact (deadly weapon)	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact (personal injury)	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact minor (4th deg) (force/coerce)	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact minor 13-18 w/force or coercion	6			6	
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 / 3rd Degree	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 / 2nd degree	3		2	1	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor (child u/13)	3		2	1	
Criminal sexual contact of minor	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration	39		17	22	
Criminal sexual penetration (4th Degree)	26			24	2
Criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	10		5	5	
Criminal sexual penetration 1 st degree (Child Under 13)	15		2	13	
Criminal sexual penetration by force on child	10		2	8	
Criminal sexual penetration in 2nd Degree	1		1		
Indecent exposure	1			1	
Voyeurism	1			1	

Table 14. Albuquerque District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Accepting earnings of a prostitute	8		1	7	
Aggravated indecent exposure	23		3	20	
Attempted criminal sexual contact minor (4th degree) (force/coerce)	2			2	
Attempted criminal sexual contact minor (u/13)	2		1	1	
Attempted criminal sexual penetration (commission of felony)	4		1	3	
Attempted criminal sexual penetration (force/coercion)	2			2	
Attempted criminal sexual penetration	4			4	
Attempted criminal sexual penetration (child u/13) (a)(1)	1			1	
Attempted criminal sexual penetration (child under 13)	2		2		
Attempted promoting prostitution	2		1		1
Conspiracy criminal sexual penetration (force/coercion)	1			1	
Conspiracy criminal sexual contact of minor	3			3	
Conspiracy criminal sexual penetration	2			2	
Conspiracy promoting prostitution	7			7	
Criminal sexual penetration (force/coerce) (personal injury)	32		6	26	
Criminal sexual contact minor (4th degree) (force/coerce)	11			11	
Criminal sexual contact minor (person in authority)	9	7	2		
Criminal sexual contact of minor (aid/abet by another)	2			2	
Criminal sexual penetration (commission of felony)	23		13	10	
Criminal sexual penetration (force/coercion) (great bodily harm)	3			3	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor	58	1	3	54	
Criminal sexual penetration	93	10	4	78	1
Criminal sexual contact	6		1	4	1
Criminal sexual contact (force or coercion)	9			9	
Criminal sexual contact (misdemeanor)	5		4	1	
Criminal sexual contact minor 2nd degree (child under 13) (unclothed)	5	1	2	2	
Criminal sexual contact minor 2nd degree (child under 13) (unclothed) - attempt	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact minor 3rd degree (aided by another) (clothed) - attempt	1				1

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact minor 3rd degree (child under 13) (clothed)	20		8	7	5
Criminal sexual contact minor 3rd degree (child under 13) (clothed) - attempt	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact minor 4th degree (force or coercion)	7			5	2
Criminal sexual contact minor 4th degree (force or coercion) - conspiracy	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact 4th degree (deadly weapon) - attempt	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor (child u/13)	37		9	24	4
Criminal sexual penetration (aided/abetted)	2			2	
Criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	8	1	2	4	1
Criminal sexual penetration (child u/13)(a)(1)	101	6	1	94	
Criminal sexual penetration (child under 13)	17	2		15	
Criminal sexual penetration (force/coercion)	47		6	41	
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13)	53		3	47	3
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (child 13 to 16)	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (commission of felony) - conspiracy	2				2
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (commission of felony)	5		5		
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (personal injury)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (personal injury) - attempt	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration 3rd degree	13		4	4	5
Criminal sexual penetration 4th degree (child 13 to 16)	2		1	1	
Criminal sexual penetration on an inmate	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact (13-18) person of authority 3rd degree	7		5	2	
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 years of age/2nd degree	43	1	4	38	
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 years of age/3rd degree	47	7	12	28	
Criminal sexual contact of minor 13-18 w/force or coercion	1			1	
Enticement of a child	2		1	1	
Hiring child 13-16 for prostitution	1			1	
Incest	9	2	6	1	
Indecent exposure	31		15	14	2
Patronizing prostitutes	3		1	2	

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Possession of visual medium of sexual exploitation of children under 18 years of age	7		2	5	
Promoting prostitution	19		2	17	
Promoting prostitution (procuring) - attempt	1		1		
Promoting prostitution (soliciting patrons) - attempt	1		1		
Prostitution	7			7	
Sexual exploitation of children (manufacturing)	12	3	1	8	
Sexual exploitation of children (rec)	2			2	
Sexual exploitation of children (distribution/possession with intent to distribute)	87		18	69	
Sexual exploitation of children (distribution)	15		3	12	
Voyeurism	2	1	1		

Table 15. Aztec/Farmington District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Attempted criminal sexual contact (force/coercion)	2				2
Attempted criminal sexual contact of minor (u/13)	2		2		
Attempted criminal sexual penetration (force/coercion)	1			1	
Attempted sexual exploitation of children	7			7	
Conspiracy criminal sex penetration (child 13-16)	1			1	
Criminal sex penetration (force/coerce) (personal injury)	2		2		
Criminal sexual contact minor (4 th degree) (force/coerce)	2		1	1	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor (force/coercion)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration (force/coercion) (great bodily harm)	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact of minor	11		2	8	1
Criminal sexual penetration	6		5	1	
Criminal sexual contact	6			6	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor (child u/13)	2			2	
Criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	8		3	3	2

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (inmate)	6		2	4	
Criminal sexual penetration 3rd degree	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration by force on child	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration in 2nd degree	3		1	2	
Criminal sexual contact (13-18) person of authority 3rd degree	2		1	1	
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 years of age/2nd degree	9		5	4	
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 years of age/3rd degree	9		2	7	
Criminal sexual contact of minor 13-18 w/force or coercion	2			1	1
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13)	6			4	2
Indecent exposure	1		1		
Sexual exploit of child (manufacturing)	1		1		
Sexual exploitation of children (distribution/possession with intent to distribute)	66	2	26	38	
Voyeurism	2		2		

Table 16. Bernalillo District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact of minor	3		2	1	
Criminal sexual penetration	9		3	6	
Criminal sexual contact / minor 3rd degree (child under 13)(clothed)	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact / minor 4th degree (force or coercion) - conspiracy	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact 4th degree (personal injury)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13) - attempt	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (commission of felony) - attempt	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (commission of felony)	2			2	
Criminal sexual penetration 4th degree (child 13 to 16)	2		2		
Criminal sexual contact /unl intent touch 18+ year	1			1	
Possession of visual medium of sexual exploitation of children under 18 years of age	20			20	

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Sexual exploitation of children (distribution/possession with intent to distribute)	47		47		
Voyeurism	1		1		

Table 17. Carlsbad District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact (force or coercion)	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact / minor 2nd degree (child under 13) (unclothed)	3		3		
Criminal sexual contact / minor 3rd degree (child under 13) (clothed)	2		2		
Criminal sexual contact / minor 4th degree (force or coercion)	5	1	4		
Criminal sexual contact of a minor	16		16		
Criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration (child under 13)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13)	13		12	1	
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (child 13 to 16)	3		3		
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (commission of felony)	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration 4th degree (child 13 to 16)	3		3		
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 2nd degree	20		19	1	
Incest	1		1		

Table 18. Carrizozo District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual penetration (force/coerce) (personal injury)	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor (force/coercion)	2	1		1	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration	3		1	2	
Criminal sexual penetration	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	6		1	5	
Criminal sexual penetration by force on child	2			2	
Criminal sexual contact (13-18) person of authority 3rd degree	2			2	
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13)	3			3	
Indecent exposure	1			1	

Table 19. Clovis District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Attempted criminal sexual contact of minor (u/13)	1			1	
Attempted criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor	6	5	1		
Criminal sexual penetration	7		1	6	
Criminal sexual contact (personal injury)	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor (child u/13)	2		2		
Criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	2		1	1	
Criminal sexual penetration (child u/13)(a)(1)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 3rd degree	2		2		
Criminal sexual penetration by force on child	5	4		1	
Criminal sexual penetration in 2nd degree	3			3	
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 years of age/2nd degree	4			4	
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 years of age/3rd degree	3		2		1
Criminal sexual contact minor 13-18 w/force or coercion	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13)	2		1	1	
Enticement of a child	1				1

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Incest	2			2	
Indecent exposure	1			1	
Sexual exploitation of children (distribution/possession with intent to distribute)	141		10	130	1

Table 20. Deming District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Aggravated indecent exposure	1		1		
Attempted criminal sexual contact of minor	1		1		
Attempted criminal sex penetration (child u/13) (a)(1)	2		2		
Criminal sexual contact of minor	6		5	1	
Criminal sexual penetration	4		2	2	
Criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	2		2		
Criminal sexual contact of minor under 13 years of age/3rd degree	2			2	
Incest	1		1		
Indecent exposure	1		1		

Table 21. Estancia District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact minor (4th degree) (force/coerce)	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact minor 2nd degree (child under 13)(unclothed)	3			3	
Criminal sexual contact 4th degree (personal injury)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	2			2	
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (child 13 to 16)	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13)	7		1	6	
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (great bodily harm/great mental anguish)	1				1
Criminal sexual penetration 3rd degree - attempt	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 4th degree (child 13 to 16)	5		1	4	
Criminal sexual penetration 4th degree (child 13 to 16) - attempt	1		1		

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 2nd degree	5		1	4	
Sexual exploitation of children (manufacturing)	36			36	

Table 22. Fort Sumner District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Aggravated indecent exposure	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact 4th degree (deadly weapon) - attempt	10			10	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration (force/coercion)	2			2	
Criminal sexual contact under 13 years of age/3rd degree	1			1	
Incest	1			1	

Table 23. Gallup District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact minor (4th degree) (force/coerce)	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact (personal injury)	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration	3			3	
Criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	5			5	
Criminal sexual penetration (child under 13)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree personal injury	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 years of age/2nd degree	4			4	
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 years of age/3rd degree	9		8	1	
Sexual exploitation of children (distribution/possession with intent to distribute)	51		8	43	
Sexual exploitation of children (distribution)	2			2	

Table 24. Grants District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact of minor	13		1	12	
Criminal sexual contact / minor 2nd degree (child under 13) (unclothed)	2			2	
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 3rd degree	1		1		
Enticement of a child	1			1	

Table 25. Las Cruces District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Aggravated indecent exposure	2		2		
Attempted criminal sex contact of minor (u/13)	1			1	
Attempted criminal sexual penetration	4		4		
Attempted criminal sex penetration (child u/13) (a)(1)	5		4	1	
Attempted criminal sex penetration (child under 13)	3		3		
Conspiracy criminal sex penetration (child u/13) (a) (1)	1			1	
Conspiracy criminal sex penetration 2nd degree (child 13-16)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration (force/coerce) (personal injury)	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact minor (4th degree) (force/coerce)	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact of minor (aid/abet by another)	2		1	1	
Criminal sexual penetration (commission of felony)	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact of minor	10		2	8	
Criminal sexual penetration	16		4	12	
Criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	24		8	16	
Criminal sexual contact	5		3	2	
Criminal sexual contact (personal injury)	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact of a minor (child u/13)	3		2		1
Criminal sexual penetration (child u/13)(a)(1)	18			18	
Criminal sex penetration (child under 13)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 3rd degree	3		1	2	

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual penetration by force on child	14		2	12	
Criminal sexual penetration in 2nd degree	3		2	1	
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 years of age/2nd degree	29		19	10	
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 years of age/3rd degree	10	2	6	2	
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13)	12		4	8	
Enticement of a child	2	1	1		
Indecent exposure	1			1	
Prostitution	1		1		
Sexual exploit of children (manufacturing)	46		21	25	
Sexual exploitation of children (rec)	1		1		
Sexual exploitation of children (distribution/possession with intent to distribute)	72		31	41	
Sexual exploitation of children (distribution)	34		34		
Voyeurism	1			1	

Table 26. Las Vegas District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Aggravated indecent exposure (victim is less than 18 years old)	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact / minor 2nd degree (child under 13) (unclothed)	4	1	1	2	
Criminal sexual contact / minor 3rd degree (child under 13) (clothed)	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor (child u/13)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration (child under 13)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13)	10	1	1	8	
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13) - solicitation	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (personal injury)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 3rd degree	2		2		
Criminal sexual penetration 4th degree (child 13 to 16)	1			1	
Indecent exposure	1			1	

Table 27. Los Alamos District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact / minor 4 th degree (school personnel)	2		2		

Table 28. Los Lunas District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact minor (4th deg) (force/coerce)	6			6	
Criminal sexual contact of minor	5		2	3	
Criminal sexual penetration	7		1	6	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor (child u/13)	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (great bodily harm/great mental anguish) - solicitation	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (great bodily harm/great mental anguish)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 3rd degree	2			2	
Indecent exposure	1			1	
Possession of visual medium of sexual exploitation of children under 18 years of age	15		5	10	
Sexual exploitation of children (distribution/possession with intent to distribute)	17		4	13	

Table 29. Lovington District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact (misdemeanor)	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact minor 2nd degree (child under 13)(unclothed)	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact minor 3rd degree (child under 13) (clothed)	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact minor 3rd degree (child under 13) (clothed) - attempt	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact of a minor	2	2			
Criminal sexual contact of a minor (child u/13)	2		2		
Criminal sexual penetration	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	1			1	

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13)	8		4		4
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (child 13 to 16)	2		1	1	
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (child 13 to 18) (Commission of Felony)	3			3	
Criminal sexual penetration 3rd degree	2		1	1	
Criminal sexual penetration 3rd degree - attempt	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration 4th degree (child 13 to 16)	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact of a minor under 13 years of age/2nd degree	9		3	6	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor under 13 years of age/3rd degree	2			2	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor 13-18 w/force or coercion	1	1			
Incest	1		1		
Possession of visual medium of sexual exploitation of children under 18 years of age	22		11	11	

Table 30. Portales District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Aggravated criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 9)	7		2	5	
Criminal sexual contact of minor	3		1	1	1
Criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	2		1	1	
Promoting prostitution	1		1		

Table 31. Raton District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Aggravated indecent exposure	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact of a minor	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration by force on child	2		1	1	
Indecent exposure	1			1	
Sexual exploitation of children (distribution/possession with intent to distribute)	14		14		

Table 32. Roswell District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact (misdemeanor)	3	1	2		
Criminal sexual contact minor 2 nd degree (child under 13) (unclothed)	3	3			
Criminal sexual contact minor 2nd degree (position/authority) (unclothed)	1	1			
Criminal sexual contact minor 3rd degree (child under 13) (clothed)	7		1	5	1
Criminal sexual contact of a minor	3		3		
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13)	12		3	8	1
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (aided by another)	3			3	
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (child 13 to 16)	4		4		
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (child 13 to 18)	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration 3rd degree	2		1	1	
Criminal sexual penetration 4th degree (child 13 to 16)	14		4	6	4
Distribution of visual medium of sexual exploitation of children under 18 years of age	3			3	
Enticement of a child	4		1	3	
Incest	1			1	
Indecent exposure	3		3		
Manufacturing visual medium of sexual exploitation of children under 18 years of age	76		5	71	
Possession of visual medium of sexual exploitation of children under 18 years of age	143		8	135	

Table 33. Santa Fe District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Aggravated criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (Child Under 13)	1			1	
Aggravated indecent exposure (committing criminal sexual penetration)	1			1	
Attempted criminal sexual penetration	2			2	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor (force/coercion)	2			2	
Criminal sexual penetration (force/coercion) (great bodily harm/great mental anguish)	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact of minor	3		3		
Criminal sexual penetration	3		1	2	
Criminal sexual contact (force or coercion)	1	1			
Criminal sexual contact (personal injury)	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact minor 2nd degree (child under 13)(unclothed)	4		1	3	
Criminal sexual contact minor 2nd degree (position/authority)(unclothed)	3			3	
Criminal sexual contact minor 3rd degree (aided by another)(clothed) - attempt	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact minor 3rd degree (child under 13)(clothed)	5		4	1	
Criminal sexual contact minor 3rd degree (child under 13)(clothed) - attempt	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact 4th degree (deadly weapon) - attempt	2			2	
Criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	4			4	
Criminal sexual penetration (force/coercion)	2		1	1	
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13) - attempt	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (child 13 to 16)	3			3	
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (child 13 to 16) - attempt	4			4	
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (personal injury)	2			2	
Criminal sexual penetration 3rd degree	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration 4th degree (child 13 to 16)	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 years of age/2nd degree	2			2	
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 years of age/3rd degree	2			2	

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact of minor 13-18 w/force or coercion	1		1		
Indecent exposure	2		2		
Possession of visual medium of sexual exploitation of children under 18 years of age	5				5
Sexual exploit of child (manufacturing)	4		4		
Sexual exploitation of children (distribution/possession with intent to distribute)	11		11		
Sexual exploitation of children (distribution)	1		1		
Criminal sex penetration (child u/13) (a)(1)	1			1	

Table 34. Santa Rosa District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (inmate) - attempt	1			1	

Table 35. Silver City District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact minor	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact of a minor (child u/13)	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration (4th degree)	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 years of age/3rd degree	4		3		1

Table 36. Socorro District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual penetration	6		3	3	
Criminal sexual contact / minor 3 rd degree (child under 13) (clothed)	1		1		

Table 37. T or C District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact minor 2nd degree (child under 13) (unclothed)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13)	3			3	
Criminal sexual penetration 4th degree (child 13 to 16)	3		3		

Table 38. Taos District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual penetration (commission of felony)	1		1		
Criminal sexual contact of minor	2			2	
Criminal sexual penetration	2			1	1
Criminal sexual penetration (child 13-16)	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact of minor under 13 years of age/2nd degree	2			2	
Criminal sexual contact of minor under 13 years of age/3rd degree	4			4	
Enticement of a child	1			1	

Table 39. Tierra Amarilla District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact of minor	8		1	7	
Criminal sexual penetration	10		1	9	
Criminal sexual contact	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact /unl intent touch 18+ year	2			2	

Table 40. Tucumcari District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal sexual contact minor 2 nd degree (child under 13) (unclothed)	1		1		
Criminal sexual penetration	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 1st degree (child under 13)	1			1	
Criminal sexual penetration 2nd degree (child 13 to 16) - attempt	1			1	
Criminal sexual contact minor under 13 years of age/3rd degree	1			1	

Table 41. Number of Cases Dismissed, Convicted, And Acquitted For Each District Court

Court Name	Total Cases	Cases Convicted	Cases Acquitted	Cases Dismissed	Cases Other Dispositions
Alamogordo District Court	26	10	0	16	0
Albuquerque District Court	208	69	7	130	2
Aztec/Farmington District Court	41	24	1	12	4
Bernalillo District Court	16	8	0	8	0
Carlsbad District Court	22	18	1	3	0
Carrizozo District Court	11	3	1	7	0
Clovis District Court	22	9	1	10	2
Deming District Court	10	8	0	2	0
Estancia District Court	7	4	0	2	1
Fort Sumner District Court	2	0	0	2	0
Gallup District Court	13	10	0	3	0
Grants District Court	4	2	0	2	0
Las Cruces District Court	56	37	1	18	0
Las Vegas District Court	11	5	2	4	0
Los Alamos District Court	1	1	0	0	0
Los Lunas District Court	18	6	0	12	0
Lovington District Court	25	16	2	6	1
Portales District Court	7	4	0	2	1
Raton District Court	6	4	0	2	0
Roswell District Court	27	11	3	12	1
Santa Fe District Court	26	15	0	10	1
Santa Rosa District Court	1	0	0	1	0
Silver City District Court	4	3	0	0	1
Socorro District Court	3	2	0	1	0
T or C District Court	3	1	0	2	0
Taos District Court	7	1	0	5	1
Tierra Amarilla District Court	5	1	0	4	0
Tucumcari District Court	4	1	0	3	0
Total	586	273	19	279	15

Table 42. Disposed District Court Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed

Court	Total Cases	Cases Dismissed	Percent Cases Dismissed
Alamogordo District Court	26	16	62%
Albuquerque District Court	208	130	63%
Aztec/Farmington District Court	41	12	29%
Bernalillo District Court	16	8	50%
Carlsbad District Court	22	3	14%
Carrizozo District Court	11	7	64%
Clovis District Court	22	10	45%
Deming District Court	10	2	20%
Estancia District Court	7	2	29%
Fort Sumner District Court	2	2	100%
Gallup District Court	13	3	23%
Grants District Court	4	2	50%
Las Cruces District Court	56	18	32%
Las Vegas District Court	11	4	36%
Los Alamos District Court	1	0	0%
Los Lunas District Court	18	12	67%
Lovington District Court	25	6	24%
Portales District Court	7	2	29%
Raton District Court	6	2	33%
Roswell District Court	27	12	44%
Santa Fe District Court	26	10	38%
Santa Rosa District Court	1	1	100%
Silver City District Court	4	0	0%
Socorro District Court	3	1	33%
T or C District Court	3	2	67%
Taos District Court	7	5	71%
Tierra Amarilla District Court	5	4	80%
Tucumcari District Court	4	3	75%
Total	586	279	48%

Table 43. Disposed District Court Sexual Assault Cases With A Guilty Plea/Conviction

Court	Total Cases	Cases Convicted	Percent Cases with a Conviction
Alamogordo District Court	26	10	38%
Albuquerque District Court	208	69	33%
Aztec/Farmington District Court	41	24	59%
Bernalillo District Court	16	8	50%
Carlsbad District Court	22	18	82%
Carrizozo District Court	11	3	27%
Clovis District Court	22	9	41%
Deming District Court	10	8	80%
Estancia District Court	7	4	57%
Fort Sumner District Court	2	0	0%
Gallup District Court	13	10	77%
Grants District Court	4	2	50%
Las Cruces District Court	56	37	66%
Las Vegas District Court	11	5	45%
Los Alamos District Court	1	1	100%
Los Lunas District Court	18	6	33%
Lovington District Court	25	16	64%
Portales District Court	7	4	57%
Raton District Court	6	4	67%
Roswell District Court	27	11	41%
Santa Fe District Court	26	15	58%
Santa Rosa District Court	1	0	0%
Silver City District Court	4	3	75%
Socorro District Court	3	2	67%
T or C District Court	3	1	33%
Taos District Court	7	1	14%
Tierra Amarilla District Court	5	1	20%
Tucumcari District Court	4	1	25%
Total	586	273	47%

SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO X:

**An Analysis of 2011 Data from The New Mexico
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Survey of Violence Victimization Summary

I. INTRODUCTION

Nationally, victimization surveys such as the National Crime Victims Survey and the National Violence Against Women Survey demonstrate more comprehensive reporting of crimes, and in particular, interpersonal violence crimes than those which are reported to law enforcement. While New Mexico law enforcement agencies have reported their incidents of domestic violence, intimate partner violence, stalking and sexual assault to the Central Repository, determining the rates of these crimes that were *never* reported to law enforcement was not possible. The long term goal to rectify this problem and make possible more accurate estimates of the prevalence and incidence of interpersonal violence in New Mexico was to conduct our own statewide victimization survey. To this end, in 2005 the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico (SVV) was conducted, asking 2000 adult men and 2000 adult women about their experiences of violence. While all data captured from this survey will be analyzed over the course of time, several discouraging realities are clear from the preliminary findings of this survey:

1. The rate of sexual assault (rape and attempted rape) in New Mexico is staggering; and higher than national comparable rates found in the National Violence Against Women Survey (NVAWS), the most comprehensive victimization survey to date;
2. Rape is significantly under-reported to law enforcement;
3. There are significantly more victims of sexual assault than ever identified by law enforcement or service providers statewide, especially in the case of incapacitated rape;
4. There are significant co-morbidity and healthcare utilization issues associated with interpersonal violence; and
5. There are significant differences between males and females in the experience and outcomes of sexual assault crimes.

This summary discusses selected findings regarding rape among males and females from the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico, together with selected findings on child sexual abuse derived from statewide law enforcement and service provider data submitted to the New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository in 2005.

II. RAPE AMONG MALES

A. Prevalence and Incidence

In the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico, 5% or 1 in 20 adult males (18 and older) reported being the victim of rape or attempted rape in their lifetime compared to 3% nationally (NVAWS); 4% or 1 in 25 the victim of a *completed* rape. In 2005, there were 2,773 male victims of rape or attempted rape, 1,162 of these a completed rape.

B. The Circumstances of Male Rape

While female and male adolescents and young adults have similar rates of rape, over half (53%) of male rape victims were raped as children (ages <13) compared to 44% of females who were raped as children.

Although fewer males (1 in 40) are the victims of incapacitated rape (unwanted, non-consensual sex while very high, drunk or passed out) compared to females (1 in 12), males (43%) are significantly more likely than females (29%) to experience their incapacitated rapes as an adult.

The rape experience for males includes a greater likelihood of *being hit with an object* (10%) and having a *knife or other weapon used on them* (7%) compared to females (7% and 4%, respectively). Additionally, more *male* victims of rape use *alcohol* (28%), *drugs* (2%) or *both* (4%) at the time of the incident than *female* rape victims (18%, 1% and 2%, respectively).

When it comes to rape, males like females, experience rape most often (85%) at the hands of a male assailant.

C. Male Rape Outcomes

Fewer male rape victims reported being injured during their rape incidents (16%) compared to female rape victims (27%), and just under one-third (30%) of these obtained medical care for their injuries. However, male victims of rape are two times more likely than male *non-victims* to suffer from a *serious disabling injury* in their lives and seven times more likely to suffer *one or more chronic mental health conditions*.

Only 6% of male rape victims reported their rapes to police. Three quarters (74%) of male rape victims reported being *dissatisfied* with police response to their reported rapes, two-thirds of these, *very dissatisfied*. Most male rape victims do not report to police because they consider the rape to be *too minor or not a crime* (19%); they *fear the offender* (13%); or will *handle the situation themselves* (12%). Six times more males than females report that they *want the police to take their complaint more seriously, believe them and not laugh at them*.

Male rape victims who report their rape to police are significantly more likely (47%) than female rape victims (37%) to have an officer *arrest the offender or take him/her into custody*. However, since only 6% of males report their victimization to police, this means that only 3% of all cases of male rape result in a suspect arrest. To make matters worse, male victims of rape are only half as likely as female victims to be *referred to services*, such as victim assistance, a medical clinic, legal aid or a shelter.

Only 3% of male rape victims obtained a restraining order against their offender and half (52%) of these were violated by the offender. A mere 1% of male rape victims filed criminal charges against their offender. This low number of criminal charges filed by male rape victims prohibited the examination of gender differences in rape case dispositions.

III. RAPE AMONG FEMALES

A. Prevalence and Incidence

As reported by adults 18 and older in the statewide victimization survey, 24% or 1 in 4 females are the victims of rape or attempted rape sometime in their lifetime compared to 18% (17.6%) nationally (NVAWS); 21% or 1 in 5 females the victim of a *completed* rape. In 2005, there were 5,224 female victims of rape and attempted rape, 4,158 of these, the victims of a completed rape.

B. The Circumstances of Female Rape

Forty-four percent of female rape victims were raped as children (ages <13), one-quarter (24%) as adolescents (ages 13-18) and one-third (34%) as adults. Eighty-six percent of female rape victims were raped by a male.

More female victims of rape, than males, reported being physically attacked: *pushed, grabbed or shoved* (44.5% vs. 37%); had their *hair pulled* (16% vs. 11%); were *choked or had an attempted drowning* (10% vs. 6%) and were *beat up* (14% vs. 9%). Similarly, twice as many female rape victims (32%) than male victims (16%) reported that their offenders *threatened to harm or kill them or someone close to them*; and nearly twice as many female rape victims (40%) than male victims (22%), *believed* that they or someone close to them would be seriously harmed or killed by their offenders.

C. Female Rape Outcomes

Slightly over one-quarter (27%) of female rape victims were injured during their rape incidents and one-third (34%) obtained medical care for their injuries. Like male rape victims, female rape victims are two times more likely than female *non-victims* to suffer from a *serious disabling injury* in their lives and six times more likely to suffer from *one or more chronic mental health conditions*.

Although a dismally low rate, female rape victims (19%) are three times more likely to report their incidents to police than male rape victims (6%). Most female rape victims who do not report to police say it is because they *were too young/a child* (17%); *feared the offender/afraid offender would get even* (17%); and *felt shame/embarrassment or thought it was their fault* (15.5%).

Twice as many female rape victims (33%), than male victims (16%) were *referred to services* (victim assistance, medical clinic, legal aid or shelter). Females were also significantly more likely than male rape victims to *have an officer see them in person* (67% vs. 53%) and *be referred to court or a prosecutor's office* (20% vs. 16%). However, female rape victims (13%) were significantly more likely than male rape victims (8%) for *the police to do nothing* in response to a rape report.

Slightly over one-third (37%) of female rape victims' cases that were reported to police resulted in a suspect arrest. Since 19% of female rape victims reported their rape to police, this represents only 7% of all cases of female rape.

About half (49%) of female rape victims reported being *dissatisfied* with police response to their reported rape, over one-third of those, *very dissatisfied*. Most (19%) victims wanted the police to charge/arrest the offender or keep him/her locked up. Others (13%) wanted the police to *take a report, follow through with an investigation and question the offender*.

Three times as many female (11%) as male (3%) rape victims obtained a restraining order; and similar to male victims, about half (49%) were violated by the offender.

Seven percent of female rape victims *filed criminal charges against the offender*. One-quarter (25%) of the rape victims that filed criminal charges reported that their *charges were dropped*; 6% reported that the *offender was acquitted*; 45% reported that the *offender was convicted*; and 11% reported that the *offender entered a guilty plea*. Of those that were convicted or pled guilty, 88% were *sentenced to jail or prison* and the *average sentence* was 62.5 months. However, over half (54%) of the guilty offenders were sentenced to 48 months or less.

IV. SELECTED CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE FINDINGS

There were 1,545 victims of sexual assault who sought services from rape crises and mental health centers across New Mexico in 2005. Both, the *gender of the victim* and the *type of sexual offense* were documented in 1,241 of these cases.

There were 91 males and 362 females who were victims of *non-penetration* sex crimes that were reported to rape crisis and mental health centers in 2005. Of these, almost three-quarters (70%) of the males and over half (56%) of the females were children (ages 12 and under).

Similarly, there were 89 males and 699 females who were victims of *rape* that were reported to rape crisis and mental health centers in 2005. Of these, two-thirds (68%) of the males, and one-quarter (25%) of the females were children (ages 12 and under). In a trend analysis from 2002-2005, an average of 41% of the rapes reported to law enforcement were perpetrated upon those 12 and under. Over the same four years, an average of 31% of rapes was perpetrated upon *adults* and an average of 28% of rapes was perpetrated upon *teens* (ages 13-18).

There is a significant difference in ethnicity/race among child rape victims by gender. Over two-thirds (68%) of male rape victims 12 and under were *Hispanic*, followed by 14% *White (non-Hispanic)*, 12% *mixed ethnicity/race*, 5% *Native American*, and 2% *Black*. Among female rape victims 12 and under, 49% were *Hispanic*, 35% *White (non-Hispanic)*, 8% *mixed ethnicity/race*, 5% *Native American*, and 3% *Black*.

Of 172 female rape victims 12 and under who went for help in 2005, *prior sexual abuse* was documented on 121. Of these, 47% (57) were sexually abused prior to the most recent rape. Similarly, of 60 male rape victims 12 and under who went for help in 2005, *prior sexual abuse* was documented on 46. Of these, 43% (20) were sexually abused prior to the most recent rape.

While children 12 and under are the largest group of rape *victims* among those who went for help, adults (age 19 and older) comprised the largest group (73%) of rape *offenders*. Among these rape victims under 13 years old, only 2% were raped by a *stranger*. Of the 98% of child rapes perpetrated by someone known to the child, over three-quarters (77%) were raped by a *family member* and 21% raped by other *known offenders*. *Fathers* comprised the largest group of child rapists (30%), followed by *uncles* (15%), *cousins* (14%), *brothers* (12%) and *step-fathers* (9%). Among known *non-relative* rapists of children under 13 years of age, *friends* comprised the

largest group (24%), followed by the *mom's boyfriend* (10%), *babysitters* (8%), *social acquaintances* (8%) and *teachers* (6%).

There is no difference in the rate of *incest* by gender among child rape victims. There were 171 female child rape victims who went for help in 2005 and documented the relationship of the perpetrator. Of these 76% (130) were victims of incest. Similarly, there were 60 male child rape victims who went for help in 2005 and documented the relationship of the perpetrator. Of these 75% (45) were victims of incest.

While one-third (35%) of male victims of sexual assault go for services within the first year of the assault, an analysis of sex crimes data from 2002 – 2005, found that males wait an average of 12.5 years after their sexual assault before seeking therapeutic services. Similarly, while 46% of female victims go for services within the first year of the sexual assault, females wait an average 9.4 years.

V. IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS

A. Implications for Prevention

When only 6% males and 19% females report their rapes to law enforcement, there needs to be a concerted effort to better identify rape victims by focusing on *why* they do not report. There are significant gender differences in why victims do not report. Most males in the statewide survey did not report because they considered the rape to be *too minor or not a crime*, while females *feared their offender or felt shame*. These differences must be taken into consideration for successful prevention education.

If prevention efforts could dramatically reduce the number of females who perpetrate sexual offenses, the *reduction* in the number of victims and incidents of sexual assault would be negligible. All sources of data examined for this report demonstrate the overwhelming reality that males perpetrate rapes: law enforcement incidents (93%); service provider's cases (97%); SANE cases (97%) and survey findings (85%). Even when males are the rape victims, males are also the perpetrators 85% of the time. Until more prevention programs target males as the focus of their interventions, little will be accomplished to reduce the incidence of rape and sex crimes, overall.

Similarly, much prevention is focused on children because children comprise so many of those victimized: 44% of the rape victims identified in the statewide survey; 33% of rape victims identified by law enforcement and 49% of rape victims identified by service providers. However, these same sources of data reveal that rape *offenders* are overwhelmingly *adults* (law enforcement 78%, service providers 84%, and SANE units 84%). Children have little power to counter the sophisticated coercion techniques, verbal threats, physical intimidation and physical force of adult offenders. Therefore, more prevention should be focused on working with *adults*, and especially *male adults*, to stop sexual assault and abuse.

What is it that makes *male Hispanic children* (68%) dramatically more susceptible to rape compared to male children of other races/ethnicities? The prevention implication is unclear but the need to study this issue is most evident.

Who are the primary perpetrators of the sexual abuse of children? They are family members, chiefly their fathers, step-fathers, uncles, cousins and brothers. Prevention programs should be two-fold: 1) prevention aimed at helping a child guard against family members as

perpetrators and what to do in the event of sexual abuse by a family member; and 2) prevention aimed at helping the family members examine their own sexual behaviors and boundaries, identify healthy and unhealthy sexual behaviors/practices by relatives (and others that their children may come into contact with), learn how to protect their children from sexual abuse by relatives (and others) and access available resources.

B. Implications for Law Enforcement

The statewide survey identified 5,320 rape victims in 2005. In the same time period, law enforcement reported 1400 rape incidents. An explanation for the disparity in identifying rape incidents is the scope of rape (penetration) crimes captured. While the survey captured many forms of criminal sexual penetration and incapacitated rape, law enforcement codes restrict the types of penetration crimes captured and do not capture incidents of incapacitated rape, a type of rape that comprised 43% of the rapes identified by the survey. To this end, much consideration should be made by law enforcement and the FBI which guides law enforcement, to expand the types of criminal sexual penetration captured to include more types of penetration, especially incapacitated rape.

Both male and female rape victims from the statewide survey reported being *dissatisfied*, most *very dissatisfied* with the law enforcement response to their complaint. The most common reason given for their dissatisfaction was that they wanted the police to *charge/arrest the offender or keep the offender locked up* (18%) and to *take a report and follow through with an investigation, to question the offender* (13%). When victim dissatisfaction was examined by gender of the victim, there were dramatically more very dissatisfied males (66%) compared to females (38%). The implications are unclear. Perhaps this is more a matter of policy change, regarding officer response to alleged rape victims rather than the actions of individual officers. Perhaps it is a matter of officer sensitivity training responding to male complaints of rape. Finally, perhaps there is an implication for the role of victim advocacy and an examination of protocol for referral of rape victims by responding officers to advocacy services.

C. Implications for Healthcare

Slightly over one-quarter of rape victims (29%) are injured during their rape incidents, and approximately one-third of these go for medical treatment. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner units capture information regarding sexual assault patients that present to their facilities and offer forensic evidence collection and sometimes a physical exam. However, no standardized monitoring system currently exists to reliably document the number of rape victims that go to emergency departments or doctor's offices as a result of a sexual assault. Further, twice as many rape victims as non-victims suffer from serious disabling injury and approximately six times as many rape victims as non-victims suffer from one or more chronic mental health conditions. Without identifying these patients, it is impossible to determine healthcare utilization due to sexual assault or to more effectively treat these patients by offering them appropriate referral services. The implication to improve public health is to consider the need for universal screening for lifetime exposure to sexual assault and other forms of violence.

APPENDIX B. Summary: Sexual Abuse of Children and Youth in New Mexico

A. Highlights on Child Rape Victimization

- ▶ In 2011, children (age <13) comprised 27% of law enforcement reported sexual assaults. Over the same time period, more than half (34%) of the sexual assault victims of all ages that sought therapeutic services in New Mexico were victimized as children.
- ▶ The rate of rape among children and adolescents in New Mexico (66%) found in the *Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico* (SVV) is significantly higher than that found nationally (54%), as reported in the National Violence Against Women Survey (NVAWS).
- ▶ In 2011, 60% of sexual assault victims that sought therapeutic services experienced a prior sexual assault. Almost two thirds (62%) of those abused as children were victims of ongoing or serial abuse rather than a single incident of rape.
- ▶ The SVV found that only 3% of child rape cases are perpetrated by a *stranger* and *relatives* rape children at almost twice the rate of other *known* offenders, as reported by the SVV. Data from statewide service providers report that the worst offenders by far, are *fathers* (19%); who commit rape at a significantly higher rate than *cousins* (13%), uncles (12%), brothers (12%) and step-fathers (11%).
- ▶ Most child rape, (81% [service providers] and 93% [SVV]) is perpetrated by *one* offender, who is *male* (97% [service providers] and 95% [SVV]) and of the *same ethnicity* as the victim, 86% (SVV).
- ▶ Findings from the SVV revealed that 40% of child rape cases involved physical assault of the victim, as well, mostly in the form of *grabbing and pushing* (27.5%) and *slapping or hitting* (16%). However, 4% respectively, of child rape victims were *choked or victims of an attempted drowning, threatened with a gun, and threatened with a knife or other weapon*.
- ▶ The SVV reported that almost one-quarter (22%) of child rape victims were physically injured during their sexual assault and 4% received medical treatment for their injuries. Reports from statewide service providers found that two-thirds (63%) of those who sought services for child rape sought medical treatment as a result of their victimization.
- ▶ Of 1077 sexual assault victims that sought Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) services in 2011, nearly one-quarter (26%) were children. One-third (41%) of child SANE patients incurred injuries in conjunction with their sexual assaults. *Vaginal* (65%) and *rectal* (31%) injuries comprised the injuries most incurred by child SANE patients. Indeed more *rectal* injuries were observed among child victims than among victims of any other age group.
- ▶ Service provider reports in 2011 demonstrate that victim vulnerability and access make children prime targets for sexual victimization. Most child rape offenders are adults (85%) and most often use *manipulation* (47%), *physical force* (28%) and *verbal threat* (21%) to facilitate the rape of children. The SVV found that over one-third (39.5%) of child rape victims were threatened by the offender that they or someone close to them would be *harmed or killed*; and nearly half (48%) of the child rape victims *believed* their offender would carry out their threat. Service provider reports in 2011 found that most often female and male children were victimized in their *own homes* (55% and 42%, respectively). While the reasons are unclear, more male

children (11%), than female children (7%) were victimized in *multiple locations*. Perhaps most egregious in their assault of the vulnerable, is that 15% of the children chosen by their offenders to be victimized were children with a mental, emotional or physical disability.

▶ Reports from statewide service providers in 2011 reveal that <1% (.04) of child rape cases resulted in the contraction of a sexually transmitted disease, and 1% (.05) of child rape victims became pregnant as a result of the victimization. More disturbing however, is that 7% of females raped as children became pregnant during adolescence (before the age of 18), unrelated to a sexual victimization.

▶ Nationally, only 16% of rape victims reported their victimization to law enforcement (NVAWS). In New Mexico, 17% of rape victims reported their victimization to statewide law enforcement agencies (SVV). As poor as these reporting rates are, when examined by age of respondent at the time of their victimization, only 9% of those raped as children reported their rape to law enforcement. Perhaps not surprisingly, criminal charges were filed in only 9% of child rape cases.

B. Highlights on Adolescent Rape Victimization

▶ In 2011, nearly one-third (30%) of law enforcement reported and 24% of service provider reported sexual assaults of all types were perpetrated upon adolescents (ages 13-17). Of the service provider reports, significantly more female victims (25%) than male victims (19%) were victimized as adolescents. In *criminal sexual penetration* crimes however, there was a negligible difference in the proportion of females (27%) and males (26%) victimized as *adolescents*. Similarly, adolescents comprised 17% of SANE patients treated.

▶ Service provider reports in 2011 found that half (53%) of those sexually abused as adolescents were victims of a prior assault. Of these, 55% were victims of *on-going* abuse. However, when examined by gender, more male adolescents (68%) than female adolescents (57%) experienced on-going abuse.

▶ Service provider reports in 2011 found that *physical force* (39%) was the type of coercion used in most adolescent rape victimizations, as reported by service providers, followed by *manipulation* (28%). Five percent of adolescents were *intentionally drugged by their offenders*. Similarly, *physical force* (54%) was the type of coercion reported most among adolescent SANE patients, followed by *alcohol/drug use* (27%), person in authority (25%), *physical intimidation* (23%), and *verbal threat* (16%).

▶ Among SANE patients in 2011, two-thirds (68%) of adolescent rape victimizations occurred in a residence, one-quarter (27%) of these in the *offenders' home*.

▶ Service provider reports in 2011 found that rape kit evidence collection differs greatly between male and female adolescent rape victims. Female adolescent rape victims (26%) were three times more likely to have rape kit evidence collected as male adolescent rape victims (8%).

▶ According to SANE reports in 2011, 20% of adolescent rape victimizations were perpetrated by *strangers*. Of the 80% of victimizations by *known offenders*, 40% were perpetrated by an *acquaintance* (other than family, an intimate partner or someone else in their household).

▶ As reported by statewide service providers in 2011, over two-thirds (71%) of adolescent rape victimizations involved *offender* use of alcohol/drugs and 31% involved *victim use* of alcohol/drugs.

▶ Data from the SVV found that two-thirds of adolescent rape victimizations involved *physical assault* in addition to the sexual assault: over half (57.5%) were *grabbed and pushed*, approximately one-quarter (23%) were *slapped or hit*, 15% were *beat up* and 12% were *choked or victims of an attempted drowning*. Additionally, 8% were *threatened with a gun* and 11% *threatened with a knife*.

▶ The SVV reported that one-quarter of adolescent rape victims (23%) reported being *physically injured* during their sexual assault incidents and 6% *received medical care* for their injuries.

▶ According to SVV data, only 15% of adolescent rape victims reported their victimization to law enforcement, 7% obtained a restraining order and 8% resulted in criminal charges being filed.

Appendix C: New Mexico Sex Crime Statutes

Chapter 30

Criminal Offenses

Article 9: Sexual Offenses

30-9-10. Definitions.

As used in Sections 30-9-10 through 30-9-16 NMSA 1978:

A. "force or coercion" means:

- (1) the use of physical force or physical violence;
- (2) the use of threats to use physical violence or physical force against the victim or another when the victim believes that there is a present ability to execute the threats;
- (3) the use of threats, including threats of physical punishment, kidnapping, extortion or retaliation directed against the victim or another when the victim believes that there is an ability to execute the threats;
- (4) the perpetration of criminal sexual penetration or criminal sexual contact when the perpetrator knows or has reason to know that the victim is unconscious, asleep or otherwise physically helpless or suffers from a mental condition that renders the victim incapable of understanding the nature or consequences of the act; or
- (5) the perpetration of criminal sexual penetration or criminal sexual contact by a psychotherapist on his patient, with or without the patient's consent, during the course of psychotherapy or within a period of one year following the termination of psychotherapy;

Physical or verbal resistance of the victim is not an element of force or coercion.

B. "great mental anguish" means psychological or emotional damage that requires psychiatric or psychological treatment or care, either on an inpatient or outpatient basis, and is characterized by extreme behavioral change or severe physical symptoms;

C. "patient" means a person who seeks or obtains psychotherapy;

D. "personal injury" means bodily injury to a lesser degree than great bodily harm and includes, but is not limited to, disfigurement, mental anguish, chronic or recurrent pain, pregnancy or disease or injury to a sexual or reproductive organ;

E. "position of authority" means that position occupied by a parent, relative, household member, teacher, employer or other person who, by reason of that position, is able to exercise undue influence over a child;

F. "psychotherapist" means a person who is or purports to be a:

- (1) licensed physician who practices psychotherapy;
- (2) licensed psychologist;
- (3) licensed social worker;
- (4) licensed nurse;
- (5) counselor;
- (6) substance abuse counselor;
- (7) psychiatric technician;
- (8) mental health worker;
- (9) marriage and family therapist;
- (10) hypnotherapist; or

(11) minister, priest, rabbi or other similar functionary of a religious organization acting in his role as a pastoral counselor;

- G. "psychotherapy" means professional treatment or assessment of a mental or an emotional illness, symptom or condition; and
- H. "school" means any public or private school, including the New Mexico military institute, the New Mexico school for the visually handicapped, the New Mexico school for the deaf, the New Mexico boys' school, the New Mexico youth diagnostic and development center, the Los Lunas medical center, the Fort Stanton hospital, the Las Vegas medical center and the Carrie Tingley crippled children's hospital, that offers a program of instruction designed to educate a person in a particular place, manner and subject area. "School" does not include a college or university; and
- I. "spouse" means a legal husband or wife, unless the couple is living apart or either husband or wife has filed for separate maintenance or divorce.

30-9-11. Criminal sexual penetration.

- A. Criminal sexual penetration is the unlawful and intentional causing of a person to engage in sexual intercourse, cunnilingus, fellatio or anal intercourse or the causing of penetration, to any extent and with any object, of the genital or anal openings of another, whether or not there is any emission.
- B. Criminal sexual penetration does not include medically indicated procedures.
- C. Aggravated criminal sexual penetration consists of all criminal sexual penetration perpetrated on a child under nine years of age with an intent to kill or with a depraved mind regardless of human life. Whoever commits aggravated criminal sexual penetration is guilty of a first degree felony for aggravated criminal sexual penetration.
- D. Criminal sexual penetration in the first degree consists of all sexual penetration perpetrated:
 - (1) on a child under thirteen years of age; or
 - (2) by the use of force or coercion that results in great bodily harm or great mental anguish to the victim.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the first degree is guilty of a first degree felony.

- E. Criminal sexual penetration in the second degree consists of all criminal sexual penetration perpetrated:
 - (1) by the use of force or coercion on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age;
 - (2) on an inmate confined in a correctional facility or jail when the perpetrator is in a position of authority over the inmate;
 - (3) by the use of force or coercion that results in personal injury to the victim;
 - (4) by the use of force or coercion when the perpetrator is aided or abetted by one or more persons;
 - (5) in the commission of any other felony; or
 - (6) when the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the second degree is guilty of a second degree felony.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the second degree when the victim is a child who is thirteen to eighteen years of age is guilty of a second degree felony for a sexual offense against a child and, notwithstanding the provisions of Section 31-18-15 NMSA 1978, shall be sentenced to a minimum term of imprisonment of three years, which shall not be suspended or deferred. The imposition of a minimum, mandatory term of imprisonment pursuant to the provisions of this subsection shall not be interpreted to preclude the imposition of sentencing enhancements pursuant to the provisions of the Criminal Sentencing Act [31-18-12 NMSA 1978].

- F. Criminal sexual penetration in the third degree consists of all criminal sexual penetration perpetrated through the use of force or coercion not otherwise specified in this section.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the third degree is guilty of a third degree felony.

G. Criminal sexual penetration in the fourth degree consists of all criminal sexual penetration:

- (1) not defined in Subsections D through F of this section perpetrated on a child thirteen to sixteen years of age when the perpetrator is at least eighteen years of age and is at least four years older than and not the spouse of that child; or
- (2) perpetrated on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when the perpetrator, who is a licensed school employee, an unlicensed school employee, a school contract employee, a school health service provider or a school volunteer, and who is at least eighteen years of age and is at least four years older than the child and not the spouse of that child, learns while performing services in or for a school that the child is a student in a school.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the fourth degree is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

30-9-12. Criminal sexual contact.

A. Criminal sexual contact is the unlawful and intentional touching of or application of force, without consent, to the unclothed intimate parts of another who has reached his eighteenth birthday, or intentionally causing another who has reached his eighteenth birthday to touch one's intimate parts.

B. Criminal sexual contact does not include touching by a psychotherapist on his patient that is:

- (1) inadvertent;
- (2) casual social contact not intended to be sexual in nature; or
- (3) generally recognized by mental health professionals as being a legitimate element of psychotherapy.

C. Criminal sexual contact in the fourth degree consists of all criminal sexual contact perpetrated:

- (1) by the use of force or coercion that results in personal injury to the victim;
- (2) by the use of force or coercion when the perpetrator is aided or abetted by one or more persons; or
- (3) when the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact in the fourth degree is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

D. Criminal sexual contact is a misdemeanor when perpetrated with the use of force or coercion.

E. For the purposes of this section, "intimate parts" means the primary genital area, groin, buttocks, anus or breast.

30-9-13. Criminal sexual contact of a minor.

A. Criminal sexual contact of a minor is the unlawful and intentional touching of or applying force to the intimate parts of a minor or the unlawful and intentional causing of a minor to touch one's intimate parts. For the purposes of this section, "intimate parts" means the primary genital area, groin, buttocks, anus or breast.

B. Criminal sexual contact of a minor in the second degree consists of all criminal sexual contact of the unclothed intimate parts of a minor perpetrated:

- (1) on a child under thirteen years of age; or
- (2) on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when:
 - (a) the perpetrator is in a position of authority over the child and uses this authority to coerce the child to submit;
 - (b) the perpetrator uses force or coercion which results in personal injury to the child;
 - (c) the perpetrator uses force or coercion and is aided or abetted by one or more persons; or

(d) the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact of a minor in the second degree is guilty of a second degree felony for a sexual offense against a child and, notwithstanding the provisions of Section 31-18-15 NMSA 1978, shall be sentenced to a minimum term of imprisonment of three years, which shall not be suspended or deferred. The imposition of a minimum, mandatory term of imprisonment pursuant to the provisions of this subsection shall not be interpreted to preclude the imposition of sentencing enhancements pursuant to the provisions of Sections 31-18-17, 31-18-25 and 31-18-26 NMSA 1978.

C. Criminal sexual contact of a minor in the third degree consists of all criminal sexual contact of a minor perpetrated:

(1) on a child under thirteen years of age; or

(2) on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when:

(a) the perpetrator is in a position of authority over the child and uses this authority to coerce the child to submit;

(b) the perpetrator uses force or coercion which results in personal injury to the child;

(c) the perpetrator uses force or coercion and is aided or abetted by one or more persons; or

(d) the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact of a minor in the third degree is guilty of a third degree felony, for a sexual offense against a child.

D. Criminal sexual contact of a minor in the fourth degree consists of all criminal sexual contact:

(1) not defined in Subsection C of this section, of a child thirteen to eighteen years of age perpetrated with force or coercion; or

(2) of a minor perpetrated on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when the perpetrator, who is a licensed school employee, an unlicensed school employee, a school contract employee, a school health service provider or a school volunteer, and who is at least eighteen years of age and is at least four years older than the child and not the spouse of that child, learns while performing services in or for a school that the child is a student in a school.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact in the fourth degree is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

30-6-3. Contributing to delinquency of minor.

A. Contributing to the delinquency of a minor consists of any person committing any act or omitting the performance of any duty, which act or omission causes or tends to cause or encourage the delinquency of any person under the age of eighteen years. Whoever commits contributing to the delinquency of a minor is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

30-9-14. Indecent exposure.

A. Indecent exposure consists of a person knowingly and intentionally exposing his primary genital area to public view.

B. As used in this section, "primary genital area" means the mons pubis, penis, testicles, mons veneris, vulva or vagina.

C. Whoever commits indecent exposure is guilty of a misdemeanor.

D. In addition to any punishment provided pursuant to the provisions of this section, the court shall order a person convicted for committing indecent exposure to participate in and complete a program of professional counseling at his own expense.

30-9-14.3. Aggravated indecent exposure.

A. Aggravated indecent exposure consists of a person knowingly and intentionally exposing his primary genital area to public view in a lewd and lascivious manner, with the intent to threaten or intimidate another person, while committing one or more of the following acts or criminal offenses:

- (1) exposure to a child less than eighteen years of age;
- (2) assault, as provided in Section 30-3-1 NMSA 1978;
- (3) aggravated assault, as provided in Section 30-3-2 NMSA 1978;
- (4) assault with intent to commit a violent felony, as provided in Section 30-3-3 NMSA 1978;
- (5) battery, as provided in Section 30-3-4 NMSA 1978;
- (6) aggravated battery, as provided in Section 30-3-5 NMSA 1978;
- (7) criminal sexual penetration, as provided in Section 30-9-11 NMSA 1978; or
- (8) abuse of a child, as provided in Section 30-6-1 NMSA 1978.

- B. As used in this section, "primary genital area" means the mons pubis, penis, testicles, mons veneris, vulva or vagina.
- C. Whoever commits aggravated indecent exposure is guilty of a fourth degree felony.
- D. In addition to any punishment provided pursuant to the provisions of this section, the court shall order a person convicted for committing aggravated indecent exposure to participate in and complete a program of professional counseling at his own expense.

30-4-1. Kidnapping.

- A. Kidnapping is the unlawful taking, restraining, transporting or confining of a person, by force, intimidation or deception, with intent:
- (1) that the victim be held for ransom;
 - (2) that the victim be held as a hostage or shield and confined against his will;
 - (3) that the victim be held to service against the victim's will; or
 - (4) to inflict death, physical injury or a sexual offense on the victim.
- B. Whoever commits kidnapping is guilty of a first degree felony, except that he is guilty of a second degree felony when he voluntarily frees the victim in a safe place and does not inflict physical injury or a sexual offense upon the victim.

30-10-3 Incest.

Incest consists of knowingly intermarrying or having sexual intercourse with persons within the following degrees of consanguinity: parents and children including grandparents and grandchildren of every degree, brothers and sisters of the half as well as of the whole blood, uncles and nieces, aunts and nephews.

Whoever commits incest is guilty of a third degree felony.

ARTICLE 6A

SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF CHILDREN

30-6A-2. Definitions.

As used in the Sexual Exploitation of Children Act [30-60A-1 to 30-60A-4 NMSA 1978]:

- A. "prohibited sexual act" means:
- (1) sexual intercourse, including genital-genital, oral-genital, anal-genital or oral-anal, whether between persons of the same or opposite sex;
 - (2) bestiality;
 - (3) masturbation;
 - (4) sadomasochistic abuse for the purpose of sexual stimulation; or
 - (5) lewd and sexually explicit exhibition with a focus on the genitals or pubic area of any person for the purpose of sexual stimulation;

B. "visual or print medium" means:

- (1) any film, photograph, negative, slide, computer diskette, videotape, videodisc or any computer or electronically generated imagery; or
- (2) any book, magazine or other form of publication or photographic reproduction containing or incorporating any film, photograph, negative, slide, computer diskette, videotape, videodisc or any computer generated or electronically generated imagery;

C. "performed publicly" means performed in a place which is open to or used by the public; and

D. "manufacture" means the production, processing, copying by any means, printing, packaging or repackaging of any visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age.

E. "obscene" means any material, when the content is taken as a whole:

- (1) appeals to a prurient interest in sex, as determined by the average person applying contemporary community standards;
- (2) portrays a prohibited sexual act in a patently offensive way; and
- (3) lacks serious literary, artistic, political or scientific value."

30-6A-3. Sexual exploitation of children.

A. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally possess any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such act and if that person knows or has reason to know that one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age. A person who violates the provisions of this subsection is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

B. It is unlawful for a person to intentionally distribute any visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such act and if that person knows or has reason to know that one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age. A person who violates this subsection is guilty of a third degree felony.

C. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally cause or permit a child under eighteen years of age to engage in any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows, has reason to know or intends that the act may be recorded in any obscene visual or print medium or performed publicly. Any person who violates this subsection is guilty of a third degree felony, unless the child is under the age of thirteen, in which event the person is guilty of a second degree felony.

D. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally manufacture any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age. A person who violates the provisions of this subsection is guilty of a second degree felony.

E. It is unlawful for a person to intentionally manufacture any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts a prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act and if that person knows or has reason to know that a real child under eighteen years of age, who is not a participant, is depicted as a participant in that act. A person who violates the provisions of this subsection is guilty of fourth degree felony.

F. It is unlawful for a person to intentionally distribute any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts a prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act and if that person knows or has reason to know that a real child under eighteen years of age, who is not a participant, is depicted as a participant in that act. A person who violates the provisions of this subsection is guilty of a third degree felony.

- G. The penalties provided for in this section shall be in addition to those set out in Section 30-9-11 NMSA 1978.

30-6A-4. Sexual exploitation of children by prostitution.

- A. Any person knowingly receiving any pecuniary profit as a result of a child under the age of sixteen engaging in a prohibited sexual act with another is guilty of a second degree felony, unless the child is under the age of thirteen, in which event the person is guilty of a first degree felony.
- B. Any person hiring or offering to hire a child over the age of thirteen and under the age of sixteen to engage in any prohibited sexual act is guilty of a second degree felony.
- C. Any parent, legal guardian or person having custody or control of a child under sixteen years of age who knowingly permits that child to engage in or to assist any other person to engage in any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act for the purpose of producing any visual or print medium depicting such an act is guilty of a third degree felony.

30-9-1. Enticement of child.

Enticement of child consists of:

- A. enticing, persuading or attempting to persuade a child under the age of sixteen years to enter any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 [30-9-1 to 30-9-9 NMSA 1978] of the Criminal Code; or
- B. having possession of a child under the age of sixteen years in any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 of the Criminal Code.

Whoever commits enticement of child is guilty of a misdemeanor.

30-52-1. Human trafficking.

- A. Human trafficking consists of a person knowingly:
 - (1) recruiting, soliciting, enticing, transporting or obtaining by any means another person with the intent or knowledge that force, fraud or coercion will be used to subject the person to labor, services or commercial sexual activity;
 - (2) recruiting, soliciting, enticing, transporting or obtaining by any means a person under the age of eighteen years with the intent or knowledge that the person will be caused to engage in commercial sexual activity; or
 - (3) benefiting, financially or by receiving anything or value, from the labor, services or commercial sexual activity of another person with the knowledge that force, fraud or coercion was used to obtain the labor, services or commercial sexual activity.

30-37-3.2 Child solicitation by electronic communication device

- A. Child solicitation by electronic communication device consists of a person knowingly and intentionally soliciting a child under sixteen years of age, by means of an electronic communication device, to engage in sexual intercourse, sexual contact or in a sexual or obscene performance, or to engage in any other sexual conduct when the perpetrator is at least three years older than the child.

Appendix D. Participating Law Enforcement Agencies

Agency Name	Address	City	State	Zip
Alamogordo Dept. of Public Safety	700 Virginia Avenue	Alamogordo	NM	88310
Albuquerque Police Department	400 Roma NW	Albuquerque	NM	87102
Angel Fire Police Department	P.O. Box 610	Angel Fire	NM	87710
Artesia Police Department	702 W. Chisum	Artesia	NM	88210
Aztec Police Department	201 W. Chaco	Aztec	NM	87410
Bayard Police Department	P.O. Box 788	Bayard	NM	88023
Belen Police Department	607 Becker Avenue	Belen	NM	87002
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 25927	Albuquerque	NM	87125
Bernalillo Police Department	P.O. Box 638	Bernalillo	NM	87004
Bloomfield Police Department	915 N. First St.	Bloomfield	NM	87413
Bosque Farms Police Department	P.O. Box 660	Peralta	NM	87042
Carlsbad Police Department	405 S. Halagueno	Carlsbad	NM	88220
Carrizozo Police Department	P.O. Box 828	Carrizozo	NM	88301
Catron County Sheriff's Department	P.O. Box 467	Reserve	NM	87830
Chaves County Sheriff's Department	One St. Mary's Place, East Wing	Roswell	NM	88203
Cibola County Sheriff's Department	115 W. High St.	Grants	NM	87020
Cimarron Police Department	P.O. Box 654	Cimarron	NM	87714
Clayton Police Department	112 North Street	Clayton	NM	88415
Clovis Police Department	P.O. Box 862	Clovis	NM	88102
Colfax County Sheriff's Department	P.O. Box 39	Raton	NM	87740
Corrales Police Department	P.O. Box 707	Corrales	NM	87048
Cuba Police Department	P.O. 426	Cuba	NM	87013
Curry County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 1043	Clovis	NM	88102
De Baca County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 927	Ft. Sumner	NM	88119
Dexter Police Department	P.O. Box 610	Dexter	NM	88230
Dona Ana County Sheriffs Office	750 Motel Blvd, Suite A	Las Cruces	NM	88007
Eddy County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 1240	Carlsbad	NM	88220
Espanola Police Department	401 North Paseo de Oate	Espanola	NM	87532
Estancia Police Department	P.O. Box 166	Estancia	NM	87016
Eunice Police Department	P.O. Box 147	Eunice	NM	88231
Farmington Police Department	800 Municipal Drive	Farmington	NM	87401
Gallup Police Department	451 State Road 564	Gallup	NM	87301
Grant County Sheriff's Department	201 N. Cooper St.	Silver City	NM	88061
Grants Police Division, DPS	105 E. Roosevelt	Grants	NM	87020
Guadalupe County Sheriff's Department	P.O. Box 36	Santa Rosa	NM	88435
Hatch Police Department	P.O. Box 220	Hatch	NM	87917
Hidalgo County Sheriff's Department	305 South Pyramid	Lordsburg	NM	88045
Hobbs Police Department	301 N. Dalmont	Hobbs	NM	88240
Jal Police Department	P.O. Drawer W	Jal	NM	88252
Laguna Tribal Police Department	P.O. Box 194	Old Laguna	NM	87026
Las Cruces Police Department	P.O. Box 20000	Las Cruces	NM	88001
Las Vegas Police Department	318 Moreno Street	Las Vegas	NM	87701
Lea County Sheriff's Department	215 East Central	Lovington	NM	88260

Agency Name	Address	City	State	Zip
Logan Police Department	P.O. Box 7	Logan	NM	88426
Lordsburg Police Department	206 S. Main	Lordsburg	NM	88045
Los Alamos Police Department	P.O. Box 30	Los Alamos	NM	87544
Lovington Police Department	213 S. Love	Lovington	NM	88260
Luna County Sheriff's Department	116 E. Popular Street	Deming	NM	88030
McKinley County Sheriff's Office	2105 East Aztec	Gallup	NM	87301
Mora County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 659	Mora	NM	87732
Moriarty Police Department	P.O. Drawer 130	Moriarty	NM	87035
Peralta Police Department	P.O. Box 660	Peralta	NM	87042
Pojoaque Tribal Police Department	Route 11, Box 71	Santa Fe	NM	87501
Portales Police Department	1700 North Boston	Portales	NM	88130
Quay County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 943	Tucumcari	NM	88401
Questa Police Department	P.O. Box 260	Questa	NM	87556
Raton Police Department	P.O. Box 397	Raton	NM	87740
Red River Marshal's Office	P.O. Box 410	Red River	NM	87558
Rio Arriba County Sheriff	P.O. Box 1256	Espanola	NM	87532
Rio Rancho DPS	500 Quantum Road	Rio Rancho	NM	87124
Roosevelt County Sheriff's Office	1700 N. Boston`	Portales	NM	88130
Ruidoso Downs Police Department	P.O. Box 1560	Ruidoso Downs	NM	88346
Ruidoso Police Department	1085 Mechem Drive	Ruidoso	NM	88345
San Juan County Sheriff's Office	211 S. Oliver	Aztec	NM	87410
Sandoval County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 5219	Bernalillo	NM	87004
Santa Clara Police Department	P.O. Box 316	Santa Clara	NM	88026
Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department	#35 Camino Justicia	Santa Fe	NM	87508
Santa Fe Police Department	2515 Camino Entrada	Santa Fe	NM	87505
Santa Rosa Police Department	141 South 5th Street	Santa Rosa	NM	88435
Sierra County Sheriff's Office	311 Date Street	T or C	NM	87901
Silver City Police Department	P.O. Box 997	Silver City	NM	88062
Socorro Police Department	P.O. Box 992	Socorro	NM	87801
Springer Police Department	P.O. Box 746	Springer	NM	87747
State Police Alamogordo	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Albuquerque	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Clovis	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Deming	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Espanola	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Farmington	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Gallup	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Grants	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Hobbs	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Las Cruces	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Las Vegas	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Moriarty	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Raton	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Roswell	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Santa Fe	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Santa Rosa	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Socorro	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Taos	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505

Agency Name	Address	City	State	Zip
State Police Tucumcari	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
Taos Police Department	107 Civic Plaza Drive	Taos	NM	87571
Taos Pueblo Police Department	P.O. Box 1846	Taos	NM	87571
Tatum Police Department	P.O. Box 691	Tatum	NM	88267
Torrance County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 498	Estancia	NM	87016
T or C Police Department	401 McAdoo St.	T or C	NM	88352
Tucumcari Police Department	P.O. Box 1336	Tucumcari	NM	88401
Tularosa Police Department	703 St. Francis Drive	Tularosa	NM	88352
Vaughn Police Department	P.O. Box 278	Vaughn	NM	88353
Zuni Police Department	P.O. Box 339	Zuni	NM	87327

1. Agency Name _____
2. Quarter Reporting 1st 2nd 3rd 4th Year: 2011
3. Total Number of criminal sexual penetration (CSP) incidents: (Add State Statutes 30-9-11 and 30-10-3) _____
(If the offense incident report your officers use does not document sexual crimes by state statute, enter instead, the total number of CSP incidents perpetrated [add male and female adults and children]) _____
4. If known, of the number of CSP incidents counted in **q.3**, how many were:
a) Sodomy _____ b) with an Object _____ c) Incest _____ d) Gang Related _____ e) Resulted in Homicide _____
- 5.a) Of the number of CSP incidents counted in **q.3**, how many *victims* were there? _____
b) Of these, how many were: a) Female victims _____ b) Male victims _____
6. Of the total number of CSP *victims* in **q.5a**, give the number per *age group*:
0-6 _____ 7-12 _____ 13-18 _____ 19-25 _____ 26-35 _____
36-45 _____ 46-55 _____ 56-65 _____ 66+ _____ # Victim age unknown _____
7. Of the total number of CSP *victims* in **q.5a**, give the number of each *ethnicity*:
Caucasian _____ Hispanic _____ Native American _____ Asian/Pacific Islander _____
Black _____ Other _____ # Victim Ethnicity Unknown _____
- 8.a) Of the number of CSP incidents counted in **q.3**, how many total *offenders* were there? _____
b) Of these, how many were: a) Female offenders _____ b) Male offenders _____
9. Of the number of CSP *offenders* in **q.8a**, give the number per *age group*:
0-6 _____ 7-12 _____ 13-18 _____ 19-25 _____ 26-35 _____
36-45 _____ 46-55 _____ 56-65 _____ 66+ _____ # Offender age unknown _____
10. Of the number of CSP offenders in **q.8a**, give the number of each *ethnicity*:
Caucasian _____ Hispanic _____ Native American _____ Asian/Pacific Islander _____
Black _____ Other _____ # Offender Ethnicity Unknown _____
- 11.a) Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many were perpetrated by a stranger to the victim? _____
b) How many CSP incidents in **q.3** were perpetrated by someone who knew the victim? _____
c) Of the number in **11b**, how many were a relative? _____
12. Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many involved a weapon? _____ # with weapon use unknown _____
13. Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many involved injury to the victim? _____ # injury unknown _____
14. a) Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many involved drugs/alcohol use? _____
b) Of these, how many involved: Offender use only _____ Victim use only _____ Offender and Victim use _____
15. a) Of the number of CSP *incidents* in **q.3**, in how many of these did at least one child witness the event? _____
b) Number of CSP *incidents* in **q.3** where it is unknown if a child was present _____
16. a) What is the *total number of children* who witnessed the CSP incidents counted in **q.3**? _____
b) Of these, number per age group: 0-5 _____ 6-9 _____ 10-12 _____ 13-17 _____ 18-21 _____ # age unknown _____
17. Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many included a suspect arrest? _____

For the reporting quarter, please give the:

18. Number of incidents of *criminal sexual contact* (or statute 30-9-12) _____
19. Number of incidents of *criminal sexual contact of a minor* (or statute 30-9-13) _____
20. Number of incidents of *indecent exposure* (or 30-9-14 and 30-9-14.3) _____
21. Number of incidents of *sexual exploitation of children* (30-6A-3 and 30-6A-4) _____
22. Number of incidents of *enticement of child* (or statute 30-9-1) _____
23. Number of incidents of *kidnapping* (or statute 30-4-1) _____
24. Number of incidents of *human trafficking* (or statute 30-52-1) _____
25. Number of incidents of *child solicitation by electronic communication device* (or statute 30-37-.3.2.) _____

Quarterly Reports are due April 25th, July 25th, October 25th, and January 25th, 2012. Please send reports to: NMCSAP, 3909 Juan Tabo Suite 6, Alb., NM 87111 or fax to (505) 883-7530. Call Betty Caponera, (505) 883-8020 for questions.

Appendix F. Rate of Law Enforcement Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration Victimization for Counties with Complete* Reporting, 2011

County	Number of CSP Victims Reported to Law Enforcement	Population	Rate Per 1000
Bernalillo	566	670,968	0.84
Catron	1	3,733	0.27
Chaves	5	65,890	Incomplete ¹ Reporting
Cibola	25	27,658	0.90
Colfax	10	13,640	0.73
Curry	45	49,649	0.91
De Baca	0	1,945	Incomplete ² Reporting
Dona Ana	263	213,598	1.23
Eddy	53	54,152	0.98
Grant	13	29,380	0.44
Guadalupe	1	4,619	0.22
Harding		704	NA
Hidalgo	1	4,861	0.21
Lea	25	65,423	0.38
Lincoln	6	20,454	0.29
Los Alamos	5	18,222	0.27
Luna	9	25,281	Incomplete ³ Reporting
McKinley	42	73,664	0.57
Mora	0	4,773	0.00
Otero	9	65,703	0.14
Quay	1	9,026	Incomplete ⁴ Reporting
Rio Arriba	11	40,446	0.27
Roosevelt	13	20,446	
Sandoval	52	134,259	0.39
San Juan	144	128,200	1.12
San Miguel	25	29,301	0.85
Santa Fe	59	145,648	0.41
Sierra	2	11,943	Incomplete ⁵ Reporting
Socorro	9	17,873	0.50
Taos	10	32,917	
Torrance	13	16,345	0.80
Union	1	4,433	0.23
Valencia	1	77,070	Incomplete ⁶ Reporting
Total	1,420	2,082,224	

NA = No law enforcement participation from this county

*Incomplete reporting means that the law enforcement agency (s) from the largest city in the county did not report or reported less than a full year of sex crimes data for 2011:

- ¹ Roswell Police Department did not report
- ² De Baca County Sheriff's Office only one quarter reported
- ³ Deming Police Department did not report
- ⁴ Tucumcari Police Department only two quarters reported
- ⁵ T or C Police Department only one quarter reported
- ⁶ Los Lunas Police Department did not report

Appendix G. Rate and Rank of Law Enforcement Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration Victimization for Counties with Complete* Reporting, 2011

County	Number of CSP Victims Reported to Law Enforcement	Population	Rate Per 1000	Rank
Dona Ana	263	213,598	1.23	1
San Juan	144	128,200	1.12	2
Eddy	53	54,152	0.98	3
Curry	45	49,649	0.91	4
Cibola	25	27,658	0.90	5
San Miguel	25	29,301	0.85	6
Bernalillo	566	670,968	0.84	7
Torrance	13	16,345	0.80	8
Colfax	10	13,640	0.73	9
McKinley	42	73,664	0.57	10
Socorro	9	17,873	0.50	11
Grant	13	29,380	0.44	12
Santa Fe	59	145,648	0.41	13
Sandoval	52	134,259	0.39	14
Lea	25	65,423	0.38	15
Lincoln	6	20,454	0.29	16
Catron	1	3,733	0.27	17
Los Alamos	5	18,222	0.27	17
Rio Arriba	11	40,446	0.27	17
Union	1	4,433	0.23	18
Guadalupe	1	4,619	0.22	19
Hidalgo	1	4,861	0.21	20
Otero	9	65,703	0.14	21
Mora	0	4,773	0.00	22
Total	1,402	1,891,069	0.74	

CSP = criminal sexual penetration

*Complete reporting means that the law enforcement agency (s) from the largest city in the county reported a full year of sex crimes data for 2011.

Appendix H. Service Provider Agencies, 2011

Agency Name	Address	City	Zip Code
Arise Sexual Assault Services	PO Drawer 868 Roosevelt Hospital	Portales	88130
Better Balance Counseling	1408 East 8th St	Alamogordo	88310
Border Area Mental Health (Grant County)	PO Box 1349	Silver City	88062
Border Area Mental Health (Luna County)	PO Box 1132	Deming	88031
Community Against Violence	PO Box 169	Taos	87571
Counseling Associates	PO Box 1978	Roswell	88202
Desert View DV & SA Services	2700 Farmington Ave Bldg F Ste 1	Farmington	87401
Farmington Community Health Center PMS	PO Box 3239	Farmington	87401
La Buena Vida (Sandoval County)	PO Box 1147	Bernalillo	87004
La Buena Vida (Valencia County)	303 Luna Ave	Los Lunas	87031
La Pinon Sexual Assault Recovery Services	525 S. Melendres	Las Cruces	88005
Mental Health Resources-Clovis	1100 West Twenty-First	Clovis	88101
Mental Health Resources-Portales	300 East First St.	Portales	88130
Mental Health Resources-Tucumcari	PO Box 1121	Tucumcari	88401
NMBHI-CBS	700 Friedman	Las Vegas	87701
Pathways, Inc.		Albuquerque	
PMS SJC Adolescent Residential Tx Ctr (ARTC)	851 Andrea Dr Ste 4 Bldg E	Farmington	87401
PMS/Santa Fe Community Guidance Center	2960 Rodeo Park Dr W	Santa Fe	87111
Rape Crisis Center Central NM	1025 Hermosa SE	Albuquerque	87108
Sexual Assault Services of Northwest New Mexico	812 West Maple	Farmington	87401
Silver Regional SASS (Grant County)		Silver City	
Silver Regional SASS (Hidalgo County)		Silver City	
Socorro Mental Health	1200 Highway 60 West	Socorro	87801
Solace Crisis Treatment Center	6601 Valentine Way	Santa Fe	87507
Southwest Counseling Center	100 W. Griggs Ave.	Las Cruces	88001
Tewa Women United		Espanola	87532
The Counseling Center-Alamogordo	1900 East 10th St.	Alamogordo	88310
Tri-County Community Services, Inc-Raton	220 4th Avenue	Raton	87740
Valencia Counseling Services, Inc.-Los Lunas	PO Box 518	Los Lunas	87031

This form is to be completed by each therapist in each mental health/rape crisis center and their satellite offices for every client who presents or later discloses sexual assault/abuse. Please submit forms to: NMCSAP (505-883-8020), 3909 Juan Tabo NE, Suite 6, Albuquerque, NM 87111, by the tenth of every month.

1. Name of Agency _____ 2. Client Identifier _____

A. Survivor Information

3. Date of most recent sexual assault/abuse incident __/__/__ 4. Survivor Gender: Male Female
(mo / yr)
5. Survivor's Age at time of most recent sexual assault/abuse incident _____ 6. Survivor's Current age _____
7. Survivor Ethnicity/Race: (check one) White (Non-Hispanic) Hispanic Mixed Native American
 Black Asian Unknown
8. Survivor Disability (check all that apply): None Visual Mobility Hearing Physical
 Emotional/Mental (prior to this incident) Unknown
9. Did the survivor use alcohol or drugs immediately prior to or during the most recent sexual assault incident?
 Yes No Unknown
10. Did the survivor contract a sexually transmitted disease as a result of the most recent sexual assault?
 Yes No Unknown
11. Did a pregnancy result from the most recent sexual assault? Yes No Unknown
12. Did the survivor have a history of domestic violence as a child, either as a witness or as one directly victimized?
 Yes No Unknown
13. Was the client ever sexually assaulted/abused before this incident? No (skip to q.15) Yes (answer 13a **or** b)
 Unknown (skip to q.15)
- If Yes to q.13 and,*
- a) the client is a victim of *ongoing* sexual abuse, enter age at onset of sexual abuse _____. (If this age is under 18, go to q.14). If age at onset of ongoing sexual abuse is unknown, check: **Age Unknown** (skip to q.15)
- If Yes to q. 13 and,*
- b) the client is **not** a victim of *ongoing* abuse, enter age at time of prior incident of sexual assault/abuse _____. (If this age is under 18, go to q.14) If age at time of prior sexual assault is unknown, check: **Age Unknown** (skip to q.15)
- 14a. If the survivor experienced a prior sexual assault/abuse at any time before age 18, did the survivor ever become pregnant before age 18?
 Yes (answer q.14b) No Unknown
- 14b. If Yes, was the pregnancy a result of the prior sexual assault? Yes No Unknown

B. Offender Information

15. Number of offenders involved in the most recent sexual assault: (check one) One Two Three
 Four or more Unknown

If more than one offender in the most recent sexual assault, choose one offender to answer questions 16-27

16. Offender Gender: Male Female
(check one)
17. Offender Age: (check one) 5 and under 6-12 13-17 18-24
 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ Unknown

18. *Offender Ethnicity/Race (check one):* White (Non-Hispanic) Hispanic Native American Black
 Asian Mixed Unknown
19. *Did the offender use alcohol or drugs immediately prior to or during the current sexual assault incident?*
 Yes No Unknown
20. *Did the offender have a history of domestic violence as a child, either as a witness or one directly victimized?*
 Yes No Unknown

C. Sexual Offense Information

21. *Type of Offense: (check all that apply)* Penetration (includes: oral, anal, vaginal) - *please specify, if applicable:*
 spousal rape incest date rape gang rape
 Attempted Penetration Sexual Harassment Fondling (no penetration)
 Stalking Indecent Exposure Unknown

22. *Survivor/Offender Relationship (check only one, either from 22a, 22b or 22c):*

- a) Known Relative Offender: Father Mother Sister Brother Step-Brother
 Grandfather Grandmother Step-mother Step-father Current spouse Brother in law
 Sister in Law Cousin Aunt Uncle Other
- b) Known Non-Relative Offender: Ex- spouse Mom's boyfriend Dad's girlfriend
 Mom's lesbian partner Dad's gay partner Survivors lesbian/gay partner
 Social acquaintance New acquaintance Employer Clergy/spiritual leader
 Health care provider Friend Teacher Therapist
 Boyfriend Girlfriend Co-worker Other
- c) Stranger

23. *Was the offender the same ethnicity/race as the survivor?* Yes No Unknown

24. *Type of Coercion/Weapon Used: (check all that apply):* Physical Force Verbal Threat Manipulation
 Knife Other Weapon Intentionally drugged by perpetrator Gun Other _____ Unknown

25. *Location of Most Recent Offense: (check one):* Survivor's home Offender's home Other residence Vehicle
 Parking Lot Workplace School Public Facility Multiple locations Other _____ Unknown

26. _____ / _____ / _____ / _____
city county state reservation or country outside of U.S.

27. *Time of most recent assault:* Morning (6am-noon) Afternoon (12:01-6pm) Evening (6pm-10pm)
 Night (10:01pm-6am) Unknown

28. *The most recent sexual assault was reported by (check one):*
 Survivor Therapist Not Reported Unknown Other _____

29. *If reported, the most recent sexual assault was reported to (check all that apply):* Social Services
 Rape Crisis Center ER/Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Law Enforcement Other Unknown

30. *Did the survivor sustain any injuries related to the assault?* Yes No Unknown

31. *Was medical treatment sought for injuries?* Yes No Unknown

32. *Was rape kit evidence collection within 72 hours after assault?* Yes No Unknown

33. *If known, survivor's family annual income at the time of the most recent incident _____.* Income Unknown

34. *How did you hear about the help we offer?* Friend/Relative/Coworker/Partner Health care provider
 Advertising Law Enforcement Social Services provider Other (please describe) _____

35. *What led you to seek help now?* Symptoms from the assault, such as nightmares, phobias, flashbacks
 It is safe to get help now Encouraged to get help by others Other (please describe) _____

Appendix J. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) Programs

Agency Name	Address	City	State	Zip
Albuquerque SANE Collaborative	PO Box 37139	Albuquerque	NM	87176
Arise Sexual Assault Services SANE	Roosevelt General Hospital, Hwy. 70	Portales	NM	88130
Carlsbad Medical Center - SANE	2430 West Pierce St	Carlsbad	NM	88220
Cibola General Hospital SANE	1016 East Roosevelt	Grants	NM	87020
Christus St. Vincent SANE Program	Christus St. Vincent RMC			
455 St. Michael Drive	Santa Fe	NM	87505	
Las Cruces La Pinon SANE Program	525 Melendres	Las Cruces	NM	88005
Otero/Lincoln County SANE Program	2669 North Scenic Drive	Alamogordo	NM	88310
Roswell Esperanza House SANE Program	PO Box 1582	Roswell	NM	88203
Sexual Assault Services of NW NM (Farmington SANE)	622 W Maple, Suite D	Farmington	NM	87401
Silver City Gila Regional Medical Center SANE	1313 East 22 nd St	Silver City	NM	88061
Taos Holy Cross Hospital SANE Program	1397 Weimer Rd	Taos	NM	87571

Appendix K.

SANE Programs Patient Data Collection Form, 2011

- 1. Program/Agency Name: _____
- 2. Date of Exam _____
- 3. Patient Gender
 Male Female Transgender Unknown
- 4. Patient Age _____ Unknown
- 5. Patient Ethnicity/Race
 Native American Hispanic African American Asian
 White (non-Hispanic) Mixed Ethnicity/Race Other Unknown
- 6. Patient Disability
 None Visual Physical Hearing Mental Cognitive
 Other Unknown Other Description _____
- 7. Victim/Offender Relationship
 Family Stranger Acquaintance Brief Encounter Intimate Partner
 Ex-Intimate Partner Date Other Unknown
 Other Description _____
- 8. Number of Offenders _____ Unknown
- 9. Offender Gender
 Male Female Transgender Unknown
- 10. Offender Age _____ Unknown
- 11. Type of Coercion
 Firearm Knife Hate/Bias Stalking
 Gang-related Physical Force Physical Intimidation Verbal Threat
 Manipulation Other Coercion Unknown Other Description _____
 Alcohol/Drugs Other Weapon Authority Other Incapacitation
- 12. Patient Drug/Alcohol Use
 Yes No Unknown

13. Location of Assault

- Victim's Home
- Offender's Home
- Vehicle
- Other
- Unknown
- Other Description _____

14. Referral Source

- Police
- Rape Crisis Center
- Hospital
- EMS
- Friend
- Relative
- School
- CYFD/Safehouse
- Self
- Other
- Unknown
- Other Description _____

15. Referred To

- Law Enforcement
- Rape Crisis Center
- Community Mental Health Center
- Other
- CVRC
- Unknown
- Other Description _____
- SANE Follow-up
- Hospital/Medical Provider
- Victim Advocate
- DV Services

16. Police Report Filed At Time Of Exam

- Yes
- No
- Unknown

17. Evidence Collected

- SAEK
- Clothes
- Photography
- Blood
- Urine
- None
- Other
- Unknown
- Other Description _____

18. Services Provided

- Pregnancy Prevention/Emergency Contraception
- STD/STI Treatment
- Medical Exam/Physical Assessment
- Suicide Assessment
- Other
- Unknown

19. Patient Currently Pregnant

- Yes
- No
- Unknown

20. Injuries Sustained By Patient

- Oral
- Rectal
- Vaginal
- Body-Head/Neck
- Body-Extremities
- Body-Torso
- Strangulation
- Other (Body Unspecified)
- Unknown
- None noted

21. Patient County of Residence _____

22. Geographical Assault Location: Town State

SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO X:

**An Analysis of 2011 Data from The New Mexico
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

SECTION THREE: COUNTY TRENDS TABLES

Bernalillo County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	512	564	1,076
2008	515	819	1,334
2009	526	1,242	1,768
2010	565	1,532	2,097
2011	508	1,404	1,912

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Albuquerque Police Department	444	431	460	502	438
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	59	73	57	56	64
Isleta Tribal Police	0	0	0	NR	NR
State Police Albuquerque	9	11	9	7	6
County Total	512	515	526	565	508

NR = Isleta Tribal Police Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	512	546	174	55% (95)	11% (19)	34% (60)
2008	515	554	552	20% (113)	29% (158)	51% (281)
2009	526	577	571	23% (130)	29% (165)	48% (276)
2010	565	613	602	18% (111)	28% (170)	53% (321)
2011	508	566	559	25% (138)	26% (145)	49% (276)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	512	410	57	12% (7)	5% (3)	82% (47)
2008	515	597	566	10% (55)	19% (109)	71% (402)
2009	526	624	510	4% (20)	16% (81)	80% (409)
2010	565	683	576	3% (15)	15% (87)	82% (474)
2011	508	581	498	2% (9)	15% (73)	84% (416)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	546	535	87% (464)	13% (71)
2008	554	549	88% (484)	12% (65)
2009	577	577	86% (498)	14% (79)
2010	613	554	86% (477)	14% (77)
2011	566	563	83% (470)	17% (93)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	410	404	6% (25)	94% (379)
2008	597	504	7% (36)	93% (468)
2009	624	565	6% (36)	94% (529)
2010	683	647	4% (25)	96% (622)
2011	581	564	5% (29)	95% (535)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	546	543	59% (323)	19% (102)	10% (55)	0% (2)	6% (33)	5% (28)
2008	554	540	45% (241)	49% (263)	5% (27)	1% (3)	1% (6)	
2009	577	530	41% (218)	47% (248)	8% (40)	1% (4)	4% (20)	
2010	613	587	37% (218)	48% (283)	9% (52)	0% (2)	5% (32)	
2011	566	528	37% (195)	51% (269)	7% (39)	1% (5)	4% (20)	

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	410	410	60% (244)	17% (68)	8% (33)	0% (1)	9% (37)	7% (27)
2008	597	448	34% (152)	52% (234)	4% (18)	1% (3)	9% (41)	
2009	624	492	32% (159)	48% (238)	10% (49)	1% (5)	8% (41)	
2010	683	566	31% (177)	47% (265)	8% (48)	1% (3)	13% (73)	
2011	581	448	34% (152)	52% (235)	2% (8)	0% (1)	12% (52)	

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	505	122	24%	27%
2008	515	130	25%	27%
2009	526	144	27%	29%
2010	560	160	29%	28%
2011	507	141	28%	28%

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Bernalillo County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Bernalillo	10%	12%	10%	11%	11%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Bernalillo	316	424	391	551	534

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	7	22	2	72	13	199
2008	1	8	2	5	9	47
2009	5	11	2	6	6	41
2010	5	38	4	123	20	337
2011	4	21	3	82	23	286

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	3	5	2	62	17	226
2008	1	8	2	5	8	47
2009	2	8	46	5	15	46
2010	4	22	3	99	22	376
2011	1	10	2	72	27	312

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	95	94	2	7	1	21	5	58
2008	362	62	0	5	2	5	7	43
2009	189	53	1	4	0	5	2	41
2010	413	413	3	19	4	100	15	272
2011	181	173	3	10	0	38	7	115

Catron County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	0	0	0
2008	2	0	2
2009	0	0	0
2010	6	7	13
2011	1	1	2

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Catron County Sheriff's Department	0	2	0	6	1
County Total	0	2	0	6	1

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	0	0	0			
2008	2	2	2	100% (2)		
2009	0	0	0			
2010	6	6	6	100% (6)		
2011	1	1	1	100% (1)		

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	0	0	0			
2008	2	2	1			100% (1)
2009	0	0	0			
2010	6	1	1			100% (1)
2011	1	1	1			100% (1)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	0			
2008	2	2	100% (2)	
2009	0	0		
2010	6	6	100% (6)	
2011	1	1	100% (1)	

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	0	0		
2008	2	1		100% (1)
2009	0	0		
2010	1	1		100% (1)
2011	1	1		100% (1)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	0	0						
2008	2	2	100% (2)					
2009	0	0						
2010	6	6	100% (6)					
2011	1	1	100% (1)					

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	0	0						
2008	2	1		100% (1)				
2009	0	0						
2010	1	1		100% (1)				
2011	1	1		100% (1)				

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	0	NR		27%
2008	0	NR		27%
2009	0	NR		29%
2010	0	NR		28%
2011	0	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Catron County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Catron	NR	50%	NR	17%	NR
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Catron	*	4	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	0	0	0	1	1	2
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	4	3				1	1	1
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

Chaves County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	36	38	74
2008	46	79	125
2009	11	11	22
2010	15	31	46
2011	5	9	14

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Chaves County Sheriff's Department	5	11	11	13	2
Dexter Police Department	0	0	0	0	0
Roswell Police Department	26	32	NR	NR	NR
State Police Roswell	5	3	0	2	3
County Total	36	46	11	15	5

NR = Roswell Police Department Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	36	36	23	39% (9)	48% (11)	13% (3)
2008	46	46	44	36% (16)	36% (16)	27% (12)
2009	11	14	14	57% (8)	21% (3)	21% (3)
2010	15	16	10	40% (4)	30% (3)	30% (3)
2011	5	5	5	20% (1)		80% (4)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	36	35	7			100% (7)
2008	46	47	14	14% (2)	14% (2)	71% (10)
2009	11	13	10	20% (2)	10% (1)	70% (7)
2010	15	16	12		17% (2)	83% (10)
2011	5	5	2			100% (2)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	36	9	100% (9)	
2008	46	37	95% (35)	5% (2)
2009	14	14	93% (13)	7% (1)
2010	16	14	50% (7)	50% (7)
2011	5	5	60% (3)	40% (2)

CSP = criminal sexual penetration

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	35	9		100% (9)
2008	47	24	8% (2)	92% (22)
2009	13	13	8% (1)	92% (12)
2010	16	15	7% (1)	93% (14)
2011	5	3		100% (3)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	36	9	56% (5)	11% (1)			33% (3)	
2008	46	43	47% (20)	53% (23)				
2009	14	14	57% (8)	43% (6)				
2010	16	13	54% (7)	46% (6)				
2011	5	4	25% (1)	50% (2)	25% (1)			

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	35	9	33% (3)	33% (3)			33% (3)	
2008	47	14	64% (9)	29% (4)			7% (1)	
2009	13	12	33% (4)	67% (8)				
2010	16	13	38% (5)	62% (8)				
2011	5	1					100% (1)	

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	8	6	75%	27%
2008	7	2	29%	27%
2009	6	2	33%	29%
2010	13	6	46%	28%
2011	2	2	100%	28%

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Chaves County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Chaves	40%	33%	33%	100%	NR
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Chaves	*	22	10	*	*

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	1	4	0	5	0	7
2009	0	3	0	0	0	3
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	1	8	0	2	0	3

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	1	1	0	4	0	12
2009	0	2	4	1	0	4
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	0	1	0	2	1	12

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	18	14	1	3	0	4	0	6
2009	7	5	0	1	0	1	0	3
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	7	6	0	2	0	2	0	2

*No Services Reported

Cibola County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	22	5	27
2008	10	19	29
2009	6	10	16
2010	16	21	37
2011	22	21	43

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Cibola County Sheriff's Department	2	0	1	3	6
Grants Police Department	16	4	0	10	13
Laguna Police Department	NR	NR	NR	NR	2
Ramah Navajo Police Department	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
State Police Grants	4	6	5	3	1
County Total	22	10	6	16	22

NR = Laguna Police Department and Ramah Navajo Police Department Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	22	22	5		40% (2)	60% (3)
2008	10	10	3	33% (1)		67% (2)
2009	6	6	5		40% (2)	60% (3)
2010	16	17	15	47% (7)	27% (4)	27% (4)
2011	22	25	23	22% (5)	35% (8)	43% (10)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	22	21	2		100% (2)	
2008	10	10	2			100% (2)
2009	6	6	4			100% (4)
2010	16	15	7			100% (7)
2011	22	22	16		13% (2)	88% (14)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	22	4	100% (4)	
2008	10	3	100% (3)	
2009	6	5	60% (3)	40% (2)
2010	17	14	64% (9)	36% (5)
2011	25	23	78% (18)	22% (5)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	21	2		100% (2)
2008	10	3		100% (3)
2009	6	4	25% (1)	75% (3)
2010	15	11	36% (4)	64% (7)
2011	22	18	28% (5)	72% (13)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	22	4	50% (2)	25% (1)		25% (1)		
2008	10	3	67% (2)	33% (1)				
2009	6	5	60% (3)	20% (1)	20% (1)			
2010	17	13	38% (5)	38% (5)	23% (3)			
2011	25	23	39% (9)	30% (7)	22% (5)		9% (2)	

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	21	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2008	10	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				
2009	6	4	25% (1)	50% (2)	25% (1)			
2010	15	9	22% (2)	33% (3)	44% (4)			
2011	22	15	27% (4)	47% (7)	20% (3)		7% (1)	

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	4	2	50%	27%
2008	NR	NR		27%
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	2	1	50%	28%
2011	6	2	33%	28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Cibola County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Cibola	NR	0%	20%	33%	0%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Cibola	2	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	1	0	0	0	1
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	0	0	0	0	2
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

Colfax County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	6	9	15
2008	2	16	18
2009	12	19	31
2010	2	17	19
2011	10	11	21

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Angel Fire Police Department	0	0	0	1	2
Cimarron Police Department	0	NR	NR	0	0
Colfax County Sheriff's Department	0	0	1	0	0
Raton Police Department	4	1	10	1	6
Springer Police Department	0	0	NR	0	NR
State Police Raton	2	1	1	0	2
County Total	6	2	12	2	10

NR = Cimarron Police Department and Springer Police Department Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	6	6	5		60% (3)	40% (2)
2008	2	2	1		100% (1)	
2009	12	17	16	19% (3)	50% (8)	31% (5)
2010	2	2	1		100% (1)	
2011	10	10	7	14% (1)	43% (3)	43% (3)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	6	6	5			100% (5)
2008	2	2	1			100% (1)
2009	12	13	12		33% (4)	67% (8)
2010	2	2	1			100% (1)
2011	10	11	5		20% (1)	80% (4)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	6	6	100% (6)	
2008	2	1	100% (1)	
2009	17	16	56% (9)	44% (7)
2010	2	1		100% (1)
2011	10	7	86% (6)	14% (1)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	6	6		100% (6)
2008	2	1	100% (1)	
2009	13	13		100% (13)
2010	2	1	100% (1)	
2011	11	6	17% (1)	83% (5)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	6	4		100% (4)				
2008	2	1	100% (1)					
2009	17	17	29% (5)	71% (12)				
2010	2	0						
2011	10	4	50% (2)	50% (2)				

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	6	4		75% (3)			25% (1)	
2008	2	1		100% (1)				
2009	13	12	33% (4)	58% (7)		8% (1)		
2010	2	0						
2011	11	4	50% (2)	50% (2)				

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	2	2	100%	27%
2008	NR	NR		27%
2009	1	1	100%	29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	1	1	100%	28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Colfax County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Colfax	NR	0%	0%	0%	100%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Colfax	15	21	14	17	14

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	2	6	1	3	1	1
2008	1	4	1	3	0	6
2009	3	3	1	0	0	2
2010	1	6	0	5	0	2
2011	1	1	0	4	0	1

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	1	1	2	3	7
2008	0	2	0	2	2	12
2009	0	0	7	3	3	7
2010	0	0	0	1	1	12
2011	0	0	0	2	1	4

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	13	12	2	4	1	3	1	1
2008	17	14	1	4	0	3	0	6
2009	11	10	2	3	1	2	0	2
2010	10	10	1	2	0	5	0	2
2011	4	3	0	1	0	2	0	0

Curry County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	61	54	115
2008	51	57	108
2009	42	66	108
2010	49	60	109
2011	44	64	108

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Clovis Police Department	58	49	39	44	39
Curry County Sheriff's Office	1	0	3	1	3
State Police Clovis	2	2	0	4	2
County Total	61	51	42	49	44

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	61	62	62	31% (19)	47% (29)	23% (14)
2008	51	52	51	37% (19)	33% (17)	29% (15)
2009	42	43	43	26% (11)	44% (19)	30% (13)
2010	49	51	34	15% (5)	53% (18)	32% (11)
2011	44	45	42	31% (13)	43% (18)	26% (11)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	61	63	42	2% (1)	33% (14)	64% (27)
2008	51	59	45	4% (2)	20% (9)	76% (34)
2009	42	50	36	6% (2)	25% (9)	69% (25)
2010	49	53	31	3% (1)	29% (9)	68% (21)
2011	44	51	35	9% (3)	29% (10)	63% (22)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	62	62	89% (55)	11% (7)
2008	52	51	94% (48)	6% (3)
2009	43	43	95% (41)	5% (2)
2010	51	51	90% (46)	10% (5)
2011	45	45	87% (39)	13% (6)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	63	57	9% (5)	91% (52)
2008	59	55	4% (2)	96% (53)
2009	50	49	4% (2)	96% (47)
2010	53	34	9% (3)	91% (31)
2011	51	48	10% (5)	90% (43)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	62	62	40% (25)	45% (28)			15% (9)	
2008	52	50	40% (20)	44% (22)	2% (1)		14% (7)	
2009	43	43	49% (21)	42% (18)	2% (1)		7% (3)	
2010	51	33	45% (15)	39% (13)	3% (1)		12% (4)	
2011	45	42	36% (15)	52% (22)			12% (5)	

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	63	47	40% (19)	49% (23)			11% (5)	
2008	59	49	24% (12)	55% (27)	2% (1)		18% (9)	
2009	50	43	28% (12)	58% (25)			14% (6)	
2010	53	40	43% (17)	45% (18)			13% (5)	
2011	51	38	18% (7)	63% (24)			18% (7)	

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	21	10	48%	27%
2008	49	19	39%	27%
2009	39	21	54%	29%
2010	48	18	38%	28%
2011	39	9	23%	28%

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Curry County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Curry	18%	20%	15%	15%	15%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Curry	59	49	36	60	52

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	5	20	1	14	2	12
2008	5	5	1	4	0	12
2009	2	6	1	2	2	7
2010	4	21	1	10	2	13
2011	0	0	0	1	0	4

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	1	4	0	2	8	41
2008	1	1	0	1	8	21
2009	0	2	19	1	4	19
2010	0	1	0	1	7	42
2011	0	0	0	0	0	5

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	45	42	5	13	1	12	2	9
2008	43	24	4	3	1	4	0	12
2009	25	17	2	3	1	4	1	6
2010	44	44	3	19	1	6	2	13
2011	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	4

De Baca County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	1	0	1
2008	0	0	0
2009	0	0	0
2010	NR	NR	NR
2011	0	0	0

NR = DeBaca County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
DeBaca County Sheriff's Office	1	0	0	NR	0
County Total	1	0	0	NR	0

NR = DeBaca County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	1	1	0			
2008	0	0	0			
2009	0	0	0			
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	0	0	0			

*Victim Age Not Reported

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	1	1	0			
2008	0	0	0			
2009	0	0	0			
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	0	0	0			

*Age of Offender Not Reported

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	1	0		
2008	0	0		
2009	0	0		
2010	*	*	*	*
2011	0	0		

*Victim Gender Not Reported

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	1	0		
2008	0	0		
2009	0	0		
2010	--	--	--	--
2011	0	0		

*Offender Gender Not Reported

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	1	NR						
2008	0	0						
2009	0	0						
2010	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2011	0	0						

NR = Race/Ethnicity Not Reported

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	1	NR						
2008	0	0						
2009	0	0						
2010	--	NR	--	--	--	--	--	--
2011	0	0						

NR = Race/Ethnicity Not Reported

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	--	NR		27%
2008	--	NR		27%
2009	--	NR		29%
2010	--	NR		28%
2011	--	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in De Baca County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
De Baca	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
De Baca	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

Dona Ana County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	266	95	361
2008	203	156	359
2009	232	191	423
2010	309	200	509
2011	255	194	449

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Dona Ana County Sheriff's Department	89	20	38	165	41
Hatch Police Department	0	0	1	0	0
Las Cruces Police Department	176	180	190	321	212
State Police Las Cruces	1	3	3	23	2
County Total	266	203	232	509	255

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	266	266	157	37% (58)	31% (49)	32% (50)
2008	203	205	164	40% (66)	29% (47)	31% (51)
2009	232	232	155	39% (60)	30% (46)	32% (49)
2010	309	310	158	34% (54)	31% (49)	35% (55)
2011	255	263	182	36% (66)	37% (67)	27% (49)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	266	167	74	9% (7)	22% (16)	69% (51)
2008	203	203	75	32% (24)	13% (10)	55% (41)
2009	232	136	92	12% (11)	26% (24)	62% (57)
2010	309	309	94		31% (29)	69% (65)
2011	255	255	110	7% (8)	14% (15)	79% (87)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	266	157	78% (122)	22% (35)
2008	205	164	90% (148)	10% (16)
2009	232	155	83% (128)	17% (27)
2010	310	158	81% (128)	19% (30)
2011	263	184	80% (148)	20% (36)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	167	78	8% (6)	92% (72)
2008	203	75	5% (4)	95% (71)
2009	136	96	5% (5)	95% (91)
2010	309	98	6% (6)	94% (92)
2011	255	214	7% (15)	93% (199)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	266	146	40% (58)	60% (87)			1% (1)	
2008	205	146	34% (49)	64% (93)			3% (4)	
2009	232	151	45% (68)	50% (76)			4% (6)	1% (1)
2010	310	155	50% (78)	46% (72)		1% (1)	3% (4)	
2011	263	174	51% (88)	48% (84)			1% (2)	

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	167	63	30% (19)	52% (33)		3% (2)	14% (9)	
2008	203	68	49% (33)	51% (35)				
2009	136	87	48% (42)	51% (44)			1% (1)	
2010	309	90	44% (40)	52% (47)			3% (3)	
2011	255	102	38% (39)	49% (50)	1% (1)		12% (12)	

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	NR	NR		27%
2008	NR	NR		27%
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	2	1	50%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Dona Ana County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Dona Ana	NR	0%	1%	0%	0%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Dona Ana	438	469	324	398	387

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	13	82	5	49	14	97
2008	12	12	4	5	7	32
2009	12	11	4	4	4	28
2010	28	101	9	66	7	99
2011	36	111	5	77	8	88

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	19	96	5	51	21	130
2008	12	12	4	5	12	39
2009	10	11	34	5	11	34
2010	24	80	7	57	18	156
2011	25	65	9	68	22	166

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	160	121	3	20	2	28	8	60
2008	252	62	7	12	3	5	5	30
2009	176	54	7	11	3	4	3	26
2010	147	140	9	22	3	40	5	61
2011	211	181	14	46	4	48	5	64

Eddy County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	33	31	64
2008	64	63	127
2009	70	67	137
2010	61	59	120
2011	52	52	104

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Artesia Police Department	15	4	16	32	3
Carlsbad Police Department	18	39	36	68	34
Eddy County Sheriff's Office	NR	21	18	20	15
County Total	33	64	70	120	52

NR = Eddy County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	33	35	34	50% (17)	9% (3)	41% (14)
2008	64	66	60	37% (22)	42% (25)	22% (13)
2009	70	72	55	27% (15)	44% (24)	29% (16)
2010	61	65	65	42% (27)	34% (22)	25% (16)
2011	52	53	50	28% (14)	36% (18)	36% (18)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	33	28	23	9% (2)		91% (21)
2008	64	69	60	5% (3)	15% (9)	80% (48)
2009	70	69	52	6% (3)	12% (6)	83% (43)
2010	61	57	46		28% (13)	72% (33)
2011	52	53	41		20% (8)	80% (33)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	35	34	85% (29)	15% (5)
2008	66	60	82% (49)	18% (11)
2009	72	55	87% (48)	13% (7)
2010	65	65	86% (56)	14% (9)
2011	53	51	82% (42)	18% (9)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	28	26		100% (26)
2008	69	61	2% (1)	98% (60)
2009	69	53	15% (8)	85% (45)
2010	57	52	8% (4)	92% (48)
2011	53	47	4% (2)	96% (45)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	35	33	48% (16)	48% (16)		3% (1)		
2008	66	59	71% (42)	29% (17)				
2009	72	55	56% (31)	44% (24)				
2010	65	65	49% (32)	49% (32)			2% (1)	
2011	53	48	56% (27)	40% (19)			4% (2)	

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	28	26	31% (8)	69% (18)				
2008	69	61	49% (30)	46% (28)			5% (3)	
2009	69	53	60% (32)	36% (19)			4% (2)	
2010	57	49	35% (17)	65% (32)				
2011	53	43	35% (15)	58% (25)			7% (3)	

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	14	5	36%	27%
2008	41	6	15%	27%
2009	48	9	19%	29%
2010	34	4	12%	28%
2011	34	5	15%	28%

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Eddy County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Eddy	13%	25%	20%	14%	11%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Eddy	1	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	0	0	0	0	1
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	0	0	0	0	1
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

Grant County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	21	23	44
2008	10	8	18
2009	9	4	13
2010	23	12	35
2011	13	7	20

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Bayard Police Department	1	2	2	4	0
Grant County Sheriff's Department	13	1	NR	13	3
Hurley Police Department	0	0	NR	0	NR
Santa Clara Police Department	3	0	0	0	0
Silver City Police Department	4	7	7	18	10
County Total	21	10	9	35	13

NR = Grant County Sheriff's Department and Hurley Police Department Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	21	21	17	24% (4)	41% (7)	35% (6)
2008	10	10	9	22% (2)	11% (1)	67% (6)
2009	9	9	9	11% (1)	33% (3)	56% (5)
2010	23	23	23	22% (5)	26% (6)	52% (12)
2011	13	13	9	11% (1)	11% (1)	78% (7)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	21	19	11		18% (2)	82% (9)
2008	10	12	7		29% (2)	71% (5)
2009	9	9	7		14% (1)	86% (6)
2010	23	26	19	5% (1)	32% (6)	63% (12)
2011	13	13	4			100% (4)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	21	17	82% (14)	18% (3)
2008	10	9	100% (9)	
2009	9	9	89% (8)	11% (1)
2010	23	20	95% (19)	5% (1)
2011	13	10	100% (10)	

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	19	15		100% (15)
2008	12	11		100% (11)
2009	9	9		100% (9)
2010	26	25	12% (3)	88% (22)
2011	13	9		100% (9)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	21	15	27% (4)	73% (11)				
2008	10	9	56% (5)	44% (4)				
2009	9	8	25% (2)	75% (6)				
2010	23	17	29% (5)	65% (11)			6% (1)	
2011	13	9	44% (4)	56% (5)				

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity Race
2007	19	11	27% (3)	64% (7)			9% (1)	
2008	12	8	38% (3)	63% (5)				
2009	9	7	14% (1)	71% (5)			14% (1)	
2010	26	17	12% (2)	82% (14)	6% (1)			
2011	13	5	20% (1)	80% (4)				

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	15	3	20%	27%
2008	NR	NR		27%
2009	2	2	100%	29%
2010	2	1	50%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

CSP = criminal sexual penetration

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Grant County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Grant	7%	100%	NR	100%	NR
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Grant	116	77	23	82	69

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	7	9	9	19	10	60
2008	1	5	3	4	9	23
2009	0	5	0	2	2	7
2010	6	14	0	16	0	31
2011	5	0	1	6	0	21

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	6	2	6	13	14	73
2008	1	6	2	4	9	23
2009	1	3	10	3	2	10
2010	6	10	0	9	0	42
2011	2	7	0	7	4	21

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	82	80	4	9	4	14	7	42
2008	43	28	0	4	0	3	3	18
2009	16	12	0	3	0	2	2	5
2010	41	41	1	7	0	11	0	22
2011	23	22	3	0	1	2	0	16

Guadalupe County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	0	1	1
2008	5	12	17
2009	5	16	21
2010	0	16	16
2011	1	3	4

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Guadalupe County Sheriff's Department	NR	1	NR	0	0
Santa Rosa Police Department	0	1	1	2	1
State Police Santa Rosa	0	3	4	14	0
Vaughn Police Department	0	0	0	0	0
County Total	0	5	5	16	1

NR = Guadalupe County Sheriff's Department Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	0	0	0			
2008	5	6	5		40% (2)	60% (3)
2009	5	5	5		80% (4)	20% (1)
2010	0	0	0			
2011	1	1	1		100% (1)	

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	0	0	0			
2008	5	5	4			100% (4)
2009	5	5	5		20% (1)	80% (4)
2010	0	0	0			
2011	1	1	1		100% (1)	

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	0	--	--	--
2008	6	5	80% (4)	20% (1)
2009	5	5	100% (5)	
2010	0	--	--	--
2011	1	1	100% (1)	

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	0	0		
2008	5	4		100% (4)
2009	5	5		100% (5)
2010	0	0		
2011	1	1		100% (1)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	0	0						
2008	6	5		80% (4)			20% (1)	
2009	5	5		100% (5)				
2010	0	0						
2011	1	1		100% (1)				

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	0	0						
2008	5	4		50% (2)			50% (2)	
2009	5	5		100% (5)				
2010	0	0						
2011	1	1		100% (1)				

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	NR	NR		27%
2008	2	1	50%	27%
2009	3	3	100%	29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Guadalupe County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Guadalupe	NR	0%	0%	0%	NR
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Guadalupe	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

Hidalgo County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	1	2	3
2008	0	0	0
2009	2	1	3
2010	3	2	5
2011	1	2	3

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Hidalgo County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	5	1
Lordsburg Police Department	1	0	2	0	0
County Total	1	0	2	5	1

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	1	1	1	100% (1)		
2008	0	0	0			
2009	2	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2010	3	3	3	67% (2)		33% (1)
2011	1	1	1	100% (1)		

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	1	1	1			100% (1)
2008	0	0	0			
2009	2	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2010	3	3	3		33% (1)	67% (2)
2011	1	1	1		100% (1)	

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	1	1		100% (1)
2008	0	0		
2009	2	2	100% (2)	
2010	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)
2011	1	1	100% (1)	

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	1	1		100% (1)
2008	0	0		
2009	2	2		100% (2)
2010	3	3		100% (3)
2011	1	1		100% (1)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	1	1						100% (1)
2008	0	0						
2009	2	2		100% (2)				
2010	3	3	100% (3)					
2011	1	1	100% (1)					

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	1	1		100% (1)				
2008	0	0						
2009	2	2		100% (2)				
2010	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)				
2011	1	1	100% (1)					

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	1	1	100%	27%
2008	NR	NR		27%
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	3	0	0%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Hidalgo County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Hidalgo	100%	NR	NR	33%	NR
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Hidalgo	*	1	3	*	*

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	1	0	0	0	0	0
2009	0	0	0	1	1	1
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	1	0	0	0	0	0

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	1	0	0	0	0	0

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
2009	3	3	0	0	0	1	1	1
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

Lea County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement (LE)

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	34	26	60
2008	34	18	52
2009	29	27	56
2010	38	38	76
2011	25	24	49

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Eunice Police Department	0	1	2	3	0
Hobbs Police Department	15	19	17	43	15
Jal Police Department	1	0	0	1	0
Lea County Sheriff's Department	9	10	8	15	5
Lovington Police Department	7	4	2	8	5
State Police Hobbs	0	0	0	6	0
Tatum Police Department	2	0	0	0	0
County Total	34	34	29	76	25

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	34	43	43	28% (12)	49% (21)	23% (10)
2008	34	36	32	47% (15)	38% (12)	16% (5)
2009	29	30	30	27% (8)	37% (11)	37% (11)
2010	38	40	37	41% (15)	32% (12)	27% (10)
2011	25	25	24	29% (7)	33% (8)	38% (9)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	34	45	36	3% (1)	8% (3)	89% (32)
2008	34	38	28	4% (1)	7% (2)	89% (25)
2009	29	30	25	8% (2)	16% (4)	76% (19)
2010	38	44	29	14% (4)	21% (6)	66% (19)
2011	25	26	19		16% (3)	84% (16)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	43	43	91% (39)	9% (4)
2008	36	34	74% (25)	26% (9)
2009	30	30	97% (29)	3% (1)
2010	40	38	82% (31)	18% (7)
2011	25	24	88% (21)	13% (3)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	45	44	2% (1)	98% (43)
2008	38	36	3% (1)	97% (35)
2009	30	30	3% (1)	97% (29)
2010	44	43	2% (1)	98% (42)
2011	26	26	8% (2)	92% (24)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	43	43	37% (16)	58% (25)			5% (2)	
2008	36	35	40% (14)	51% (18)			9% (3)	
2009	30	30	33% (10)	53% (16)			13% (4)	
2010	40	37	43% (16)	49% (18)	3% (1)		5% (2)	
2011	25	24	33% (8)	63% (15)			4% (1)	

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	45	40	33% (13)	53% (21)			15% (6)	
2008	38	33	15% (5)	67% (22)			18% (6)	
2009	30	25	28% (7)	48% (12)			24% (6)	
2010	44	34	41% (14)	56% (19)			3% (1)	
2011	26	22	23% (5)	59% (13)	5% (1)		14% (3)	

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	11	2	18%	27%
2008	11	4	36%	27%
2009	2	1	50%	29%
2010	23	1	4%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Lea County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Lea	50%	18%	7%	17%	25%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Lea	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

Lincoln County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	6	3	9
2008	6	9	15
2009	11	2	13
2010	10	10	20
2011	4	6	10

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Carrizozo Police Department	1	0	0	2	0
Lincoln County Sheriff's Office	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Ruidoso Downs Police Department	1	2	0	2	1
Ruidoso Police Department	4	4	11	16	3
County Total	6	6	11	20	4

NR = Lincoln County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	6	7	7	29% (2)	43% (3)	29% (2)
2008	6	6	6	33% (2)	17% (1)	50% (3)
2009	11	12	12	33% (4)	25% (3)	42% (5)
2010	10	10	10	50% (5)	30% (3)	20% (2)
2011	4	6	5	20% (1)	60% (3)	20% (1)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	6	8	6			100% (6)
2008	6	7	7	14% (1)	14% (1)	71% (5)
2009	11	10	8		25% (2)	75% (6)
2010	10	10	9		11% (1)	89% (8)
2011	4	6	5	20% (1)		80% (4)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	7	7	100% (7)	
2008	6	6	100% (6)	
2009	12	12	83% (10)	17% (2)
2010	10	2	100% (2)	
2011	6	6	50% (3)	50% (3)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	8	7		100% (7)
2008	7	7	14% (1)	86% (6)
2009	10	9		100% (9)
2010	10	10		100% (10)
2011	6	6	17% (1)	83% (5)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	7	7	43% (3)	43% (3)	14% (1)			
2008	6	6	67% (4)	33% (2)				
2009	12	12	25% (3)	67% (8)	8% (1)			
2010	10	9	67% (6)	33% (3)				
2011	6	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	8	6	17% (1)	33% (2)	50% (3)			
2008	7	7	43% (3)	57% (4)				
2009	10	9	22% (2)	44% (4)	33% (3)			
2010	10	8	50% (4)	50% (4)				
2011	6	5	20% (1)	80% (4)				

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	4	1	25%	27%
2008	6	2	33%	27%
2009	11	3	27%	29%
2010	8	1	13%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Lincoln County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Lincoln	67%	50%	18%	33%	33%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Lincoln	10	1	13	30	28

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	3	1	2	0	0	3
2008	0	1	0	0	0	0
2009	2	3	0	0	0	2
2010	1	10	0	2	1	13
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	0	0	0	5	5
2008	0	0	0	0	0	1
2009	0	0	7	1	3	7
2010	0	0	0	1	2	24
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	3	3	1	0	1	0	0	1
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
2010	19	19	0	6	0	1	1	11
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

Los Alamos County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	4	1	5
2008	6	3	9
2009	5	5	10
2010	3	1	4
2011	5	6	11

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Los Alamos Police Department	4	6	5	4	5
County Total	4	6	5	4	5

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	4	4	0			
2008	6	7	7	29% (2)	71% (5)	
2009	5	5	5		80% (4)	20% (1)
2010	3	3	3			100% (3)
2011	5	5	0			

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	4	4	0			
2008	6	6	4		50% (2)	50% (2)
2009	5	6	5		40% (2)	60% (3)
2010	3	3	0			
2011	5	5	0			

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	4	0		
2008	7	7	100% (7)	
2009	5	5	80% (4)	20% (1)
2010	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)
2011	5	0		

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	4	0		
2008	6	6		100% (6)
2009	6	6		100% (6)
2010	3	3		100% (3)
2011	5	0		

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	4	0						
2008	7	7	71% (5)	14% (1)		14% (1)		
2009	5	4	75% (3)	25% (1)				
2010	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)				
2011	5	0						

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	4	0						
2008	6	4	50% (2)	25% (1)		25% (1)		
2009	6	6	83% (5)	17% (1)				
2010	3	1	100% (1)					
2011	5	0						

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	NR	NR		27%
2008	NR	NR		27%
2009	1	1	100%	29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Los Alamos County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Los Alamos	NR	33%	20%	0%	NR
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Los Alamos	*	1	2	*	*

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	0	0	0	0	0	1
2009	0	1	0	0	0	0
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	0	0	0	0	0	1
2009	0	0	1	0	0	1
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
2009	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

Luna County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	1	3	4
2008	4	21	25
2009	11	22	33
2010	14	21	35
2011	8	24	32

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Luna County Sheriff's Office	*	0	1	17	6
State Police Deming	1	4	10	18	2
County Total	1	4	11	35	8

*Luna County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	1	1	1			100% (1)
2008	4	4	4		25% (1)	75% (3)
2009	11	15	13	15% (2)	46% (6)	38% (5)
2010	14	15	12	17% (2)	42% (5)	42% (5)
2011	8	9	9	44% (4)	44% (4)	11% (1)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	1	1	1			100% (1)
2008	4	4	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2009	11	12	9		44% (4)	56% (5)
2010	14	16	11		36% (4)	64% (7)
2011	8	11	10	40% (4)	10% (1)	50% (5)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	1	1		100% (1)
2008	4	4	75% (3)	25% (1)
2009	15	14	64% (9)	36% (5)
2010	15	13	92% (12)	8% (1)
2011	9	9	78% (7)	22% (2)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	1	1	100% (1)	
2008	4	3	33% (1)	67% (2)
2009	12	11		100% (11)
2010	16	12	8% (1)	92% (11)
2011	11	11	27% (3)	73% (8)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	1	1		100% (1)				
2008	4	3		33% (1)		33% (1)		33% (1)
2009	15	12	58% (7)	25% (3)		17% (2)		
2010	15	13	38% (5)	54% (7)		8% (1)		
2011	9	9	22% (2)	78% (7)				

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	1	1	100% (1)					
2008	4	1		100% (1)				
2009	12	8	50% (4)	38% (3)		13% (1)		
2010	16	11	45% (5)	45% (5)			9% (1)	
2011	11	10	30% (3)	70% (7)				

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	NR	NR		27%
2008	3	1	33%	27%
2009	4	1	25%	29%
2010	2	1	50%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Luna County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Luna	NR	0%	0%	50%	100%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Luna	20	18	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	2	6	0	3	0	6
2008	0	1	0	0	0	11
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	2	2	0	3	0	2

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	1	0	3	1	11
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	0	1	0	2	2	4

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	12	11	1	4	0	3	0	3
2008	19	12	0	1	0	0	0	11
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	7	6	2	1	0	2	0	1

*No Services Reported

McKinley County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	23	16	39
2008	40	30	70
2009	42	42	84
2010	34	42	76
2011	42	65	107

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Gallup Police Department	20	26	32	60	27
McKinley County Sheriff's Office	2	6	8	11	9
State Police Gallup	1	0	2	4	3
Zuni Police Department	NR	8	0	1	3
County Total	23	40	42	76	42

NR = Zuni Police Department Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	23	23	2	50% (1)	50% (1)	
2008	40	40	33	36% (12)	9% (3)	55% (18)
2009	42	42	42	7% (3)	31% (13)	62% (26)
2010	34	34	25		12% (3)	88% (22)
2011	42	42	29	7% (2)	7% (2)	86% (25)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	23	23	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2008	40	45	20		10% (2)	90% (18)
2009	42	46	30	3% (1)	10% (3)	87% (26)
2010	34	35	16		6% (1)	94% (15)
2011	42	45	21		5% (1)	95% (20)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	23	2	100% (2)	
2008	40	33	85% (28)	15% (5)
2009	42	42	88% (37)	12% (5)
2010	34	25	96% (24)	4% (1)
2011	42	29	90% (26)	10% (3)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	23	2		100% (2)
2008	45	31		100% (31)
2009	46	45		100% (45)
2010	35	26		100% (26)
2011	45	31		100% (31)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	23	2		50% (1)	50% (1)			
2008	40	30	3% (1)	13% (4)	83% (25)			
2009	42	42	10% (4)	14% (6)	74% (31)	2% (1)		
2010	34	25		4% (1)	92% (23)		4% (1)	
2011	42	28	11% (3)	7% (2)	82% (23)			

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	23	0						
2008	45	26	23% (6)	8% (2)	65% (17)		4% (1)	
2009	46	41	7% (3)	34% (14)	51% (21)		7% (3)	
2010	35	19	5% (1)	16% (3)	74% (14)		5% (1)	
2011	45	20	10% (2)	5% (1)	85% (17)			

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	1	1	100%	27%
2008	26	8	31%	27%
2009	32	10	31%	29%
2010	25	10	40%	28%
2011	27	11	41%	28%

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in McKinley County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
McKinley	NR	25%	17%	15%	7%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
McKinley	3	4	*	3	3

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	1	0	2	0	0
2008	1	1	0	0	0	2
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	0	2	0	1	0	0
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	0	0	1	0	2
2008	0	0	0	0	1	3
2009	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010	0	1	0	0	0	1
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
2008	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	3	3	0	2	0	1	0	0
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

Mora County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	0	0	0
2008	0	0	0
2009	0	2	2
2010	1	2	3
2011	0	0	0

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Mora County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	3	0
Wagon Mound Police Department	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
County Total	0	0	0	3	0

NR = Wagon Mound Police Department Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	0	0	0			
2008	0	0	0			
2009	0	0	0			
2010	1	1	1		100% (1)	
2011	0	0	0			

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	0	0	0			
2008	0	0	0			
2009	0	0	0			
2010	1	1	1			100% (1)
2011	0	0	0			

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	0	0		
2008	0	0		
2009	0	0		
2010	1	0		
2011	0	0		

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	0	0		
2008	0	0		
2009	0	0		
2010	1	0		
2011	0	0		

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	0	0						
2008	0	0						
2009	0	0						
2010	1	1		100% (1)				
2011	0	0						

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	0	0						
2008	0	0						
2009	0	0						
2010	1	1		100% (1)				
2011	0	0						

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	NR	NR		27%
2008	NR	NR		27%
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Mora County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Mora	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Mora	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

Otero County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	25	26	51
2008	20	22	42
2009	41	12	53
2010	21	14	35
2011	9	11	20

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Alamogordo Department of Public Safety	8	17	38	25	7
Otero County Sheriff's Department	15	NR	NR	NR	NR
State Police Alamogordo	1	1	1	4	1
Tularosa Police Department	1	2	2	6	1
County Total	25	20	41	35	9

NR = Otero County Sheriff's Department Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	25	27	16	50% (8)	44% (7)	6% (1)
2008	20	20	18	22% (4)	44% (8)	33% (6)
2009	41	42	37	38% (14)	41% (15)	22% (8)
2010	21	26	26	19% (5)	65% (17)	15% (4)
2011	9	9	4	25% (1)	50% (2)	25% (1)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	25	26	9	11% (1)	11% (1)	78% (7)
2008	20	20	18	11% (2)	17% (3)	72% (13)
2009	41	37	35	6% (2)	23% (8)	71% (25)
2010	21	27	19		11% (2)	89% (17)
2011	9	10	5		20% (1)	80% (4)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	27	9	100% (9)	
2008	20	18	100% (18)	20
2009	42	37	81% (30)	19% (7)
2010	26	25	84% (21)	16% (4)
2011	9	4	100% (4)	

CSP = criminal sexual penetration

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	26	9	33% (3)	67% (6)
2008	20	20	10% (2)	90% (18)
2009	37	37	8% (3)	92% (34)
2010	27	20	5% (1)	95% (19)
2011	10	5		100% (5)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	27	8	63% (5)	25% (2)		13% (1)		
2008	20	17	59% (10)	29% (5)			12% (2)	
2009	42	34	59% (20)	38% (13)			3% (1)	
2010	26	24	38% (9)	42% (10)	4% (1)		17% (4)	
2011	9	4	50% (2)	50% (2)				

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	26	9	44% (4)	56% (5)				
2008	20	19	42% (8)	47% (9)			11% (2)	
2009	37	30	43% (13)	43% (13)		3% (1)	10% (3)	
2010	27	21	38% (8)	24% (5)	29% (6)		10% (2)	
2011	10	4	75% (3)	25% (1)				

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	3	2	67%	27%
2008	15	4	27%	27%
2009	32	4	13%	29%
2010	8	3	38%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Otero County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Otero	57%	56%	27%	71%	50%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Otero	129	200	133	159	149

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	12	32	2	24	4	42
2008	7	9	3	5	3	22
2009	9	10	5	2	2	19
2010	21	38	3	34	1	34
2011	20	28	5	31	2	28

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	1	2	2	1	17	98
2008	1	4	2	5	17	45
2009	1	4	40	5	17	40
2010	2	6	4	14	18	91
2011	5	7	1		22	63

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	81	76	3	17	1	19	4	32
2008	130	46	7	9	2	5	2	21
2009	90	40	5	7	3	5	1	19
2010	104	94	10	24	2	29	0	29
2011	71	69	8	12	4	23	1	21

Quay County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	2	2	4
2008	8	11	19
2009	6	7	13
2010	4	4	8
2011	1	3	4

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Logan Police Department	1	1	1	0	0
Quay County Sheriff's Office	0	1	2	0	0
San Jon Police Department	0	NR	NR	NR	NR
State Police Tucumcari	1	1	1	4	1
Tucumcari Police Department		5	2	4	0
County Total	2	8	6	8	1

NR = San Jon Police Department Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	2	2	1		100% (1)	
2008	8	8	8	25% (2)	38% (3)	38% (3)
2009	6	6	6	17% (1)	33% (2)	50% (3)
2010	4	4	4	25% (1)	25% (1)	50% (2)
2011	1	1	1		100% (1)	

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	2	2	1			100% (1)
2008	8	8	8			100% (8)
2009	6	6	4	25% (1)	50% (2)	25% (1)
2010	4	4	3			100% (3)
2011	1	3	3		67% (2)	33% (1)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	2	1	100% (1)	
2008	8	8	100% (8)	
2009	6	6	100% (6)	
2010	4	4	75% (3)	25% (1)
2011	1	1	100% (1)	

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	2	1		100% (1)
2008	8	8		100% (8)
2009	6	6		100% (6)
2010	4	4		100% (4)
2011	3	3	33% (1)	67% (2)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	2	1	100% (1)					
2008	8	8	75% (6)	25% (2)				
2009	6	6	50% (3)	50% (3)				
2010	4	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				
2011	1	0						

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	2	1	100% (1)					
2008	8	8	38% (3)	50% (4)	13% (1)			
2009	6	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2010	4	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				
2011	3	1		100% (1)				

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	NR	NR		27%
2008	5	3	60%	27%
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	4	4	100%	28%
2011	1	1	100%	28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Quay County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Quay	100%	63%	40%	33%	0%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

CSP = criminal sexual penetration

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Quay	10	1	6	4	4

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	6	0	1	0	3
2008	0	0	0	1	0	0
2009	0	3	1	0	0	2
2010	1	1	0	0	1	1
2011	0	0	0	1	0	1

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	0	0	0	0	10
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	0	0	0	0	2	2
2011	0	0	0	0	0	2

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	5	5	0	2	0	0	0	3
2008	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
2009	4	9	0	1	1	5	0	2
2010	3	3	1	1	0	0	0	1
2011	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1

Rio Arriba County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	16	28	44
2008	17	41	58
2009	26	31	57
2010	20	49	69
2011	11	27	38

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Espanola Police Department	3	1	9	19	8
Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Department	2	3	3	3	1
State Police Espanola	11	13	14	47	2
County Total	16	17	26	69	11

NR = Espanola Police Department Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	16	16	15	7% (1)	20% (3)	73% (11)
2008	17	17	16	6% (1)		94% (15)
2009	26	26	21		29% (6)	71% (15)
2010	20	21	18	11% (2)		89% (16)
2011	11	11	11	27% (3)	27% (3)	45% (5)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	16	9	6			100% (6)
2008	17	17	5			100% (5)
2009	26	22	11			100% (11)
2010	20	21	11			100% (11)
2011	11	11	8		13% (1)	88% (7)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	16	15	87% (13)	13% (2)
2008	17	16	69% (11)	31% (5)
2009	26	21	81% (17)	19% (4)
2010	21	19	95% (18)	5% (1)
2011	11	11	100% (11)	

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	9	7		100% (7)
2008	17	6		100% (6)
2009	22	4	25% (1)	75% (3)
2010	21	12	17% (2)	83% (10)
2011	11	11		100% (11)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	16	15	7% (1)	87% (13)	7% (1)			
2008	17	13	8% (1)	92% (12)				
2009	26	18	22% (4)	72% (13)	6% (1)			
2010	21	16	13% (2)	88% (14)				
2011	11	8		100% (8)				

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	9	7		100% (7)				
2008	17	6	17% (1)	67% (4)	17% (1)			
2009	22	13	8% (1)	77% (10)			15% (2)	
2010	21	11		100% (11)				
2011	11	10		80% (8)			20% (2)	

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	3	2	67%	27%
2008	4	2	50%	27%
2009	3	1	33%	29%
2010	4	2	50%	28%
2011	2	1	50%	28%

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Rio Arriba County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Rio Arriba	NR	10%	16%	45%	43%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Rio Arriba	*	*	*	16	13

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	2	9	0	0	0	2
2011	1	12	0	6	0	2

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	2	9	0	0	0	2
2011	1	9	0	8	0	4

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	2
2011	4	3	0	0	0	3	0	0

*No Services Reported

Roosevelt County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	10	10	20
2008	9	13	22
2009	15	8	23
2010	17	18	35
2011	13	10	23

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Portales Police Department	8	5	12	26	13
Roosevelt County Sheriff's Office	2	4	3	9	0
County Total	10	9	15	35	13

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	10	10	10	20% (2)	40% (4)	40% (4)
2008	9	9	5	40% (2)	40% (2)	20% (1)
2009	15	15	9	22% (2)	44% (4)	33% (3)
2010	17	17	16	25% (4)	6% (1)	69% (11)
2011	13	13	13	31% (4)	54% (7)	15% (2)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	10	10	8		13% (1)	88% (7)
2008	9	9	5	20% (1)		80% (4)
2009	15	9	8		38% (3)	63% (5)
2010	17	17	15	7% (1)	13% (2)	80% (12)
2011	13	13	13		31% (4)	69% (9)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	10	10	90% (9)	10% (1)
2008	9	5	100% (5)	
2009	15	9	100% (9)	
2010	17	16	94% (15)	6% (1)
2011	13	13	85% (11)	15% (2)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	10	10		100% (10)
2008	9	5		100% (5)
2009	9	3		100% (3)
2010	17	15		100% (15)
2011	13	10		100% (10)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	10	9	22% (2)	78% (7)				
2008	9	5	80% (4)	20% (1)				
2009	15	9	67% (6)	33% (3)				
2010	17	16	75% (12)	25% (4)				
2011	13	13	46% (6)	54% (7)				

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	10	9	22% (2)	67% (6)			11% (1)	
2008	9	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2009	9	8	25% (2)	75% (6)				
2010	17	15	67% (10)	33% (5)				
2011	13	13	31% (4)	69% (9)				

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	2	1	50%	27%
2008	2	1	50%	27%
2009	3	2	67%	29%
2010	4	1	25%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Roosevelt County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Roosevelt	60%	60%	40%	80%	70%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Roosevelt	4	*	*	5	4

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	1	1	0	1	0	1
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	0	0	0	1	0	1
2011	0	4	0	3	0	13

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	0	0	0	1	3
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	0	0	0	1	0	1
2011	0	1	0	0	0	21

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	4	4	1	1	0	1	0	1
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
2011	0	15	0	2	0	3	0	10

*No Services Reported

San Juan County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	94	95	189
2008	132	108	240
2009	144	195	339
2010	152	174	326
2011	142	157	299

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Aztec Police Department	6	6	3	11	2
Bloomfield Police Department	9	8	9	29	9
Farmington Police Department	62	81	78	118	53
San Juan County Sheriff's Office	13	35	52	166	76
State Police Farmington	4	2	2	2	2
County Total	94	132	144	326	142

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	94	102	99	27% (27)	37% (37)	35% (35)
2008	132	139	135	30% (41)	30% (41)	39% (53)
2009	144	144	65	25% (16)	38% (25)	37% (24)
2010	152	155	106	31% (33)	37% (39)	32% (34)
2011	142	144	102	28% (29)	29% (30)	42% (43)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	94	96	83	5% (4)	19% (16)	76% (63)
2008	132	137	112	5% (6)	13% (14)	82% (92)
2009	144	146	52	2% (1)	23% (12)	75% (39)
2010	152	138	81	10% (8)	21% (17)	69% (56)
2011	142	144	79	1% (1)	14% (11)	85% (67)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	102	100	80% (80)	20% (20)
2008	139	135	86% (116)	14% (19)
2009	144	65	94% (61)	6% (4)
2010	155	106	85% (90)	15% (16)
2011	144	106	81% (86)	19% (20)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	96	92	2% (2)	98% (90)
2008	137	125	3% (4)	97% (121)
2009	146	68	7% (5)	93% (63)
2010	138	100	3% (3)	97% (97)
2011	144	96	1% (1)	99% (95)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	102	89	51% (45)	16% (14)	29% (26)		3% (3)	1% (1)
2008	139	134	36% (48)	15% (20)	48% (64)		1% (1)	1% (1)
2009	144	62	50% (31)	27% (17)	23% (14)			
2010	155	104	35% (36)	28% (29)	35% (36)	1% (1)	2% (2)	
2011	144	97	35% (34)	26% (25)	39% (38)			

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	96	72	44% (32)	19% (14)	29% (21)		7% (5)	
2008	137	114	37% (42)	23% (26)	39% (44)		2% (2)	
2009	146	62	32% (20)	40% (25)	26% (16)			2% (1)
2010	138	83	36% (30)	29% (24)	35% (29)			
2011	144	80	35% (28)	31% (25)	28% (22)		6% (5)	

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	77	18	23%	27%
2008	118	23	19%	27%
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	24	1	4%	28%
2011	30	5	17%	28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in San Juan County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
San Juan	23%	23%	23%	13%	24%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
San Juan	112	206	211	193	189

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	10	32	2	16	1	39
2008	9	12	4	5	3	28
2009	7	11	3	2	2	26
2010	15	51	2	37	3	77
2011	73	123	15	64	7	98

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	10	20	4	14	1	58
2008	5	12	4	5	14	34
2009	7	12	29	5	8	29
2010	11	41	4	28	5	97
2011	17	50	7	43	38	207

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	79	68	3	21	2	12	0	30
2008	171	50	3	9	4	5	3	26
2009	174	48	5	11	3	3	2	24
2010	164	162	11	41	1	32	3	74
2011	287	272	24	89	12	52	6	89

San Miguel County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	19	33	52
2008	16	29	45
2009	23	31	54
2010	24	50	74
2011	22	36	58

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Las Vegas Police Department	15	8	14	28	12
State Police Las Vegas	4	8	9	46	10
County Total	19	16	23	74	22

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	19	20	15	20% (3)	33% (5)	47% (7)
2008	16	16	14	7% (1)	29% (4)	64% (9)
2009	23	25	20	10% (2)	40% (8)	50% (10)
2010	24	25	20	25% (5)	20% (4)	55% (11)
2011	22	25	23	13% (3)	43% (10)	43% (10)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	19	19	13		23% (3)	77% (10)
2008	16	19	9	22% (2)	22% (2)	56% (5)
2009	23	22	15		20% (3)	80% (12)
2010	24	24	12			100% (12)
2011	22	22	10		20% (2)	80% (8)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	20	15	100% (15)	
2008	16	13	85% (11)	15% (2)
2009	25	21	95% (20)	5% (1)
2010	25	21	86% (18)	14% (3)
2011	25	24	71% (17)	29% (7)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	19	14		100% (14)
2008	19	12		100% (12)
2009	22	21	10% (2)	90% (19)
2010	24	15	7% (1)	93% (14)
2011	22	19	16% (3)	84% (16)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	20	15	7% (1)	93% (14)				
2008	16	12	8% (1)	83% (10)		8% (1)		
2009	25	19	5% (1)	89% (17)	5% (1)			
2010	25	21	19% (4)	76% (16)	5% (1)			
2011	25	23	17% (4)	70% (16)	4% (1)	4% (1)	4% (1)	

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	19	12		92% (11)	8% (1)			
2008	19	12		83% (10)	8% (1)		8% (1)	
2009	22	19		95% (18)			5% (1)	
2010	24	12		100% (12)				
2011	22	12		83% (10)			17% (2)	

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	11	5	45%	27%
2008	7	3	43%	27%
2009	9	3	33%	29%
2010	2	1	50%	28%
2011	1	1	100%	28%

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in San Miguel County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
San Miguel	33%	13%	9%	30%	11%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
San Miguel	32	94	47	70	68

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	2	10	0	5	0	11
2008	6	10	2	5	3	15
2009	5	5	2	1	1	13
2010	3	17	1	10	0	20
2011	6	17	2	9	2	7

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	1	6	1	4	0	18
2008	4	4	1	4	10	30
2009	2	0	18	3	9	18
2010	0	0	0	3	13	50
2011		1		1	13	36

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	13	11	1	0	0	4	0	6
2008	46	29	1	6	0	5	3	14
2009	29	20	3	2	2	2	0	11
2010	37	36	2	8	1	7	0	18
2011	25	24	4	7	2	5	1	5

Sandoval County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	25	45	70
2008	21	39	60
2009	24	13	37
2010	41	2	43
2011	52	6	58

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Bernalillo Police Department	7	9	5	4	2
Corrales Police Department	2	2	1	1	1
Cuba Police Department	0	1	0	0	0
Rio Rancho Department of Public Safety	11	4	15	38	43
Sandoval County Sheriff's Office	5	5	3	NR	6
County Total	25	21	24	43	52

NR = Sandoval County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	25	25	19	26% (5)	37% (7)	37% (7)
2008	21	21	16	31% (5)	44% (7)	25% (4)
2009	24	24	9	33% (3)	22% (2)	44% (4)
2010	41	41	11	18% (2)	64% (7)	18% (2)
2011	52	52	2		50% (1)	50% (1)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	25	28	16		25% (4)	75% (12)
2008	21	21	9		33% (3)	67% (6)
2009	24	24	8	25% (2)	25% (2)	50% (4)
2010	41	41	6		33% (2)	67% (4)
2011	52	52	2			100% (2)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	25	19	100% (19)	
2008	21	16	94% (15)	6% (1)
2009	24	9	89% (8)	11% (1)
2010	41	23	100% (23)	
2011	52	2	100% (2)	

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	28	23		100% (23)
2008	21	15		100% (15)
2009	24	9		100% (9)
2010	41	12		100% (12)
2011	52	2		100% (2)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	25	20	75% (15)	15% (3)	10% (2)			
2008	21	14	14% (2)	71% (10)	14% (2)			
2009	24	9	22% (2)	56% (5)	11% (1)			11% (1)
2010	41	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2011	52	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	28	16	50% (8)	38% (6)	6% (1)		6% (1)	
2008	21	9	33% (3)	67% (6)				
2009	24	8	13% (1)	75% (6)	13% (1)			
2010	41	3	33% (1)	33% (1)	33% (1)			
2011	52	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	3	3	100%	27%
2008	8	6	75%	27%
2009	6	3	50%	29%
2010	2	2	100%	28%
2011	1	1	100%	28%

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Sandoval County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Sandoval	12%	29%	0%	0%	0%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Sandoval	31	20	22	18	17

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	3	12	0	7	1	7
2008	1	5	3	2	0	5
2009	2	3	1	1	1	7
2010	2	2	0	1	0	9
2011	2	1	0	6	0	1

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	3	0	1	4	22
2008	1	1	3	1	1	13
2009	0	0	13	1	4	13
2010	0	0	0	0	4	12
2011	0	0	0	1	2	8

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	23	22	3	7	0	5	1	6
2008	18	11	1	3	0	2	0	5
2009	20	18	2	3	1	5	1	6
2010	11	11	0	1	0	1	0	9
2011	4	4	0	0	0	3	0	1

Santa Fe County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	65	83	148
2008	79	83	162
2009	59	121	180
2010	83	85	168
2011	59	92	151

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Edgewood Police Department	--	--	1	0	NR
Pojoaque Tribal Police Department	0	NR	0	0	0
Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department	23	35	21	32	21
Santa Fe Police Department	31	33	25	86	22
State Police Santa Fe	11	11	12	50	16
County Total	65	79	59	168	59

NR = Pojoaque Tribal Police Department Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	65	68	66	38% (25)	12% (8)	50% (33)
2008	79	97	96	33% (32)	24% (23)	43% (41)
2009	59	60	57	18% (10)	19% (11)	63% (36)
2010	83	84	33	6% (2)	33% (11)	61% (20)
2011	59	59	13	31% (4)	23% (3)	46% (6)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	65	66	43		2% (1)	98% (42)
2008	79	102	64	11% (7)	20% (13)	69% (44)
2009	59	60	38	8% (3)	8% (3)	84% (32)
2010	83	79	21		14% (3)	86% (18)
2011	59	59	8		13% (1)	88% (7)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	68	68	79% (54)	21% (14)
2008	97	96	84% (81)	16% (15)
2009	60	58	88% (51)	12% (7)
2010	84	33	82% (27)	18% (6)
2011	59	14	93% (13)	7% (1)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	66	62	5% (3)	95% (59)
2008	102	97	2% (2)	98% (95)
2009	60	60	7% (4)	93% (56)
2010	79	27	4% (1)	96% (26)
2011	59	9	22% (2)	78% (7)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	68	65	31% (20)	66% (43)		2% (1)	2% (1)	
2008	97	85	71% (60)	28% (24)	1% (1)			
2009	60	55	36% (20)	56% (31)	5% (3)	2% (1)		
2010	84	31	39% (12)	61% (19)				
2011	59	12	17% (2)	75% (9)		8% (1)		

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	66	54	26% (14)	67% (36)	2% (1)	2% (1)	2% (1)	2% (1)
2008	102	77	57% (44)	39% (30)			4% (3)	
2009	60	43	28% (12)	65% (28)	5% (2)		2% (1)	
2010	79	22	14% (3)	77% (17)			9% (2)	
2011	59	7		100% (7)				

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	38	8	21%	27%
2008	38	10	26%	27%
2009	37	11	30%	29%
2010	23	3	13%	28%
2011	11	3	27%	28%

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Santa Fe County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Santa Fe	23%	4%	5%	5%	0%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Santa Fe	149	171	190	322	303

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	15	38	1	31	2	47
2008	7	10	0	5	2	28
2009	8	12	2	4	4	30
2010	25	83	7	47	3	92
2011	27	83	14	56	9	108

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	10	12	2	10	7	108
2008	4	5	0	5	10	41
2009	4	7	45	4	14	45
2010	5	28	4	16	40	210
2011	3	20	0	22	67	270

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	85	77	6	14		24	1	32
2008	125	48	3	8	3	5	2	27
2009	135	49	5	9	1	5	1	28
2010	141	141	8	24	7	36	0	66
2011	199	178	8	31	9	34	5	91

Sierra County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	6	2	8
2008	4	10	14
2009	0	0	0
2010	6	3	9
2011	2	4	6

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Sierra County Sheriff's Office	4	2	0	1	1
Truth or Consequences Police Department	2	2	NR	8	1
County Total	6	4	0	9	2

NR = Truth or Consequences Police Department Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	6	6	2	100% (2)		
2008	4	4	2	50% (1)	50% (1)	
2009	0	0	0			
2010	6	6	0			
2011	2	2	1		100% (1)	

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	6	6	1		100% (1)	
2008	4	4	0			
2009	0	0	0			
2010	6	6	0			
2011	2	2	1			100% (1)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	6	2		100% (2)
2008	4	2	100% (2)	
2009	0	0		
2010	6	0		
2011	2	1	100% (1)	

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	6	2		100% (2)
2008	4	0		
2009	0	0		
2010	6	0		
2011	2	1		100% (1)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	6	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2008	4	0						
2009	0	0						
2010	6	0						
2011	2	1	100% (1)					

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2007	6	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2008	4	0						
2009	0	0						
2010	6	0						
2011	2	1	100% (1)					

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	NR	NR		27%
2008	NR	NR		27%
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Sierra County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Sierra	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Sierra	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

*No Services Reported

Socorro County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	5	7	12
2008	11	22	33
2009	7	14	21
2010	9	25	34
2011	8	25	33

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Socorro County Sheriff's Department	3	1	2	14	NR
Socorro Police Department	0	5	3	11	3
State Police Socorro	2	5	2	9	5
County Total	5	11	7	34	8

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	5	5	2			100% (2)
2008	11	11	11	9% (1)	36% (4)	55% (6)
2009	7	7	5		100% (5)	
2010	9	10	3	33% (1)	33% (1)	33% (1)
2011	8	9	6	17% (1)	67% (4)	17% (1)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	5	6	0			
2008	11	12	10			100% (10)
2009	7	6	4			100% (4)
2010	9	9	3		33% (1)	67% (2)
2011	8	8	4		50% (2)	50% (2)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	5	2	50% (1)	50% (1)
2008	11	11	91% (10)	9% (1)
2009	7	5	100% (5)	
2010	10	3	67% (2)	33% (1)
2011	9	6	100% (6)	

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	6	3		100% (3)
2008	12	12	8% (1)	92% (11)
2009	6	5		100% (5)
2010	9	3		100% (3)
2011	8	4		100% (4)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	5	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2008	11	9	67% (6)	22% (2)	11% (1)			
2009	7	3		100% (3)				
2010	10	0						
2011	9	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	6	1	100% (1)					
2008	12	12	58% (7)	42% (5)				
2009	6	4		100% (4)				
2010	9	1		100% (1)				
2011	8	2		100% (2)				

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	NR	NR		27%
2008	NR	NR		27%
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	1	1	100%	28%
2011	1	1	100%	28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Socorro County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Socorro	NR	29%	17%	50%	NR
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Socorro	1	*	*	40	40

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	1	0	0	0	0
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	5	13	0	6	1	5
2011	1	5	0	6	0	1

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	0	0	1	0	0
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	1	0	0	4	6	29
2011	0	1	0	2	1	11

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	17	17	1	7	0	5	1	3
2011	0	7	0	3	0	3	0	1

*No Services Reported

Taos County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	18	30	48
2008	16	28	44
2009	17	38	55
2010	10	23	33
2011	9	23	32

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Questa Police Department	0	1	1	0	0
Red River Marshal's Office	1	0	0	1	1
State Police Taos	11	3	9	24	6
Taos Police Department	6	12	7	8	2
Taos Pueblo Police Department	0	0	0	0	0
County Total	18	16	17	33	9

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	18	18	17	12% (2)	71% (12)	18% (3)
2008	16	17	17	29% (5)	29% (5)	41% (7)
2009	17	18	18	50% (9)	11% (2)	39% (7)
2010	10	10	6	17% (1)		83% (5)
2011	9	10	9	22% (2)	33% (3)	44% (4)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	18	13	13		15% (2)	85% (11)
2008	16	17	14	7% (1)	21% (3)	71% (10)
2009	17	13	10	10% (1)	30% (3)	60% (6)
2010	10	10	5	20% (1)		80% (4)
2011	9	13	11	36% (4)	9% (1)	55% (6)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	18	17	88% (15)	12% (2)
2008	17	16	75% (12)	25% (4)
2009	18	18	89% (16)	11% (2)
2010	10	8	75% (6)	25% (2)
2011	10	9	78% (7)	22% (2)

CSP = criminal sexual penetration

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	13	13	8% (1)	92% (12)
2008	17	15	7% (1)	93% (14)
2009	13	13		100% (13)
2010	10	7	14% (1)	86% (6)
2011	13	11		100% (11)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	18	16	38% (6)	50% (8)	13% (2)			
2008	17	17	24% (4)	76% (13)				
2009	18	16	44% (7)	50% (8)	6% (1)			
2010	10	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2011	10	9	44% (4)	56% (5)				

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	13	11	18% (2)	73% (8)	9% (1)			
2008	17	14	29% (4)	71% (10)				
2009	13	11	18% (2)	73% (8)	9% (1)			
2010	10	5	80% (4)	20% (1)				
2011	13	11	64% (7)	36% (4)				

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	10	5	50%	27%
2008	4	2	50%	27%
2009	8	3	38%	29%
2010	7	3	43%	28%
2011	2	1	50%	28%

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Taos County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Taos	50%	10%	6%	0%	0%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Taos	37	63	77	103	88

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	1	12	0	11	1	11
2008	4	10	0	5	2	13
2009	4	10	1	0	0	18
2010	7	25	0	17	1	36
2011	2	7	1	2	19	0

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	2	0	7	2	25
2008	4	9	0	3	2	25
2009	3	6	29	4	6	29
2010	7	15	0	5	1	54
2011	1	2	2	4	2	26

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	26	26	1	6	0	8	1	10
2008	39	24	1	7	0	4	2	10
2009	63	30	2	9	0	0	3	16
2010	64	62	6	13	0	14	1	28
2011	28	28	1	2	1	7	2	15

Torrance County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	12	14	26
2008	10	13	23
2009	7	19	26
2010	5	9	14
2011	12	18	30

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Estancia Police Department	0	1	0	0	2
Moriarty Police Department	2	2	2	0	1
State Police Moriarty	3	1	1	6	0
Torrance County Sheriff's Department	7	6	4	8	9
County Total	12	10	7	14	12

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	12	14	14	43% (6)	36% (5)	21% (3)
2008	10	11	11	36% (4)	36% (4)	27% (3)
2009	7	7	7	43% (3)	29% (2)	29% (2)
2010	5	5	4	50% (2)	25% (1)	25% (1)
2011	12	13	13	46% (6)	38% (5)	15% (2)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	12	13	7		29% (2)	71% (5)
2008	10	10	9		33% (3)	67% (6)
2009	7	7	6	17% (1)	17% (1)	67% (4)
2010	5	5	5			100% (5)
2011	12	13	13		38% (5)	62% (8)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	14	14	86% (12)	14% (2)
2008	11	11	73% (8)	27% (3)
2009	7	7	86% (6)	14% (1)
2010	5	2	100% (2)	
2011	13	9	78% (7)	22% (2)

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	13	11	9% (1)	91% (10)
2008	10	10	20% (2)	80% (8)
2009	7	4		100% (4)
2010	5	5		100% (5)
2011	13	9		100% (9)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	14	13	77% (10)	23% (3)				
2008	11	10	50% (5)	40% (4)	10% (1)			
2009	7	6	50% (3)	33% (2)	17% (1)			
2010	5	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2011	13	13	62% (8)	31% (4)			8% (1)	

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	13	11	73% (8)	27% (3)				
2008	10	9	56% (5)	44% (4)				
2009	7	6	67% (4)	33% (2)				
2010	5	5	40% (2)	60% (3)				
2011	13	12	42% (5)	58% (7)				

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	NR	NR		27%
2008	NR	NR		27%
2009	3	2	67%	29%
2010	5	3	60%	28%
2011	4	2	50%	28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Torrance County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Torrance	50%	43%	75%	50%	27%
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Torrance	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

Union County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	2	0	2
2008	4	5	9
2009	1	3	4
2010	NR	NR	NR
2011	1	3	4

NR = Law Enforcement Did Not Report

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Clayton Police Department	2	4	1	NR	1
County Total	2	4	1	NR	1

NR = Clayton Police Department Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	2	2	0			
2008	4	4	4	25% (1)	25% (1)	50% (2)
2009	1	1	0			
2010	NR	NR	NR			
2011	1	1	0			

NR = Law Enforcement Did Not Report

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	2	2	0			
2008	4	4	4		25% (1)	75% (3)
2009	1	1	0			
2010	NR	NR	NR			
2011	1	1	0			

NR = Age of CSP Offender Not Reported

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	NR	NR		
2008	4	4	25% (1)	75% (3)
2009	1	0		
2010	NR	NR		
2011	1	0		

NR = Number CSP Victims and/or Victim Gender Not Reported

CSP = criminal sexual penetration

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	2	0		
2008	4	4	50% (2)	50% (2)
2009	1	0		
2010	NR	NR		
2011	1	0		

NR = Number of CSP Offenders and/or Offender Gender Not Reported

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	2	0						
2008	4	4		100% (4)				
2009	1	0						
2010	NR	NR						
2011	1	0						

NR = Race/Ethnicity Not Reported

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	2	NR						
2008	4	4	75% (3)	25% (1)				
2009	1	0						
2010	NR	NR						
2011	1	0						

NR = Race/Ethnicity Not Reported

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	NR	NR		27%
2008	NR	NR		27%
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Union County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Union	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Union	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2008	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

*No Services Reported

Valencia County Sex Crimes Trends, 2007-2011

A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2007	18	46	64
2008	24	32	56
2009	25	47	72
2010	5	10	15
2011	1	5	6

B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Belen Police Department	3	5	7	6	1
Bosque Farms Police Department	0	0	1	1	0
Los Lunas Police Department	2	8	8	8	NR
Peralta, Village of	--	--	2	0	0
Valencia County Sheriff's Department	13	11	7	NR	NR
County Total	18	24	25	15	1

NR = Valencia County Sheriff's Department Did Not Report

C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2007	18	20	20	35% (7)	40% (8)	25% (5)
2008	24	25	25	40% (10)	40% (10)	20% (5)
2009	25	25	12	25% (3)	25% (3)	50% (6)
2010	5	5	5		20% (1)	80% (4)
2011	1	1	1			100% (1)

D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2007	18	18	16	13% (2)	44% (7)	44% (7)
2008	24	30	26	4% (1)	35% (9)	62% (16)
2009	25	24	9		11% (1)	89% (8)
2010	5	5	2			100% (2)
2011	1	1	1			100% (1)

E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2007	20	17	82% (14)	18% (3)
2008	25	21	95% (20)	5% (1)
2009	25	12	75% (9)	25% (3)
2010	5	5	100% (5)	
2011	1	1	100% (1)	

F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2007	18	12	8% (1)	92% (11)
2008	30	29		100% (29)
2009	24	11		100% (11)
2010	5	5		100% (5)
2011	1	1		100% (1)

G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2007	20	15	33% (5)	60% (9)			7% (1)	
2008	25	24	50% (12)	50% (12)				
2009	25	12	50% (6)	50% (6)				
2010	5	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2011	1	1	100% (1)					

H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2007	18	13	23% (3)	69% (9)			8% (1)	
2008	30	27	44% (12)	52% (14)			4% (1)	
2009	24	8	13% (1)	88% (7)				
2010	5	4		100% (4)				
2011	1	0						

I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2007	1	1	100%	27%
2008	6	5	83%	27%
2009	2	1	50%	29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Valencia County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Valencia	33%	38%	43%	0%	NR
NM	16%	14%	11%	15%	12%

K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2007-2011

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Valencia	1	22	2	1	1

L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	0	0	1	0	0
2008	2	6	0	2	1	5
2009	0	1	0	0	0	0
2010	0	0	0	0	0	1
2011	2	3	1	5	0	5

M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	0	0	0	1	0	0
2008	0	2	0	2	3	13
2009	0	0	1	0	0	1
2010	0	0	0	0	0	1
2011	1	0	0	1	2	13

N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
2008	16	11	1	3	0	2	1	4
2009	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
2010	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
2011	11	11	1	1	0	4	0	5