

# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO XII:**

## **An Analysis of 2013 Data from The New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**



*Developed by Betty Caponera, Ph.D.*

Funded by:

New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission — Violence Against Women Act Grants Office,  
Office of Justice Programs, US Dept. of Justice; State of New Mexico: Human Services Department -  
Behavioral Health Services Division, and the Department of Health — Office of Injury Prevention  
Through the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.

**November 2014**

## **New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

3909 Juan Tabo NE, Suite 6  
Albuquerque, NM 87111

Phone (505) 883-8020

Fax (505) 883-7530

email: nmcsap@swcp.com

### *Letter from the Director...*

In 2013, there were 4,058 sex crimes involving 4,220 survivors that came to the attention of statewide law enforcement agencies. The rate of law-enforcement reported criminal sexual penetration for New Mexico is 0.77 per 1000 persons, which is a 7% decrease from the rate reported in 2012.

While the rate of law enforcement-reported rapes decreased, the number of survivors seeking services has remained constant. In 2013, service providers from rape crisis centers and mental health centers served 1,829 survivors of sexual assault compared to 1,825 served in 2012; and statewide SANE Programs served 1,173 sexual assault-survivor patients compared to 1,172 served in 2012.

In the same period of time, there were 679 new cases of sex crimes filed in statewide district courts. Of 614 disposed cases, 44% were dismissed and 45% obtained a guilty plea or conviction.

The aforementioned information and much more is found in this year's edition of our annual *Sex Crimes...* report. As is customary, we present findings on the 2013 sex crimes data from the Central Repository, including data from statewide law enforcement and service provider agencies, SANE Programs, and district courts. Additionally, tables showing trends on 14 important sex crime variables for each county are provided.

On behalf of myself, the New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission, Violence Against Women Grants Office, and the Department of Health Behavioral Health Services Division, we thank you for your continued efforts to end sexual violence in New Mexico and advocate for the well-being of survivors and their families.

Sincerely,



Betty Caponera, Ph.D.  
Director

# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO XII:**

## **An Analysis of 2013 Data from The New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

*Developed by Betty Caponera, Ph.D.  
For the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.*

“This project was supported by Grant No. 2013-WF-AX-0039 or awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this publication/program/exhibition are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.”

*Funded by:*

New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission — Violence Against Women Act Grants Office, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Dept. of Justice; State of New Mexico: Human Services Department - Behavioral Health Services Division, Through the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.

**November 2014**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to formally acknowledge the host of dedicated professionals who have lent their invaluable expertise to this surveillance initiative.

### **Program Guidance and Funding:**

- Kim Alaburda, Executive Director, New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs
- Connie Monahan, SANE Program Statewide Coordinator
- MaryEllen Garcia, State of New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission, VAWA Program Manager
- Frank Zubia, Director, State of New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission

### **Data Systems and Analysis:**

- Rayo McCullough
- Renee Casio, Administrative Office of the Courts, Information Technology Support Manager
- Leslie Bischoff, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Information Division

### **Report Publication:**

- Kim Alaburda, Distribution, New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs
- Lisa Meyer, Graphics and Design, New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs
- Kathleen Donlin, New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs

*\* A special thanks to the chiefs, sheriffs, marshals, and records department staff of all the participating law enforcement agencies; executive directors and staff of the sexual assault service providers and SANE programs; and all data entry staff of the individual law enforcement agencies and district courts without whom this report would not be possible.*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
➤ LETTER FROM THE DIRECTOR	
➤ ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
➤ FACT SHEET	
➤ EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
➤ SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO REPORT:	
I. INTRODUCTION	1
<b>SECTION ONE: Analysis of 2013 Sex Crimes Data from the Central Repository</b>	
I. ABOUT THE CENTRAL REPOSITORY	1
II. LAW ENFORCEMENT-REPORTED SEX CRIMES	
A. Definitions	2
B. Law Enforcement-Reported Incidence of Sexual Assault	4
C. Characteristics of Criminal Sexual Penetration Cases	5
1. Victim and Offender Gender	5
2. Victim and Offender Age	5
3. Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity	5
4. Victim/Offender Relationship	6
5. Weapon Use and Injury	7
6. Alcohol/Drug Use	8
7. Children Witnesses to Criminal Sexual Penetration	8
8. Suspect Arrests Resulting from Sexual Assault	9
D. Characteristics of Non-Penetration Sex Crimes	9
1. Victim and Offender Gender	9
2. Victim and Offender Age	9
3. Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity	10
4. Weapon Use and Injury	11
5. Alcohol/Drug Use	11
6. Children Witnesses to Non-Penetration Sex Crimes	11
7. Suspect Arrests in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes	11
III. SEXUAL ASSAULT SERVICE PROVIDERS AND NEW CLIENTS SERVED	11
A. Survivor Demographics	
1. Gender of Survivor	12
2. Age of Survivor at Time of Current Sexual Assault	12
3. Age of Survivor at Presentation for Therapy	14
4. Survivor History of Prior Sexual Assault/Abuse	16
5. Race/Ethnicity of Survivor	16
6. Survivor Disability	17

B. Offender Demographics	
1. Gender of the Offender	18
2. Age of Offender	19
3. Offender Race/Ethnicity	19
C. Sexual Offense Characteristics	
1. Type of Sexual Offense	20
2. Survivor/Offender Relationship	21
3. Number of Offenders Involved Per Sexual Assault	25
4. Type of Coercion Used	25
5. Use of Alcohol/Drugs	26
6. Location of Sexual Offenses	27
7. Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault	28
8. Sexually Transmitted Disease and Pregnancy	29
9. Reported Sexual Assault	29
10. Medical Treatment Sought	30
11. Forensic Evidence Collection	31
12. Accessing Services	32
13. Reasons for Seeking Services	33
IV. SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINER (SANE) PROGRAMS	
A. Overview of SANE	35
B. SANE Program Findings	
1. Patient Gender	35
2. Patient Age	35
3. Patient Race/Ethnicity	36
4. Patient Disability	37
5. Offender Gender and Age	37
C. Offense Characteristics	
1. Victim/Offender Relationship	38
2. Number of Offenders	39
3. Type of Coercion	39
4. Location of Sexual Offenses	43
5. Patient Injury	44
D. SANE Programs Service Characteristics	
1. Referral Source	46
2. Evidence Collection	46
3. Assessment Services	47
4. Reports to Law Enforcement	48
5. SANE Referrals to Other Services	48
V. DISTRICT COURTS	
A. New Sexual Assault Charges and Cases Filed in 2013	51
B. Sexual Assault Charges and Cases Disposed in 2013	52
1. Sexual Assault Charges Disposed	52
2. Sexual Assault Cases Disposed	56
<b>SECTION TWO: IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS</b>	<b>58</b>
TABLES	60
APPENDICES	90
<b>SECTION THREE: COUNTY TRENDS TABLES, 2009-2013</b>	<b>118</b>

## FIGURES

<b><u>Figures</u></b>		<b><u>Page</u></b>
1	Percent Law Enforcement-Reported Sex Crimes	4
2	Victim and Offender Age as Reported by Law Enforcement	5
3	Comparison of Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity in Criminal Sexual Penetration Cases as Reported by Law Enforcement to State of New Mexico Racial/Ethnic Composition	6
4	Victim/Offender Relationship in Criminal Sexual Penetration Crimes in Bernalillo County as Reported by Law Enforcement	7
5	Using Party in Rapes that Involve Alcohol/Drug Use	8
6	Age of Children Present at Criminal Sexual Penetration Cases, as Reported by Law Enforcement	9
7	Victim and Offender Age in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County, as Reported by Law Enforcement	10
8	Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County as Reported by Law Enforcement	10
9	Age of Children Present at Law Enforcement-Reported Non-Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County	11
10	Age of Survivor at Time of Most Recent Sexual Assault as Reported by Service Providers	13
11	Age of Survivors at Time of Most Recent Sexual Assault, by Gender, as Reported by Service Providers	13
12	Comparison of Survivors' Ages by Gender in Criminal Sexual Penetration Crimes as Reported by Service Providers	13
13	Comparison of Survivors' Ages by Gender in Non-Criminal Sexual Penetration Sexual Assaults as Reported by Service Providers	14
14	Age of Survivor at Presentation of Therapy	14
15	Age of Survivor at Presentation of Therapy, by Gender	15
16	Time Lapse from Time of Victimization to Time Seeking Therapy Among Sexual Assault Victims, by Gender	15
17	A Comparison of Age at Time of Prior Assault Between Victims of Ongoing Sexual Abuse and Victims Who Experienced an Isolated Prior Event, as Reported by Service Providers	16
18	Survivor Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers and State of New Mexico Racial/Ethnic Composition	16

<b><u>Figures</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>	
19	Female Criminal Sexual Penetration Victims by Age and Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	17
20	Sexual Assault Among Persons with Disability as Reported by Service Providers	17
21	Percent of Child, Adolescent and Adult Rape Victims with a Disability	18
22	Criminal Sexual Penetration Victims with a Disability by Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	18
23	Offender Age as Reported by Service Providers	19
24	Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers Compared to State of New Mexico Racial/Ethnic Composition	19
25	Percent Offenders of Each Race/Ethnicity That Are the Same Race/Ethnicity as Their Sexual Assault Victims	20
26	Type of Sexual Offense as Reported by Service Providers	20
27	Type of Criminal Sexual Penetration as Reported by Service Providers	21
28	Type of Offense, by Victim Gender, as Reported by Service Providers	21
29	Stranger-Perpetrated Sexual Assaults by Survivor Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	22
30	Number of Known "Related" Offenders by Relationship Category, as Reported by Service Providers	23
31	Number of Known "Non-Related" Offenders as Reported by Service Providers	24
32	Type of Coercion Used as Reported by Service Providers	25
33	Type of Coercion Used by Survivor Age as Reported by Service Providers	26
34	Type of Coercion by Survivor Gender as Reported by Service Providers	26
35	Survivor Use of Alcohol/Drugs by Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	27
36	Location of Sexual Offenses as Reported by Service Providers	28
37	Reported Sexual Assaults by Type of Agency Notified, as Reported by Service Providers	29
38	Percent of Sexual Assaults Not Reported, by Race/Ethnicity of Survivor, as Reported by Service Providers	30
39	Medical Treatment Sought by Victim Age as Reported by Service Providers	30
40	Percent Seeking Medical Treatment by Survivor Race/Ethnicity, as Reported by Service Providers	31



<b><u>Figures</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>	
41	Percent Forensic Evidence Collection by Survivor Race/Ethnicity, as Reported by Service Providers	32
42	Forensic Evidence Collection Among Rape Victims, by Age and Gender as Reported by Service Providers	32
43	How Survivors Hear About Available Sexual Assault Services	33
44	Reasons Survivors Seek Services	34
45	Patients Served by SANE Units by Age and Gender	36
46	SANE Programs' Patient Race/Ethnicity Compared to State of New Mexico Racial/Ethnic Composition	36
47	Percent SANE Patients, by Race/Ethnicity and Age	37
48	Percent Offender Relationship Among Child (<13) SANE Patients	38
49	Percent Offender Relationship Among Adolescent and Adult SANE Patients	39
50	Type of Coercion in SANE Sexual Assault Cases	40
51	Type of Coercion Used in SANE Sexual Assault Cases, by Patient Age	41
52	Comparison of Type of Coercion Used by Victim/Offender Relationship on SANE Patients	42
53	Location of Sexual Offenses Among SANE Patients	43
54	Location of Sexual Offenses by Victim Age	44
55	Percent SANE Patients with Each Type of Injury by Age, Among Patients with Injury	45
56	Referrals to SANE Programs	46
57	Evidence Collection by SANE Programs, by Patient Age	47
58	Assessment Services Provided by SANE Programs, by Patient Age	48
59	SANE Patient Referrals to Other Services	49
60	SANE Patient Referrals to Other Services, by Patient Age	50
61	Percent Sexual Assault Charges Filed in District Courts	51
62	Percent Sexual Assault Charges Disposed in District Courts	53
63	Percent Dismissals for Each Type of Disposed Sexual Assault Charge in District Courts	54
64	Percent Convictions for Guilty Pleas for Each Type of Disposed Charge in District Courts	55
65	Percent Acquittals for Each Type of Disposed Sexual Assault Charge in District Court	56
66	Average Length of Jail Sentence for Each Type of Sexual Assault Charge Disposed in District Courts	57

## TABLES

<u>Tables</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Law Enforcement-Reported Sex Crimes by Agency, 2013	60
2. Law Enforcement-Reported Sex Crimes by County, 2013	67
3. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest by Law Enforcement Agency	69
4. Percent Sexual Assault Survivors Served by Participating Agencies, 2013	70
5. Percent Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County, 2013	71
6. Number Sex Crimes Survivors Served by Rape Crises/Mental Health Centers and Number of Sex Crimes Victims Reported to Law Enforcement by County, 2013	72
7. Percent Male Victims Served by Service Provider Agency, 2013	73
8. Percent SANE Patients by SANE Program, 2013	74
9. Percent of Sexual Assault Charges Filed in District Courts in 2013, by County	75
10. Percent Sexual Assault Charges Disposed in District Courts in 2013, by County	76
11. Percent Sexual Assault Charges Dismissed in 2013, by District Court	77
12. Percent Guilty Pleas/Convictions in 2013, by District Court	78
13-42. DISPOSITIONS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT CHARGES IN 2013, BY DISTRICT COURT:	
13. Alamogordo District Court	79
14. Albuquerque District Court	79
15. Aztec/Farmington District Court	80
16. Bernalillo District Court	80
17. Carlsbad District Court	80
18. Carrizozo District Court	81
19. Clayton District Court	81
20. Clovis District court	81

<b><u>Tables</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
21. Deming District court	81
22. Estancia District Court	82
23. Fort Sumner District Court	82
24. Gallup District Court	82
25. Grants District Court	82
26. Las Cruces District Court	83
27. Las Vegas District Court	83
28. Lordsburg District Court	83
29. Los Alamos District Court	83
30. Los Lunas District Court	84
31. Lovington District Court	84
32. Portales District Court	84
33. Raton District Court	84
34. Roswell District Court	85
35. Santa Fe District Court	85
36. Santa Rosa District Court	85
37. Silver City District Court	85
38. Socorro District Court	86
39. T or C District Court	86
40. Taos District Court	86
41. Tierra Amarilla District Court	86
42. Tucumcari District Court	87
43. Number of Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed, Convicted and Acquitted For Each District Court	87
44. Disposed District Court Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed, by District Court	88
45. Disposed District Court Sexual Assault Cases with a Guilty Plea/Conviction	89

## APPENDICES

<u>Appendices</u>	<u>Page</u>
A. Survey of Violence Victimization In New Mexico: Summary of the Findings, 2005	90
B. Sexual Abuse of Children and Youth in New Mexico	96
C. New Mexico Sex Crimes Statutes	98
D. Participating Law Enforcement Agencies	105
E. Law Enforcement Data Collection Form	108
F. Rate of Law Enforcement-Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration Victimization for Counties with Complete Reporting	109
G. Rate and Rank of Law Enforcement-Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration Victimization for Counties with Complete Reporting	110
H. Participating Sexual Assault Service Providers	111
I. Sexual Assault History Form	112
J. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Programs	114
K. SANE Programs Patient Data Collection Form	115
L. Participating District Courts	117

### SECTION THREE: COUNTY TRENDS TABLES, 2009-2013

<u>County</u>	<u>Page</u>
...Bernalillo	118
...Catron	121
...Chaves	124
...Cibola	127
...Colfax	130
...Curry	133
...De Baca	136
...Dona Ana	139
...Eddy	142
...Grant	145
...Guadalupe	148
...Hidalgo	151
...Lea	154
...Lincoln	157
...Los Alamos	160
...Luna	163
...McKinley	166
...Mora	169
...Otero	172
...Quay	175
...Rio Arriba	178
...Roosevelt	181
...San Juan	184
...San Miguel	187
...Sandoval	190
...Santa Fe	193
...Sierra	196
...Socorro	199
...Taos	202
...Torrance	205
...Union	208
...Valencia	211

# FACT SHEET: SEXUAL ASSAULT IN NEW MEXICO

<b>I. Lifetime Prevalence of Sexual Assault:</b>	<b>New Mexicans</b>	<b>U.S Population<sup>1</sup></b>
▶ Completed Rapes and Attempted Rapes	15%	11%
Women	24% 1 in 4	18%
Men	5% 1 in 20	3%
▶ Completed Rapes	13%	9%
Women	21% 1 in 5	15%
Men	4% 1 in 25	2%
▶ Incapacitated Rape (Subset of Completed Rapes)	5.5%	
Women	8.5% 1 in 12	
Men	2.5% 1 in 40	

## **II. Rape and/or Attempted Rape Incidence Previous 12 Months (Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico, 2005)**

		<b>2013 Estimate: Reported and Unreported</b>
▶ Completed Rape and Attempted Rape Victims		
Adult Women (18 and Older)	7 per 1000	5,618
Adult Men (18 and Older)	4 per 1000	3,100
▶ Completed Rape Victims Only		
Adult Women	6 per 1000	4,816
Adult Men	2 per 1000	1,550

## **III. Reported Sexual Assaults, 2013**

▶ Law Enforcement-Reported Sexual Assault Incidents, 2013	4,058
▶ Total Number of Law Enforcement-Reported Sexual Assault Victims, 2013	4,220
▶ Law Enforcement-Reported Rape Incidents, 2013	1,445
▶ Total Number of Law Enforcement-Reported Rape Victims, 2013	1,506
▶ Law Enforcement Reported Non-Penetration Sex Crimes, 2013	2,613
▶ Total Number Law Enforcement-Reported Non-Penetration Victims, 2013	2,714
▶ Service Provider Sexual Assault Victims Served, 2013	1,829
▶ SANE Sexual Assault Patients, 2013	1,173

## **IV. Selected Rape Findings by Data Source**

### **Rape: Victim Gender, 2013**

	<b>Survey</b>	<b>Law Enforcement</b>	<b>Service Providers</b>	<b>SANE</b>
Females	78%	84%	91%	91%
Males	22%	16%	9%	9%

### **Rape: Victim Ages, 2013**

	<b>Adults</b>	<b>Adolescents</b>	<b>Children</b>
Law Enforcement <b>2013</b>	44%	28%	28%
Service Providers <b>2013</b>	57%	23%	20%
Survey Lifetime	33%	23%	44%
SANE <b>2013</b>	64%	15%	21%

**Rape: Victim Race/Ethnicity, 2013**

	White (non-Hispanic)	Hispanic	Native American	Black	Asian	Other	Mixed
Law Enforcement 2013	39%	43%	13%	4%	1%	-	-
Service Providers 2013	35%	43%	13%	3%	1%	1%	5%
SANE 2013	32%	44%	14%	4%	-	-	5%
Survey Lifetime	53%	33%	5%	2%	1%	2%	

**Rape: Offender Gender, 2013**

Gender	Survey Lifetime	Law Enforcement 2013	Service Providers 2013	SANE 2013
Males	85%	93%	98%	97.5%

**Rape: Offender Ages, 2013**

	Adults	Adolescents	Children
Law Enforcement 2013	79%	15%	6%
Service Providers 2013	80%	16%	4%
SANE 2013	87%	9%	3%
Survey Lifetime	78%	20%	<1%

**Rape: Offender Race/Ethnicity, 2013**

	White (non-Hispanic)	Hispanic	Native American	Black	Asian	Mixed
Law Enforcement	32%	43%	13%	4%	1%	-
Service Providers	27%	48%	16%	5%	1	3%

**Rape: Victim/Offender Relationship, 2013**

	Survey	2013	2013	2013
	Lifetime	Law Enforcement	Service Providers	SANE
Stranger	13%	24%	11%	19%
Known Offender	87%	76%	89%	81%
Family	17%	27%	32%	22%
Current or Former Intimate Partner	24%		14%	16%

**Rape: Victim Injury, 2013**

	Survey	2013	2013
	Lifetime	Law Enforcement	SANE
Percent Rape Incidents with Victim Injury	25%	24%	75%

**Rape: Alcohol/Drug Use, 2013**

	Survey Lifetime	Law Enforcement 2013	Service Providers 2013
Percent of Rape Cases Involving Alcohol/Drugs		29%	
Victim	23.5%	-	39%
Offender	45.5%	-	71%

**Rape: Medical Care Sought for Victim Injuries, 2013**

	Percent Rape Victims That Sought Medical Treatment
Survey Lifetime	33%
Service Providers 2013	39%

**Rape: Suspect Arrests, 2013**

	Percent Rapes with a Suspect Arrest
Survey Lifetime	3% for all rapes involving male victims (47% of rapes reported to police) 7% for all rapes involving female victims (37% of rapes reported to police)
Law Enforcement 2013	12%

**Sexual Assault Survivors with a Disability:**

	Percent Sexual Assault Survivors with a Disability
Service Providers 2013	37%
SANE 2013	24%

**► Percent Sexual Assault Victims with a Prior Sexual Assault:**

Service Providers, 2013: 57%

**V. Selected Survey Findings on Lifetime Rape in New Mexico**

► Percent Rapes Reported to Police	17%
► Percent Rape Victims Filing Criminal Charges	6%
► Percent Rape Victims Obtaining a Restraining Order	10% (3% males; 11% females)
► Percent Rape Offenders Violating a Restraining Order	49% (52% males; 49% females)

**VI. Selected Sexual Assault Findings, 2013****► District Courts**

New Sexual Assault Charges Filed, 2013	3,035
New Sexual Assault Cases Filed, 2013	679
Disposed Sexual Assault Charges in 2013	2,589
Disposed Sexual Assault Cases in 2013	614

**► Case Disposition Outcomes in 2013:**

Disposed Sexual Assault Cases in 2013		614
Sexual Assault Cases with a Guilty Plea/Conviction	45%	278
Sexual Assault Cases Acquitted	4%	22
Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed	44%	268

<sup>1</sup>Prevalence, Incidence and Consequences of Violence Against Women: Findings from the National Violence Against Women Survey, by Patricia Tjaden and Nancy Toennes, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice, November 1998.



# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## I. INTRODUCTION

In 2005, the Department of Health, Office of Injury Prevention obtained funds to conduct a statewide violence victimization survey. The purpose of the survey was to obtain state estimates of the prevalence and nature of victimization among adults in New Mexico.

Preliminary findings from the *Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico* (SVV) were published in the report *Sex Crimes In New Mexico V*, January 2007. A summary of these findings is found in Appendix A of the *Sex Crimes in New Mexico XII*, November 2014 full report. It offers statewide rates of the incidence and prevalence of rape and attempted rape, together with a discussion of the findings on the experience of rape among males and females.

Similarly, further findings from the SVV on the prevalence and nature of rape victimizations among children and adolescents in New Mexico were published in the *Sex Crimes In New Mexico VI*, October 2007 report. A summary of these findings with comparisons to 2013 statewide data is found in Appendix B of *Sex Crimes in New Mexico XII*, November 2014 full report. Additionally, selected findings from the SVV can be found in the *Fact Sheet* of the full report, as well.

This report summary includes an analysis of 2013 sex crimes data from the New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository, which includes findings from statewide law enforcement, service providers, Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner [SANE] units, and district courts.

## II. SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS

### A. **In 2013, Fewer Sexual Assaults In New Mexico Came To The Attention Of Law Enforcement, and Slightly Fewer Victims Sought Services Than In 2012; The Number Of Adult Rapes Reported To Law Enforcement Represent 8% Of The Estimated Adult Rapes In The State**

There were 99 law enforcement agencies that submitted sexual assault data to the Central Repository during 2013. Presently, these agencies represent 92% of the New Mexico population. There were 4,058 sex crimes reported by participating law enforcement agencies. During the same calendar year, service providers from rape crisis centers and mental health centers served 1,829 victims of sexual assault (as reported on the standardized *Sexual Assault History Form*). SANE Programs served 1,173 sexual assault victims/patients.

In 2013, law enforcement responded to 1,445 criminal sexual penetration crimes and 2,613 non-penetration crimes including criminal sexual contract, criminal sexual contact of a minor, child enticement, sexual exploitation of children, and indecent exposure. There was no measurable change in the number of non-penetration sex crimes reported in 2012 (2,611). The number of criminal sexual penetration crimes decreased 8% from the 1,565 reported in 2012. The rate of rapes reported to statewide law enforcement agencies in 2013 is 0.77 per 1,000, which is a 6% decrease from the 0.83 per 1,000 in 2012.

The Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico was conducted in 2005 to obtain a baseline rate of all rape: reported and unreported. The SVV found that the rate of reported and unreported rape in New Mexico was 6 per 1,000 adult females and 2 per 1000 adult males in the previous 12 month period. This rate applied to the 2013 adult population (1,577,747: 775,116 males; 802,631 females) would mean that a closer estimate of adult completed rapes in New Mexico for 2013 is 6,366: 1,550 males and

4,816 females. There were 1,506 rape victims. The age was documented in 1,131. Of these 499 were adults (ages 18 and older). This means that the number of adult rapes that came to the attention of law enforcement (499) represents approximately 7.8% of the estimated rapes that occurred in 2013.

## **B. More Sexually Abused Males Than Females Are Abused As Children**

In 2013, children (<13 years) comprised almost one-third (31%) of the sexual assault victims assisted by service providers, 28% of rape victims that came to the attention of statewide law enforcement agencies, and 21% of those patients served at statewide SANE units.

Service provider records in 2013 demonstrate that when examined by gender, twice as many males (59%) as females (28%) were children at the time of their sexual assault. There is significant disparity between the rates of victimized male children and female children in criminal sexual penetration and non-penetration sex crimes. In 2013, of the males that were raped, 46% were victimized as children, compared to 17% of females. Similarly, of the males that were victims of non-penetration crimes, 57% were victimized as children compared to 41% of females that were victimized as children.

## **C. More Females Seek Therapeutic Services Sooner Than Males**

An examination of service provider data in 2013 demonstrates that more females (58%) than males (43%) obtained therapeutic services in the year of the victimization. Further, after a delay of one year, 33% of males and 20% of females were more likely to wait over 20 years to seek services. The average delay for males was 13.4 years compared to 6.5 years for females.

## **D. Rape Is A Crime Of Opportunity**

### **1. Offenders are older than their victims**

It is clear that rape is a crime of opportunity and that opportunity presents itself most often among the vulnerable. In 2012, while 56% of rape victims in law enforcement cases were children and adolescents (<18), greater than three-quarters (81%) of offenders were adults (>18). To emphasize this point, in 2013 service providers reported that 57% of their clients experienced a sexual victimization prior to the one for which they presented for services. Half (54%) of the victims that experienced a prior sexual assault were victims of on-going abuse, 85% of which occurred by age 12. Likewise, 52% were victims of a prior isolated sexual assault, and 54% of these occurred by age 12.

### **2. Offenders take advantage of those with disabilities**

One-third (37%) of the victims of sexual assault that sought services in 2013 had a mental and/or physical disability before the victimization. More adult (46%) victims had a disability than adolescent (30%) and child victims (22%). Service Providers served significantly more White (non-Hispanic) victims with a disability (47%), than Hispanic victims (39%), victims of mixed race (39%), and Native American victims (19%).

### **3. Offenders are overwhelmingly male**

Overwhelmingly, offenders of sexual offenses are males. In 2013, the offender in 93% of law enforcement rape cases, 98% of service provider rape cases, and 97.5% of SANE cases were male. Additionally, data from the SVV found that 85% of reported and unreported rapes in New Mexico had a male offender.

**E. Most Survivors Are Sexually Assaulted By Someone Of The Same Race**

In 2013, service providers reported that in 82% of their cases, the offender was the same race/ethnicity as the client. When examined by race/ethnic group, more Native American (88%) and White (non-Hispanic) and Hispanic victims (84%, respectively) were victimized by someone of their own race, than Black victims (29%), and victims of mixed race/ethnicity (46%).

**F. Sexual Assault And The Probability of Domestic Violence**

In 2013, 47% of survivors and three-quarters (70%) of offenders had a history of domestic violence.

**G. Survivors Of Rape Are More Likely To Seek Therapeutic Services Than Survivors Of Non-Penetration Sex Crimes**

It is evident that sexual assault victims that are raped are more likely to seek therapeutic services than victims of other (non-penetration) sex crimes. Of those that sought therapeutic services in 2013, 71% were rape victims. Another 21% of victims seeking services were victims of criminal sexual contact. An examination of 2013 service provider data found that more females (72.5%) than males (57%) that presented for services were victims of rape.

**H. Most Survivors Who Seek Services Are Victims Of Incest**

Overwhelmingly, victims that seek services are incest victims. In two-thirds (64%) of service provider cases that identified the nature of the rape, the survivors were victims of incest. This is not surprising given the earlier discussion on the rate of clients that had experienced a prior victimization before age 12, the rate victimized by someone of the same race/ethnicity, and the rate victimized by a family member.

**I. Most Sexual Assault Survivors Know Their Offenders**

In law enforcement-reported rapes in 2013, the offender was known to the victim in 76% of the rapes perpetrated. Of the known offenders, 27% were family members. Similarly, of the victims that sought therapeutic services, 90% of the victims of rape were victimized by someone known to them, 32% of which were family members.

**J. Rates for Stranger-Perpetrated Sexual Assaults Varied More by Race/Ethnicity Than by Gender of the Survivor**

When stranger-perpetrated sexual offenses were examined by gender, 11% of the cases with female survivors were perpetrated by a stranger compared to 7% of cases with a male survivor. When stranger-perpetrated sexual offenses were examined by race/ethnicity, Black survivors (19%) and Native American survivors (18%) comprised more of the stranger-perpetrated sexual offenses than survivors of all other races/ethnicities: White (non-Hispanic) survivors (11%), Hispanic survivors (9%) and survivors of mixed race (4%).

**K. Alcohol/Drug Use Is Greater Among Female Victims And Increases Vulnerability To Stranger-Rape, Multiple-Offender Victimizations, and the Contraction of STDs**

In 2013, law enforcement reported that approximately 29% of the rape cases involved the use of alcohol or drugs. Similarly, service providers reported that 38% of their rape cases involved alcohol or

drug use. When alcohol/drug use was examined by gender, significantly more (39%) female survivors of rape than male (23%) survivors of rape that sought services used alcohol or drugs at the time of the sexual assault. When examined by survivor age for all types of sexual assault, 51% of adult survivors, 29% of adolescent survivors, and 1% of child survivors used alcohol or drugs during the reported sexual assault.

An examination of service provider data in 2013 demonstrates that alcohol/drug use increases ones vulnerability to being raped by a stranger. Of those clients that sought services, two (2.3) times as many survivors that used alcohol or drugs (21%) were victimized by a stranger compared to survivors that did not use alcohol or drugs (9%).

Survivors using alcohol/drugs (19%) were more likely for their assault to involve multiple offenders as survivors not using alcohol/drugs (10%). Furthermore, survivors using alcohol/drugs (9%) than those not using (2%), were four times more likely to contract a sexually transmitted disease.

#### **L. Types of Coercion Used In Sexual Assaults, Such As Physical Force, Verbal Threat, Weapons, Manipulation, And Intentional Drugging Differ By Victim Age**

Service providers document the type of coercion that was involved in the sexual offenses experienced by their clients. “Physical force” (41%) was the type of coercion reported most in 2013, followed by manipulation (22%) and verbal threat (17%). Weapons were involved in 5% of sexual assault cases. Similarly, physical force (56%) was involved in most SANE cases, followed by physical intimidation and alcohol/drugs (29%, respectively), and authority over the victim (26%). Additionally, weapons were involved in a greater proportion of SANE cases (8%).

When examined by age, service providers reported that adults and adolescents experienced more physical force (60% and 39%, respectively) and intentional drugging (9% and 5%, respectively) than children. While 23% of children were victims of physical force, they were most coerced by manipulation (30%), very frequently coerced by verbal threat (13%) and rarely coerced by intentional drugging (1%).

Similarly, most adult (70%) and adolescent SANE patients (55%) experienced physical force, while most children were coerced by someone in authority (84%).

#### **M. SANE Programs Best Capture Survivor Injuries**

In 2013, law enforcement reported that 24% of rapes involved an injury. The SVV found that 27% of female rape victims and 16% of male rape victims reported being injured. In 2013, statewide SANE programs reported that 75% of their patients incurred injury from their assaults. When examined by gender, three quarters (77%) of females and half (51%) of males were injured during their sexual assault.

By far, more SANE patients of all ages experienced vaginal injuries, with a greater proportion of adolescents (ages 13-17) experiencing vaginal injury (64%), than children (ages <13), 61%, or adults (ages 18 and older), 43%. Rectal injuries were experienced more by children (21%) than adolescents (6%) or adults (12%). Strangulation was experienced more by adults (17%) than adolescents (8%) or children (0%).

#### **N. The Rate Of Seeking Medical Treatment Differs Significantly By Survivor Gender, Age, And Race**

In 2013, service providers reported that 34% of their clients sought medical treatment as a result of their sexual assault. Significantly more female survivors (37%) than male survivors (15%) sought

medical treatment. Similarly, more child survivors (18%), (<6 years old) sought medical treatment compared to children 6-12 years old (11%). More adults (42%) than adolescents (30%) sought medical treatment.

Of survivors who sought therapeutic services, significantly more Black survivors (52%) than survivors from all other races sought medical treatment: Native American survivors (45%), survivors of mixed race/ethnicity (34%), White (non-Hispanic) survivors and Hispanic survivors (31%, respectively).

**O. The Rate Of Obtaining Forensic Evidence Differs Significantly By Survivor Gender, Age, And Race**

One-quarter (26%) of survivors seeking therapeutic services in 2013 had forensic evidence collected. Significantly more female survivors (20%) than male survivors (13%) had forensic evidence collected.

Among rape victims, more female adult rape survivors (45%) and more male adolescent rape survivors (30%) obtained forensic evidence collection than female and male survivors of other age groups.

In 2013, Native American survivors (56%) were significantly more likely to obtain forensic evidence collection than Black survivors (31%), Hispanic survivors (22%), White (non-Hispanic) survivors (21%), and survivors of mixed race (17%).

**P. Reporting Sexual Assault Differs Significantly By Gender And Race**

In 2013, approximately one-fifth (21%) of survivors seeking therapeutic services did not report their victimization to anyone. Of those that did report their victimization, 30% reported to a rape crisis center, 33% reported to law enforcement, and 24% to an emergency department or SANE unit. Another 7% of survivors reported to social service agencies. When reporting was examined by gender, the SVV found that three times more females (19%) than males (6%) reported their victimization to law enforcement.

When examined by race/ethnicity, only 11% of Native American survivors did not report their victimization to law enforcement compared to 27% of White (non-Hispanic) survivors, 19% of Black survivors, 24% of survivors of mixed race/ethnicity, and 15% of Hispanic survivors.

**Q. The Rate Of Suspect Arrests In Sexual Assault Cases Has Decreased Slightly Since 2012 and Differ Significantly by Victim Gender**

In 2013, law enforcement reported that 12% of rape cases had a suspect arrest. This represents a 3% decrease over that reported in 2012. When examined by gender, the SVV found that 47% of reported female rapes and 3% of reported male rapes had a suspect arrest.

**R. Sexual Assault Survivors Get Help When Encouraged By Others And To Address Mental Health Concerns And PTSD Symptoms**

There were 1,326 sexual assault survivors that offered reasons why they decided to seek help. Of all the reasons for seeking assistance, most survivors (69%) did so for mental health problems/concerns or symptoms from the assault, such as nightmares or because they were encouraged to get help by others (55%).

## **S. Too Many Sexual Assault Charges Are Dismissed in District Courts**

Of 2,589 sexual assault charges disposed in 2013, 71% (2,342) were dismissed. “Criminal sexual penetration-incest” was the charge with the greatest proportion of dismissals (94%), followed by sexual exploitation of children (76%) and criminal penetration of adults (72%). Additionally, 69% respectively, of criminal sexual penetration of a minor and criminal sexual penetration of a child were dismissed. Over half (59%) of the criminal sexual contact of a minor charges and criminal sexual contact charges (56%) were dismissed. Of all disposed charges, 26% obtained a guilty plea or conviction, 3% were acquitted, and 4% were charges with other dispositions that resulted from prosecution proceedings (conditional discharges, remands, and consent decrees).

Each sexual assault case may have many charges of which some may be dismissed, some convicted, and some acquitted. An examination of sexual assault dispositions on a case level provides a clearer picture of the case outcomes: 1) where at least one charge obtained a conviction, 2) where all charges were acquitted, and 3) where all charges were dismissed. The 2,589 disposed sexual assault charges comprised 614 cases. Of these, 45% obtained a guilty plea or conviction, 4% obtained an acquittal, 7% had prosecution proceedings that resulted in “other” dispositions, and 44% were dismissed.

## **III. SECTION TWO: IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS**

Findings from the SVV on the rate of rape in the previous 12 months would estimate the number of reported and unreported rapes among adults in New Mexico in 2013 to be 6,366. This is thirteen (12.7) times the number of adult rapes actually reported to law enforcement in the same year, 499. This suggests greater outreach is needed to identify rape victims and refer them to appropriate services.

The rape of children and adolescents in New Mexico must be a primary focus of sexual assault prevention, identification, investigation, and prosecution efforts. Findings from the SVV, law enforcement, service providers, and SANE Programs demonstrate that victims of sex crimes are overwhelmingly female; and a significant proportion of males and females are victimized by age 12. When one considers that parents and step-parents, and other family members are responsible for much of this abuse of males and females, it is imperative that parents, guardians, and extended family be targeted for prevention education and outreach to compliment the training of other professionals (teachers, clergy, law enforcement, prosecutors, and judges) who must respond to a suspected sexual assault of a child or a child’s disclosure.

The negative effects of sexual violation during childhood cannot be overstated. To be sure, sexual assault during childhood is a precursor to experiencing a sexual assault in the future. Half (57%) of all those who sought assistance for a sexual assault in the year 2013, had experienced a prior sexual assault. Further, while sexual abuse prevention instruction is recommended for students throughout elementary school, when developmentally appropriate, a necessary component of such education must address the reality that children who are sexually abused are at greater risk of becoming pregnant as a teen, than children who are not sexually abused. Education on self-esteem, self-respect, components for healthy relationships, and normal sexual developmental must be addressed to reduce the likelihood of early pregnancy among sexually violated children.

Ten percent of sexual assault survivors who were offended by a stranger, sought therapeutic services compared to 19% of stranger-perpetrated rape survivors that reported to SANE programs, and 24% of stranger-perpetrated rape survivors who reported to law enforcement. These findings suggest that sexual assault victims who are victimized by a stranger are more likely to report to law enforcement and seek medical services and forensic documentation of their victimizations; and that victims who are

victimized by a relative are less likely to seek medical services and forensic documentation regarding their victimization. By extension, this means that successful prosecution of sexual assaults perpetrated by family members is less likely, and victims of these sex crimes are less likely to access needed services and protections. Since family members comprise a significant number of all perpetrators of reported sexual assaults in our state, it is imperative to provide education and outreach in schools and communities to inform those at risk about how and where to disclose and services available to them.

Sexual victimizations of adolescents and adults more often involved a gun, knife, and intentional drugging than child victimizations. Adolescents and young adults are vulnerable to date rape and rape by new and/or social acquaintances. Further, alcohol and/or drug use is associated with a greater vulnerability to stranger rape, multiple-offender rape, and the contraction of a sexually transmitted disease. These findings have implications for personal safety instruction and alcohol and drug prevention education programs for high school students.

In 2013, over one-third (37%) of victims who sought assistance for a sexual assault had some type of disability before the assault. Most of these victims (71%) were mentally/emotionally disabled. This speaks to the need for education programs to promote greater awareness among families and communities regarding the vulnerability of their residents with disabilities to being sexually assaulted; and the need for sexuality education and personal safety for individuals with disabilities.

Only one-third (33%) of sex crimes that came to the attention of service providers were reported to law enforcement. Additionally, only 16% of adult victims, 15% of adolescent victims, and 9% of child victims in the SVV reported their victimizations to law enforcement. Further, the SVV found that females report to law enforcement (19%) three times the rate of males (6%). There are several implications: 1) training for healthcare providers to effectively respond to patient disclosures of sexual assault; 2) training of law enforcement officers to respond with sensitivity to the needs of sexual assault victims and initiate advocacy for the victim; and 3) accessible legal advocacy to assist victims through the legal process.

Survivors with a history of domestic violence were five times more likely to be sexually assaulted by someone with a history of domestic violence than survivors who were not exposed to domestic violence in their past. Experiencing domestic violence as a child increases one's vulnerability to abuse and sexual assault as an adult. This finding implies that greater efforts should be made by those in law enforcement and in collaboration with those in the helping professions to identify children from violent homes and provide appropriate counseling services.

Law enforcement reported that 24% of criminal sexual penetration cases and 28% of non-penetration sex crimes involved injury to the victim. Conversely, SANE practitioners found that 75% of their sexual assault patients incurred one or more injuries during their assault. The reasons for the great disparity in injury reporting between law enforcement and SANE practitioners can be explained in part, by the fact that SANE practitioners are specifically trained to identify and document sexual assault injuries; and beyond observable injuries to the head/neck or extremities of the victim, law enforcement officers are not likely to detect injury. Secondly, sexual assault victims who believe they are injured may be more likely to seek SANE services than sexual assault victims who do not believe they are injured. Therefore, SANE Programs would naturally have a higher rate of victims who experienced injury. All this said, there may be an implication for officer training regarding victim injury in sexual assaults and a more accurate way to report injury on law enforcement offense incident reports.

Most survivors of sexual assault seek treatment within the first year of the assault. However, many survivors delay seeking treatment for many years (the average delay for females and males is 13.4 years and 6.5 years, respectively). Most survivors sought treatment because they had mental health

problems (69%), or because they were encouraged to do so by others (55%). These findings have implications for greater outreach, community training, and the training of professionals to understand the prevalence of mental health concerns among sexual assault survivors, and the power and importance of seizing all opportunities to encourage survivors to get help.

Almost two-thirds (67%) of sexual assault charges disposed in statewide district courts were dismissed in 2013 which resulted in the dismissal of 44% of sexual assault cases; and these percentages do not include cases bound over/transferred, conditional discharges, remands, or other dispositions that resulted from some prosecution actions. As 72% - 94% of the dismissed charges include serious sexual assault (criminal sexual penetration-incest, 94%; criminal sexual penetration of adults, 72%), and/or sexual assault against minors and children (criminal sexual penetration of a minor, 67%, and criminal sexual penetration of a child, 67%), greater oversight is warranted to: 1) identify the reasons for the dismissals of these charges (especially those perpetrated against children) at the prosecution and judicial levels; and 2) implement steps necessary to address identified problem areas.



# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO XII:**

## **An Analysis of 2013 Data from The New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

*Developed by Betty Caponera, Ph.D.  
For the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.*

“This project was supported by Grant No. 2013-WF-AX-0039 or awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this publication/program/exhibition are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.”

*Funded by:*

New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission — Violence Against Women Act Grants Office, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Dept. of Justice; State of New Mexico: Human Services Department - Behavioral Health Services Division, Through the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.

**November 2014**

## I. INTRODUCTION

In 2005, the Department of Health, Office of Injury Prevention obtained funds to conduct a statewide violence victimization survey. The survey was conducted by Schulman, Ronca and Bucuvalas, Inc. (SRBI), a national research organization with over 25 years of experience conducting national and statewide surveys on health and trauma issues.

The purpose of the survey was to obtain state estimates of the prevalence and nature of victimization among adults in New Mexico. The sample for the *Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico* (SVV) was drawn from a statewide sample of telephone households developed by random digit dialing (RDD). A statewide random sample of 4,000 adults aged 18 and older: 2000 males and 2000 females were interviewed. Interviewing for the survey was conducted between December 6, 2005 and January 22, 2006. Comprehensive information regarding the survey methods used (sample construction, instrument design, programming, testing, interviewer selection, training, monitoring, conducting the interviews, response rates, field outcomes, data preparation and processing) is found in the *Survey Methods Report* available upon request from the Central Repository.

Preliminary findings from the SVV were published in the report, *Sex Crimes In New Mexico V*, January 2007. A summary of these findings is found in **Appendix A**. It offers statewide rates of the incidence and prevalence of rape and attempted rape, together with a discussion of the findings on the experience of rape among males and females.

Similarly, further findings from the SVV on the prevalence and nature of rape victimizations among children and adolescents in New Mexico were published in the *Sex Crimes In New Mexico VI*, October 2007 report. A summary of these findings with comparisons to 2013 statewide data is found in **Appendix B**. Additionally, selected findings from the SVV can be found in the *Fact Sheet* of this report, as well.

Findings from statewide sexual assault data from the New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository are presented in *Section One*, which include data from law enforcement, service providers, statewide Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) units, and the analysis of sexual assault charges, dispositions, and sentencing from statewide district court data obtained from the Administrative Office of the Courts. *Section Two* presents a discussion of the implications of the findings; and *Section Three* offers county tables that present important trends information specific to each county.

## SECTION ONE: ANALYSIS OF 2013 SEX CRIMES DATA FROM THE CENTRAL REPOSITORY

### I. ABOUT THE CENTRAL REPOSITORY

The Central Repository is supported by the State of New Mexico Department of Health, Office of Injury Prevention and Behavioral Health Services Division and the Violence Against Women Act. It was established in 1998 to house data submitted from a variety of agencies statewide (law enforcement, district and magistrate courts, and domestic violence service providers) that deal with the issue of domestic violence. In 2001, the Central Repository began capturing statewide sexual assault data, as well. To this end, sexual assault data from law enforcement agencies and the courts, as well as data from rape crisis centers, mental health centers, and SANE Programs that provide services for sexual assault victims, are submitted to the Central Repository.

Currently, standardized data from law enforcement are submitted to the Central repository on a quarterly basis, and data from service provider agencies and SANE programs are submitted monthly. The data analyzed for this report covers sexual assault law enforcement, service provider, SANE, and district court data for the period 1/1/13 – 12/31/13.

## **II. LAW ENFORCEMENT REPORTED SEX CRIMES**

### **A. DEFINITIONS**

Sexual assault incidents captured in New Mexico include the following statutes regarding sexual offenses. These statutes are presented in brief. Full definitions are found in **Appendix C**.

#### **30-9-11 Criminal sexual penetration**

A. Criminal sexual penetration is the unlawful and intentional causing of a person to engage in sexual intercourse, cunnilingus, fellatio or anal intercourse or the causing of penetration, to any extent and with any object, of the genital or anal openings of another, whether or not there is any emission.

#### **30-9-12 Criminal sexual contact**

A. Criminal sexual contact is the unlawful and intentional touching of or application of force, without consent, to the unclothed intimate parts of another who has reached his eighteenth birthday, or intentionally causing another who has reached his eighteenth birthday to touch one's intimate parts.

#### **30-9-13 Criminal sexual contact of a minor**

A. Criminal sexual contact of a minor is the unlawful and intentional touching or applying force to the intimate parts of a minor or the unlawful and intentional causing of a minor to touch one's intimate parts. For the purposes of this section, "intimate parts" means the primary genital area, groin, buttocks, anus or breast.

#### **30-9-14 Indecent exposure**

A. Indecent exposure consists of a person knowingly and intentionally exposing his primary genital area to public view. As used in this section, "primary genital area" means the mons pubis, penis, testicles, mons veneris, vulva or vagina.

#### **30-10-3 Incest**

A. Incest consists of knowingly intermarrying or having sexual intercourse with persons within the following degrees of consanguinity: parents and children including grandparents and grandchildren of every degree, brothers and sisters of the half as well as of the whole blood, uncles and nieces, aunts and nephews.

#### **30-9-1 Enticement of child**

Enticement of child consists of:

- A. Enticing, persuading or attempting to persuade a child under the age of sixteen years to enter any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 (30-9-1 to 30-9-9 NMSA 1978) of the Criminal Code; or
- B. Having possession of a child under the age of sixteen years in any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 of the Criminal Code.

### **30-6A-2 Sexual exploitation of children**

- A. and B. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally *possess* or *distribute* any visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such act and if that person knows or has reason to know that one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age.
- C. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally cause or permit a child under eighteen years of age to engage in any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows, has reason to know or intends that the act may be recorded in any obscene visual or print medium or performed publicly.
- D. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally manufacture any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age.

### **30-4-1 Kidnapping**

- A. Kidnapping is the unlawful taking, restraining, transporting or confining of a person, by force, intimidation or deception, with intent: 1) that the victim be held for ransom; 2) that the victim be held as a hostage or shield and confined against his will; 3) that the victim be held to service against the victim's will; or 4) to inflict death, physical injury or a sexual offense on the victim.

### **30-52-1 Human trafficking**

- A. Human trafficking consists of a person knowingly: 1) recruiting, soliciting, enticing, transporting or obtaining by any means another person with the intent or knowledge that force, fraud or coercion will be used to subject the person to labor, services or commercial sexual activity; 2) recruiting, soliciting, enticing, transporting or obtaining by any means a person under the age of eighteen years with the intent or knowledge that the person will be caused to engage in commercial sexual activity; or 3) benefiting, financially or by receiving anything of value, from the labor, services or commercial sexual activity of another person with the knowledge that force, fraud or coercion was used to obtain the labor, services or commercial sexual activity.

### **30-37-3.2 Child solicitation by electronic communication device**


- A. Child solicitation by electronic communication device consists of a person knowingly and intentionally soliciting a child under sixteen years of age, by means of an electronic communication device, to engage in sexual intercourse, sexual contact or in a sexual or obscene performance, or to engage in any other sexual conduct when the perpetrator is at least three years older than the child.

## B. LAW ENFORCEMENT-REPORTED INCIDENCE OF SEXUAL ASSAULT

There were 99 law enforcement agencies that submitted sexual assault data to the Central Repository during 2013 (see **Appendix D**). Presently, these agencies represent 92% of the New Mexico population. Data from each participating agency was extracted from police offense incident reports and submitted in aggregate form on the standardized *Law Enforcement Sexual Violence Data Collection Form* (see **Appendix E**).

### 1. All Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes

In 2013, there were 4,058 sex crimes reported by participating law enforcement agencies, a 3% decrease over that reported in 2012 (4,176). For a list of sex crime reports by law enforcement agency, see **Table 1**. For a list of sex crime reports by county, see **Table 2**. Of the reported sex crimes, 36% (1,445) were cases of criminal sexual penetration, 23% (931) criminal sexual contact of a minor, 9% (384) criminal sexual contact, 7% (293) sexual exploitation of children, 5% (185) child enticement, 2% (73) indecent exposure, 18% (722) kidnapping, <1% respectively, of solicitation by electronic device (17) and prostitution (8). See **Figure 1**.



### 2. Law Enforcement-Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration (Rape) Incidents

The number of criminal sexual penetration (rape) cases per county that were reported to law enforcement in 2013 is shown in **Appendix F**. The rate of law enforcement-reported criminal sexual penetration (LER-CSP) incidents in New Mexico was calculated based on counties with complete reporting (those counties with the law enforcement agency from the largest city(s) reporting). The rate of law enforcement-reported criminal sexual penetration for New Mexico is 0.77 per 1000 persons, which is less than the 0.83 per 1000 rate reported in 2012. A ranking of law enforcement-reported criminal sexual penetration rates for counties with complete reporting is found in **Appendix G**.

## C. CHARACTERISTICS OF CRIMINAL SEXUAL PENETRATION CASES


### 1. Victim and Offender Gender

There were 1,506 criminal sexual penetration victims identified from the 1,445 law enforcement sexual assault reports. Victim gender was documented in 1,107 cases. Of these, 930 (84%) were female victims, and 177 (16%) male victims.

Of the 1,445 cases of criminal sexual penetration, 1,549 offenders were identified. Offender gender was documented in 1,061 reports. Of these, 93% (982) had a male offender.

### 2. Victim and Offender Age


Of the 1,131 reports of criminal sexual penetration that identified *victim age*, the greatest proportion of all victims was in the age group 13-18 (28%), followed by victims age 19-25 (15%). An equal proportion of victims (14%, respectively) were ages <6, ages 7-12, and ages 26-35. Conversely, of the 903 reports that identified offender age, the greatest proportion of all offenders was in the age group 19-25 (25%), followed closely by offenders 26-35 (24%), 36-45 (16%) and 13-18 (15%). See **Figure 2**.



### 3. Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity

Of the 1,036 criminal sexual penetration cases that identified victim race/ethnicity, 43% (449) were Hispanic, 39% (400) White (non-Hispanic), 13% (137) Native American, 4% (43) Black, and 1% (7) Asian. Likewise, of the 929 criminal sexual penetration cases that identified offender race/ethnicity, 48% (449) were Hispanic, 32% (293) White (non-Hispanic), 15% (137) Native American, 5% (43) Black, and 1% (7) Asian. For a comparison of victim and offender race/ethnicity to racial/ethnic compositions in New Mexico for 2013, see **Figure 3**.


**Figure 3. Comparison of Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity in CSP\* Cases as Reported by Law Enforcement to State of New Mexico Racial/Ethnic Composition, 2013**



#### 4. Victim/Offender Relationship

The victim/offender relationship was documented in 834 of the 1,445 reported cases of criminal sexual penetration. Of these, 24% (204) were perpetrated by a stranger to the victim and 76% (630) were perpetrated by someone known by the victim. Twenty-seven percent (229) of offenders were a relative to the victim. While law enforcement agencies report whether the offender was a stranger or known to the victim, they do not further report the type of relationship among known offenders. However, such documentation is available from Bernalillo County in the reported cases of criminal sexual penetration submitted by the Albuquerque Police Department and the Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office. Of the 598 victims of criminal sexual penetration reported by law enforcement agencies in Bernalillo County, the victim/offender relationship was documented in 589 cases. Of these, 145 (25%) were perpetrated by a stranger and 444 (75%) by someone known to the victim. Relatives comprised 35% (155) of all victim/offender relationships documented. Acquaintances comprised the largest category of known specified non-family offenders 19% (113), followed by boyfriends/girlfriends 9% (54), and friends 8% (46). "Other" unspecified known, non-relative offenders comprised 9% (54) of all offenders. **Figure 4** illustrates the number and percent of each type of victim/offender relationship identified.

**Figure 4. Victim/Offender Relationship in CSP\* Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County as Reported by Law Enforcement, 2013: Offender Was . . .**



## 5. Weapon Use and Injury

There is a parallel between weapon use in sexual assault incidents and its use in domestic violence incidents, though there is reluctance among professionals in the field of sexual violence prevention and prosecution to report this observation. Because the intent in a domestic assault or battery is to physically harm the victim, an offender's fists and feet used in kicking, slapping, or punching a victim are considered "personal weapons". Applying this definition of a weapon to criminal sexual penetration crimes would result in 89% or 547 of 616 cases in New Mexico, involving a weapon. However, while this demonstrates comprehensive and accurate reporting, there is great reluctance in reporting such high rates of weapon use in sexual assault incidents. Sexual assault advocates and prosecutors have been working for years to dispel the long held social myth that unless there is a "non-personal" or deadly weapon involved, the victim consented too easily and must have freely engaged in the




sexual activity. In truth, a very small proportion of criminal sexual penetration cases nationally, involve a “non-personal” weapon, such as a gun, knife, bat, etc. The same is true in New Mexico, as only 9.5% or 51 of 534 cases documenting *type of weapon used* involved “non-personal” weapons.

Of the 717 cases that documented whether the victim was injured, victim injury occurred in 24% (170) of the cases.

## 6. Alcohol and Drug Use


There were 841 cases of criminal sexual penetration where alcohol/drug use was documented. Of these, alcohol and/or drugs were used in 29% (237) of cases. Of the 237 cases where alcohol or drugs were used, 215 documented the using party(s). Offender-only use of alcohol/drugs was reported in 70% (150) of cases. Victim-only use was found in 7% (15) of cases, and both the victim and offender used alcohol/drugs in 23% (50) of cases. See **Figure 5**. Therefore, offenders used alcohol/drugs in 93% of the cases involving alcohol/drug use and victims used alcohol/drugs in 30% of the cases involving alcohol/drug use.



## 7. Children Witnesses to Criminal Sexual Penetration

When analyzing data regarding children, two variables are analyzed: 1) the *number of incidents* where at least one child was present; and 2) the *total number of children* present. In 2013, 99 law enforcement agencies reported to the Central Repository. Of these, 66 reported at least one case of criminal sexual penetration but 49 of these agencies did not report how many incidents had children present, or how many total children were present during their reported criminal sexual penetration incidents. There were 676 cases that documented the *number of incidents* where at least one child was present. Of these, 324 (48%) cases had at least one child present. There were 573 children present at these 324 incidents, or 1.8 children per incident. There were 832 reports that documented the *total number of children present*. Of these, the total number of children present was 674.

The age of the child was documented for 671 of the children present at the law enforcement-reported domestic violence incidents. Of these, 19% (126) were ages <6; 17% (116) ages 6-9; 12% (83) ages 10-12; 42% (283) ages 13-17; and 9% (63) ages 18-21. See **Figure 6**.



## 8. Suspect Arrests for Criminal Sexual Penetration Incidents

There were 823 cases of criminal sexual penetration that documented whether there was a suspect arrest. Of these, 99 (12%) cases had a suspect arrest. Among agencies with 10 or more reported criminal sexual penetration cases, the Clovis Police Department had the most incidents with a suspect arrest at 21% or 6 of 28 reported cases, followed by the Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office, 17% (10 of 60), Gallup Police Department, 16% (6 of 37), Hobbs Police Department, 13% (2 of 15) and the Farmington Police Department, 12% (4 of 34). See **Table 3**.

## D. CHARACTERISTICS OF OTHER (NON-PENETRATION) LAW ENFORCEMENT-REPORTED SEX CRIMES

While participating law enforcement agencies throughout the state report on the number of *non-penetration* sex crimes, including criminal sexual contact, criminal sexual contact of a minor, indecent exposure, sexual exploitation of children, and child enticement cases, they do not provide details about the nature of these crimes. However, data are available from the Albuquerque Police Department and the Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office that assist in characterizing these non-penetration crimes.


### 1. Victim and Offender Gender

There were 1,519 non-penetration sex crimes in Bernalillo County. There were 1,620 victims identified in these crimes. Victim gender was documented in 1,614 of the victims identified. Of these, 86% (1,392) were female. This is 10% greater than the 76% of female victims in law enforcement-reported criminal sexual penetration (CSP) crimes. There were 1,830 offenders identified in the non-penetration sex crimes. The suspect gender was documented in 1,779. Of these, 78% (1,395) were male. This is significantly less than the 93% of male offenders in CSP crimes.

### 2. Victim and Offender Age


Victim age was documented in 1,580 of the 1,620 victims identified in Bernalillo County sex crimes. Of these, 30% were not yet adolescents: 16% (255), ages <7, and 14% (214), ages 7-12. Another

19% (300) were ages 19-25; 18% (287), ages 26-35; 16% (259), ages 13-18; 9% (136), ages 36-45; and 6% (90), ages 46-55. One percent of victims respectively, were ages 56-65 (23) and >65 (16). See **Figure 7**. Offender age was documented for 1,627 of the 1,830 offenders. Of these, 30% (484) were ages 19-25, followed by ages 26-35, 27% (435); ages 36-45, 17% (272); ages 13-18, 11% (172); and ages 46-55, 8% (132). Additionally, 4% of offenders were children: 1% (10) ages <7, and 3% (51) ages 7-12. Refer to Figure 7.



### 3. Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity

Victim race/ethnicity was documented for 1,495 of the 1,620 victims. Of these, most victims, 51% (768) were Hispanic, followed by White (non-Hispanic) victims, 33% (500), Native American victims, 9% (133), Black victims, 6% (83), and Asian victims, 1% (11). Similarly, of the 1,830 offenders, race/ethnicity was identified for 1,804. Of these, most offenders, 50% (897) were Hispanic, followed by White (non-Hispanic) offenders 35% (624), Black offenders, 9% (157), Native American offenders, 6% (110), and Asian offenders, 1% (16). See **Figure 8**.



#### 4. Weapon Use and Injury

Bernalillo County law enforcement agencies reported 81% or 1,233 cases out of 1,519 involved a weapon. Of these, 13% (157) involved a deadly weapon: 6% (70) a firearm, and 7% (87) a knife.


Slightly over one-quarter (28%) (424) of non-criminal sexual penetration cases involved injury, which is similar to the 24% of injury-involved criminal sexual penetration cases.

#### 5. Alcohol/Drug Use

Approximately one-fifth (18% or 273) of non-criminal sexual penetration cases involved alcohol/drug use compared to 29% of criminal sexual penetration cases.

#### 6. Children Witnesses to Non-Penetration Sex Crimes

There were 1,218 children who witnessed 701 of the 1,519 non-penetration sex crimes. Of these, 28% (338) were ages <6, 20% (243) ages 6-9, 15% (188) ages 10-12, 31% (379) ages 13-17, and 6% (70) ages 18-21. See **Figure 9**. A comparison of the age of children present at criminal sexual penetration crimes versus non-penetration sex crimes, illustrates that significantly more (63%) young children (< 13 years of age), were present during non-penetration sex crimes compared to the proportion present during criminal sexual penetration crimes (28%).



#### 7. Suspect Arrest in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes

Of the 1,519 non-penetration sex crimes in Bernalillo County, there was an arrest made in 506 or 33%. This is significantly higher than the 12% of criminal sexual penetration crimes with a suspect arrest.

### III. SEXUAL ASSAULT SERVICE PROVIDERS AND REPORTED SEX CRIMES

There were 29 sexual assault service provider agencies that submitted data to the Central Repository in 2013 (see **Appendix H**). Therapists from participating agencies complete the *Sexual Assault History* form, a standardized data collection instrument used by mental health and rape crisis

centers throughout New Mexico to capture information on each client who presents for therapy for a recent or past sexual offense (see **Appendix I**). The data presented herein represent clients who presented for therapy between 1/1/13 and 12/31/13 for a recent or past sexual assault. Completed *Sexual Assault History* forms are submitted to the Central Repository on a monthly basis. There were 1,829 clients who received services for a sexual assault victimization. This represents a 2% decrease from that reported in 2012 (1,875). Completed forms on these survivors were analyzed for this report.

The Rape Crisis Center of Central New Mexico served 29% (528) of all sex crimes survivors seen in 2013, followed by La Pinon (Las Cruces), 21% (375), and the Santa Fe Community Guidance Center 12% (214). See **Table 4**. For an examination of survivors served by county, see **Table 5**.

It is difficult to make a meaningful comparison between the number of sexual assault victims reported by service providers per county and the number of sex crime victims reported by law enforcement per county because only 52% (951) of all survivors who sought treatment in 2013 experienced the sexual assault in 2013. One may make a comparison simply based on a 12-month interval basis, i.e. in the same period of time that law enforcement reported 4,220 sex crime victims, therapists served 1,829 survivors. In this instance, the total number of survivors seen represents 43% of the number reported to police in the same time frame. See **Table 6** for a comparison between the number of sexual assault victims served and the number of sex crime victims reported by law enforcement, for each county. However, the number of survivors that were assaulted in 2013 (972) represent only 23% of the number of sexual assault victims identified by law enforcement in 2013.

## **A. SURVIVOR DEMOGRAPHICS**

### **1. Gender of Survivor**

Of the 1,829 sexual offense reports, 1,781 documented the gender of the survivor, 86% (1,532) of which were female. Among agencies with 20 or more cases, the Santa Fe Community Guidance Center served the most male victims, 30% (64), followed by Solace Crisis Treatment Center, 19% (31), Desert View Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Services, 19% (8), The Counseling Center-Alamogordo, 17% (6), and La Pinon Sexual Assault Recovery Services, 13% (49). See **Table 7**.


### **2. Age of Survivor At Time of Current (Presenting) Sexual Assault**

Of the 1,586 reports where the age of survivor at the time of the current assault was documented, the greatest percentage of victimizations occurred between ages of 13-17 (22%), followed by victimizations occurring between ages 6-12 (21%), and ages 18-24 (17%). See **Figure 10**. A comparison of the age at the time of the current sexual assault between males and females reveals that a greater proportion of males (59%) of all males assaulted, were victims before age 18, compared to the proportion of females among female survivors who were assaulted before age 18 (27%). See **Figure 11**.


Since there is a significant difference between genders with regard to the age of the survivors at the time of the most recent assault when examining all types of sexual assault, an analysis was conducted to compare the age of survivors for males and females between criminal sexual penetration crimes and non-penetration sex crimes.

In criminal sexual penetration crimes, the proportion of males raped as children (ages <13) (46%) is greater than the proportion of males raped as adolescents (16%) or adults (38%); and is significantly greater than the proportion of females raped as children (17%). Beyond age 12, a greater proportion of females than males were raped in every age group. See **Figure 12**.


**Figure 10. Age of Survivor at Time of Most Recent Sexual Assault as Reported by Service Providers, 2013**




**Figure 11. Age of Survivors at Time of Most Recent Sexual Assault, by Gender, as Reported by Service Providers, 2013**



**Figure 12. Comparison of Survivors' Ages by Gender in Criminal Sexual Penetration Crimes as Reported by Service Providers, 2013**




Similarly, in non-penetration sex crimes (criminal sexual contact, criminal sexual contact of a minor, sexual exploitation of children, child enticement, and indecent exposure) the proportion of male children (ages <13) victimized (57%) among all males victimized is significantly greater than the proportion of female children victimized (42%) among all females victimized. See **Figure 13**.




### 3. Age of Survivor At Presentation For Therapy


The age of the survivor when presenting for therapy was documented in 1,603 of the sexual offenses reported. Of these cases, the age group with the most presentations was 25-34 (23%), followed by the age groups 18-24 (19%) and 35-44 (16%). See **Figure 14**.



More males presented for therapy during the ages of 35-44 (22%), followed closely by males ages 25-34 (18%), 45-54 (15%), 6-12 (14%), and 18-24 (10%). More females presented for therapy between the ages of 25-34 (22%), followed by females ages 18-24 (18%), and 13-17 (14%). See **Figure 15**.




The sexual assault reports from service providers in 2013 were analyzed to determine the proportion of victims who sought therapeutic services for their sexual assault within one year of the assault, and the proportion of victims who waited longer than one year. Half (58%) of female victims and over one-third (43%) of male victims sought therapy within one year of their sexual assaults. See **Figure 16**. Of those that waited to seek services beyond the first year following their sexual assaults, the average delay in seeking therapy among male sexual assault victims was 13.4 years compared to 6.5 years for female victims. Among those that delayed, more males (33%) than females (20%) waited over 20 years to seek services. Refer to Figure 16.






#### 4. Survivor History of Prior Sexual Assault/Abuse

Prior sexual assault/abuse was documented in 831 service provider reports. Of these, 476 (57%) were sexually assaulted prior to the current assault. The age of the survivor at the time of the prior assault was documented in 324 of the 476 cases. Of these 324 cases, all documented whether the prior abuse was ongoing or an isolated event. There were 221 survivors who were victims of on-going sexual abuse. The age at onset was documented in 152. Eighty-five percent of these prior victimizations occurred by age 12. Of the 237 cases of isolated prior sexual assault incidents, age at onset was documented in 172. Half (54%) of these victimizations occurred by age 12, 26% between the ages of 13 and 17, and 14% were individuals 18 and older. Refer to Figure 17.




#### 5. Race/Ethnicity of Survivor

Race/ethnicity of the survivor was documented in 1,708 of reported sexual offenses. Forty-eight percent of the reported survivors were Hispanic, 32% White (non-Hispanic), 11% Native American, 5% mixed race/ethnicity, and 3% Black. For a comparison of these percentages to the racial/ethnic composition of New Mexico, see Figure 18.




When race/ethnicity was examined by age among male rape victims seeking services, there were too few White (non-Hispanic) males (33), Hispanic males (33), Native American males (6), Black males (4), and males of mixed race/ethnicity (4) to examine.

When race/ethnicity was examined by age among female rape victims seeking services, there were too few Black female victims (13), and female victims of mixed race/ethnicity (37) to examine. A slightly greater proportion of female Native American rape victims (19%) were victimized as children compared to Hispanic victims (17%), and significantly more than White (non-Hispanic) victims (6%). See **Figure 19**.




## 6. Survivor Disability


Of the 1,401 reports that documented disability of the survivor, 37% (514) had a disability. The highest reported disability was *emotional/mental disability prior to the sexual offense incident*, accounting for 367 (71%) of the 514 documented cases with disability. Over one-quarter (29%) of cases reported a physical disability: 3% hearing, 8% visual, 3% mobility and 14% a non-specified physical disability. See **Figure 20**.



When rape victims were examined by disability and age, more adult (ages 18 and older) rape victims, 47% (240 of 511) had a disability than adolescent victims (ages 13-17), 29% (56 of 191), or children (ages 12 and under) victims, 24% (42 of 172). See **Figure 21**.



When rape victims were examined by disability and race/ethnicity, more Black rape victims (52%) had a disability than White (non-Hispanic) victims (47%), Hispanic victims (39%), victims of mixed race/ethnicity (39%), and Native American victims (19%). However, the number of Black victims is so few, these findings regarding the disability rate among Black victims should be viewed with caution. See **Figure 22**.




## B. OFFENDER DEMOGRAPHICS

### 1. Gender of the Offender

Of the 1,612 sexual offense cases where gender of the offender was documented, 1,552 (96%) were male and 60 (4%) female. Similarly, among 1,074 rape cases where offender gender was documented, 1,052 (98%) were male.


## 2. Age of Offender

Age of the offender was documented in 773 of the offense reports submitted. Most offenders were 25-34 (17%), followed by offenders 35-44 (15%), 13-17 (14%), and 18-24 (13%). See **Figure 23**.




## 3. Offender Race/Ethnicity

Race/ethnicity of the offender was documented in 640 of reported sexual offenses. Over half (54%) of the reported offenders were Hispanic, 26% White (non-Hispanic), 13% Native American, 4% Black, and 2% mixed race/ethnicity. For a comparison of these percentages to the ethnic/racial composition of New Mexico, see **Figure 24**.




In 82% of documented cases, the offender was the same race/ethnicity as the victim. However, the proportion of offenders of each race/ethnicity that were the same race/ethnicity as the victim differ, as shown: eighty-eight percent of Native American offenders were the same race/ethnicity as their victims (73 of 83), compared to 84% respectively, of Hispanic offenders (294 of 348) and White (non-Hispanic) offenders (141 of 168), 29% of Black offenders (7 of 24), and 46% of offenders of mixed race/ethnicity (6 of 13). For Black offenders and offenders of mixed race/ethnicity these findings should be viewed with caution as too few reports in these race categories captured this variable. See **Figure 25**.




### C. SEXUAL OFFENSE CHARACTERISTICS

#### 1. Type of Sexual Offense


The type of sex offense was documented in 1,480 of the reported 1,829 cases reported by service providers. Of these, 71% (1,048) were criminal sexual penetration (CSP – oral, anal, and/or vaginal penetration), 21% (304) criminal sexual contact, 4% (61) sexual harassment, 1% (15) stalking, and 3% (50) indecent exposure. See **Figure 26**.



Of the 1,048 cases involving criminal sexual penetration, 724 did not specify the circumstances of the rape. In the 324 cases of criminal sexual penetration that specified the assault circumstances, incest accounted for 64% (208). Date/Acquaintance rape comprised 9% (30) of the specified criminal sexual penetration cases. Spousal rape comprised 15% (47) of the specified assaults, and gang rape comprised 12% (39). See **Figure 27**.




There were 1,443 sexual assault cases where both the survivor gender and type of offense were known. When examined by gender, a greater proportion of females (73%) than males (57%) experienced criminal sexual penetration. Conversely, a greater proportion of males, than females experienced criminal sexual contact (28% and 20%, respectively), indecent exposure (7% and 3%, respectively), and sexual harassment (7% and 4%, respectively). See **Figure 28**.



## 2. Survivor/Offender Relationship

Survivor/Offender relationship was documented in 1,474 of the reported cases of sexual assault. In general, 10% (145) were perpetrated by a stranger and 90% (1,329) by someone known to the victim.

Stranger-perpetrated sexual offenses were examined by race/ethnicity of the survivor. Of the 440 cases with White (non-Hispanic) survivors, 11% (50) experienced stranger-perpetrated sexual assault. Likewise, of the 645 cases with Hispanic survivors, 9% (56) experienced stranger-perpetrated sexual assault. Stranger-perpetrated sexual assault was experienced by 18% (25 of 139) of Native American survivors, and by 4% (2 of 55) of survivors of mixed race/ethnicity. There were 27 reports on Black survivors that documented the relationship of the offender. Of these, 19% (2) were stranger-perpetrated rapes. See **Figure 29**.




Stranger-perpetrated sexual offenses were examined by survivor gender. Of 225 cases with male survivors, 7% (15) were stranger-perpetrated, compared to 11% (124) of the 1,120 cases of with female survivors.

Of the sexual offenses reported to service providers, 90% (1,329) were committed by someone known to the survivor. Of those offenders known to the survivor, 40% (525) were family members/relatives.


Of the sexual offenses committed by family members/relatives, “fathers” was the group with the greatest number of reported offenders, committing 95 (18%) of all family-member sexual offenses followed by uncles, 86 (16%), step-fathers (70), cousins (66) and with 13% respectively, and brothers 51 (10%). See **Figure 30**.

**Figure 30. Number of Known "Related" Offenders by Relationship Category, as Reported by Service Providers, 2013**





Of the 804 non-family offenders known to the survivor, most were “other” known (unspecified) non-relatives, 155 (19%). Of the specified relationships among known-non-relative offenders, friends, 105 (13%) committed the greatest proportion of offenses, followed by social acquaintances, 96 (12%), and therapists (70) and new acquaintances (70), with 9%, respectively. See **Figure 31**.




### 3. Number of Offenders Involved Per Sexual Assault

The number of offenders per sexual assault was documented in 1,541 of reported sexual offenses. Of the documented reports, 88% (1,360) involved one offender. Of the multiple-offender assaults reported, 7% (108) involved two offenders, 2% (38) involved three offenders, and 2% (35) involved four-or-more offenders.


There were 1,104 cases that identified *survivor alcohol/drug use* and the *number of offenders* involved. Of these, 331 used alcohol/drugs and 773 did not. Survivors using alcohol/drugs were almost twice (19%) as likely to be victimized by multiple offenders as survivors not using alcohol/drugs (10%).

### 4. Type of Coercion Used


The type of coercion used was documented in 1,741 cases as reported by service providers. Of these, the type of coercion used most was physical force (41%), followed by manipulation (22%), and verbal threat (17%). Weapons accounted for 5% of the types of coercion used: knives (2%), guns (2%), and other weapons (1%). *Intentional drugging of the victim by the perpetrator* accounted for 5% of the total types of coercion used, and 7% of the types of coercion used were “other”, unspecified means. See **Figure 32**.



Physical force was used on adult victims (ages 18 and older) (60%) and adolescent victims (ages 13-17) (39%) more than any other type of coercion. Manipulation (30%) was used on child victims (ages 12 and under) more than any other type of coercion, followed by physical force (23%), and verbal threat (13%). Intentional drugging of the victim by the perpetrator was used more often on adults (9%) than adolescents (5%) and children (1%). Guns were used most often on adults (3%) and adolescents (2%). Knives were used on 3% of adolescents, 2% of adults, and 1% of children. See **Figure 33**.



When examined by gender, females (43%) were more likely than males (26%) to experience physical force. Males and females were equally likely to be coerced with a knife (2%, respectively), and almost equally likely to be coerced by manipulation (23% and 22%, respectively). Significantly more females were intentionally drugged (5%) than males (2%). See **Figure 34**.




**5. Use of Alcohol/Drugs**

**a. Survivor**

Of the 1,229 reports that documented alcohol/drug use, 31% (377) of survivors used alcohol or other drugs during the reported (current) sexual assault. When examined by survivor age, 51% of adult survivors, 29% of adolescent survivors, and 1% of child survivors used alcohol or drugs during the reported sexual assault.

There were 191 of the 377 survivor alcohol-use cases that documented the survivor/offender relationship. Of these, 25% (48) were committed by a stranger. Conversely, of 852 cases where the survivor did not use alcohol/drugs, there were 665 that documented the survivor/offender relationship. Of these, 8% (52) were committed by a stranger. Similarly among rape victims, 21% (34 of 161) of those using alcohol/drugs were raped by a stranger, compared to 9% (32 of 358) of those not using. This suggests that alcohol/drug use presents a vulnerability to stranger rape: those who use alcohol/drugs are two times (2.3) more likely to experience rape by a stranger than those who avoid alcohol/drugs.

There were 1,179 sexual assault cases where both *survivor alcohol/drug use* and *race/ethnicity* were documented. Of these, Native American survivors were most likely to use alcohol and/or other drugs at the time of their sexual assault, with 49% Native American survivors reporting alcohol/drug use. One-third (32%) of White (non-Hispanic) survivors, 26% Hispanic survivors, 25% Black survivors, and 20% survivors of mixed race/ethnicity used alcohol and/or other drugs at the time of their sexual assault. See **Figure 35**.




b. Offender

Use of alcohol or other drugs by offenders was documented in only 445 of the 1,829 sexual offense reports. Of these, 76% (337) of offenders used alcohol or other drugs during the reported (current) assault.

**6. Location of Sexual Offenses**

Of the 802 reports from therapists that documented location of the sexual assault, 37% (300) were committed in the survivor's home. The offender's home represented the location of the second highest category of reported offenses, 26% (212) followed by a residence other than the survivor's or offender's home, 12% (96). Six percent (45) of the assaults occurred in multiple locations, and 4% (31) occurred in a vehicle. See **Figure 36**.



## 7. Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault

Domestic violence history among survivors was documented in 1,326 of the sexual offense reports. Of these cases, 47% (622) of survivors reported a history of domestic violence.

Domestic violence history among offenders was understandably reported in a fewer number of cases, since many survivors of assault do not know this information about their offenders. However, of the 106 cases where survivors knew and reported the domestic violence history of the offender, 70% (74) of offenders had a history of domestic violence. Of these cases, there were 97 that also documented the survivor's history of domestic violence. Of 29 survivors with no history of domestic violence, 17% (5) were offended by someone with a history of domestic violence. Conversely, of 68 survivors with a history of domestic violence, 79% (54) were offended by someone with a history of domestic violence. This suggests that a survivor with a history of domestic violence is five (4.6) times more likely to be offended by someone with a history of domestic violence than a survivor who does not have a history of domestic violence.

## 8. Sexually Transmitted Disease, Pregnancy, and Sexual Assault

### a. Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD's)

Of the 436 cases where *contraction of a sexually transmitted disease* was documented, 12 (3%) of the survivors contracted a sexually transmitted disease during the reported (current) sexual assault.

There is a significant correlation between *survivor use of alcohol* and the likelihood of contracting a sexually transmitted disease. Those survivors who used alcohol/drugs were four times more likely to contract a STD than those who did not use alcohol/drugs. Of the total reported sexual assaults that documented whether alcohol/drugs were used and whether there was the contraction of a sexually transmitted disease, 9% (or 4 of 44) of those survivors who *did* use alcohol contracted a STD, compared to 2% (or 7 of 329) among survivors who did not use alcohol.


### b. Pregnancy

There were 982 cases that documented whether or not a pregnancy resulted from the presenting sexual assault incident. Of these cases, 29 (3%) resulted in a pregnancy.


## 9. Reported Sexual Assault

Of the 1,829 sexual assault offenses, there were 1,648 which documented whether the assault was reported by someone to a professional agency. Of these, 339 (21%) were not reported. Of the 1,309 that were reported, 89% (1,169) were reported by the survivor, 2% (23) by a therapist, 1% (9) by law enforcement, and 8% (108) by “others” not specified.

There were 2,366 reports made on 1,456 sexual assaults, as each assault may have been reported to more than one type of agency. Of the 2,366 reports made, 778 (33%) were reported to law enforcement, 718 (30%) a rape crisis center, 571 (24%) an ER or SANE, 172 (7%) a social service agency, and 76 (3%) “other” agencies not specified. See **Figure 37**.




There were 1,350 cases that documented both, whether a report was made and the race/ethnicity of the survivor. One-quarter (27%) of White (non-Hispanic) survivors and survivors of mixed race (24%) did not report their sexual assault, compared to 19% of Black survivors, and 15% of Hispanic survivors. Native American survivors were the racial group with the fewest unreported sexual assaults (11%). See **Figure 38**.




## 10. Medical Treatment Sought

There were 859 sexual assault offenses that documented whether medical treatment was sought by the survivor. Of these, 34% (294) sought medical treatment. There were 834 reports that documented medical treatment sought and survivor gender. Of 740 female sexual assault survivors, 37% (271) sought medical treatment. This is twice (2.5) the rate of male survivors who sought medical treatment, 15% (14 of 94).

An examination of medical treatment sought by survivor age revealed that adult survivors (ages 18 and older) were most likely to seek medical treatment (49%), followed by adolescent survivors, ages 13-17, (30%), child survivors <6 years (18%), and child survivors ages 6-12 years (11%). See **Figure 39**.



An examination of medical treatment sought by survivor race/ethnicity, revealed that 52% of Black survivors sought medical treatment. However, as this proportion is based on a total of 21 survivors, it should be viewed with caution. A significantly greater proportion of Native American survivors (45%) sought treatment, compared to survivors of mixed race (34%), White (non-Hispanic) survivors (31%), and Hispanic survivors (31%). See **Figure 40**.





## 11. Forensic Evidence Collection

There were 954 service provider reports that documented whether forensic evidence was collected (within 5 days of the assault). Of these, 26% (249) reported forensic evidence collection. When examined by gender, significantly more female survivors (28%) obtained forensic evidence collection, than male survivors (13%).

An examination of forensic evidence collection (within 5 days of the assault) by survivor race/ethnicity revealed that Native American survivors (56%) were significantly more likely to have forensic evidence collected, than survivors of any other race/ethnic group: Black survivors (31%), Hispanic survivors (22%), White (non-Hispanic) survivors (21%), and survivors of mixed race/ethnicity (17%). See **Figure 41**.

An analysis was conducted to compare forensic evidence collection among male and female rape victims by gender and age. Significantly more adult female rape survivors (45%) than adult male rape survivors (20%) obtained forensic evidence collection. Among adolescent rape victims, slightly more male victims (30%) obtained forensic evidence collection than female victims (27%). See **Figure 42**.






## 12. Accessing Services

There were 1,334 service provider reports that documented how the adult survivor heard about available sexual assault services. Of these reports, there were 1,827 responses, as some survivors offered multiple responses to this question. Of these, most referrals were made by someone from *mental health or*


social services, 33% (603), followed by referrals from *corrections*, 20% (367), *medical providers*, 17% (312), *SANE programs*, 6% (109), and *family members*, 5% (92). Nine percent of referrals came from “other” unspecified sources. See **Figure 43**.



### 13. Reasons for Seeking Services

There were 1,326 survivors that provided one or more reasons why they decided to seek help. Of all the reasons for seeking assistance, most survivors, 69% (916) sought help for *mental health problems/concerns/symptoms from the assault*, such as nightmares, phobias, flashbacks-PTSD, and 55% (725) were *encouraged to get help by others*. Another 34% (456) of survivors reported that it was safe to get help now, 3% (431) reported that they have resources to get help now, 29% (385) reported seeking help because of family concerns, and 19% (258) because of physical health concerns. See **Figure 44**.

**Figure 44. Reasons Survivors Seek Services, 2013**



## **IV. SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINER (SANE) PROGRAMS**

### **A. OVERVIEW OF SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINERS (SANE)**

The purpose of a SANE program is to provide medical treatment to sexual assault victims of all ages and genders. The value of a SANE program is the use of advanced trained nurses who provide prompt, professional medical treatment and care in a private setting, objectively document injuries using special equipment, ensure that evidence is collected properly and backed by chain of custody, and provide quality testimony through legal proceedings – all at no cost to the victim.

All New Mexico SANE Programs use the New Mexico Sexual Assault Evidence Kit (SAEK) within five (5) days of an assault. Overarching principles of SANE include patient confidentiality and informed consent. SANE services are presented as options so that the patient has control over what happens. For example, services offered by SANE programs may include comfort care, medications to prevent sexually transmitted diseases, emergency contraception, evidence collection, documentation and photography of injuries, and referrals for aftermath care. One distinct advantage of the SANE response is its physical environment. SANE units offer a safe, private, and quiet environment where the sexual assault victim can influence the pace of the exam and has the time to have services presented as options, both of which are effective tools in re-empowering the patient.

One key component of any SANE exam is collaboration with co-responding partners. A coordinated or multi-disciplinary team approach recognizes the dual purpose of the sexual assault exam to address the patient needs and the justice system needs. In New Mexico, every SANE unit actively coordinates with law enforcement, district attorney offices, crime lab, and crisis services/advocacy. See **Appendix J** for a list of statewide SANE Programs.

The standardized individualized data collection form used by SANE Programs is found in **Appendix K**. The data analyzed for this report covers the 12-month period 1/1/13 to 12/31/13.

### **B. SANE PROGRAM FINDINGS**

There were 1,173 patients served by SANE Programs in 2013, almost exactly the same as the number served in 2012 (1,172).


As expected, most, 48% (559) of all SANE patients were served by the Albuquerque SANE Collaborative, followed by the Santa Fe St. Vincent SANE Program, 12% (143), La Pinon SANE Project, 8% (89), Sexual Assault Services of Northwest New Mexico, 6% (73), and Silver City Gila Regional Medical Center, 6% (70). The number of patients served by each SANE Program is found in **Table 8**.

#### **1. Patient Gender**

Of all the patients served by SANE Programs in 2013, 91% (1,067) were females, the same proportion of female SANE patients in 2012.


#### **2. Patient Age**

There were 1,171 records documenting patient age. Most (64% or 745) patients served were adults (ages 18 and older). Adolescents (ages 13-17) comprised 15% (176) of all patients served. Children (ages 12 and under) comprised 21% (250) of all patients served. When examined by gender, most (53%) male SANE patients were children, while most female SANE patients (66%) were adults. See **Figure 45**.




### 3. Patient Race/Ethnicity

Of 1,167 records documenting patient race/ethnicity, 44% were Hispanic, 32% White (non-Hispanic), 14% Native American, 4% Black, and 5% mixed race/ethnicity. Native Americans, Blacks, and those of mixed race are significantly more represented among SANE sexual assault patients than in the general population. Conversely, Whites (non-Hispanic), and Hispanics have less representation among SANE sexual assault patients than their representation in the state population. See **Figure 46**.



When examined by race and age of the SANE patient, there were too few patients of “other” races (5) to examine by age. Likewise, while most Black SANE patients were adults (80%), this proportion should be viewed with caution as there were only 41 Black SANE patients. Children

comprised a greater proportion of SANE patients of mixed race/ethnicity (48%) than children comprised of other races/ethnicities: Native American patients (23%), Hispanic patients (21%), White (non-Hispanic) patients (18%), and Black patients (12%). See **Figure 47**.



#### 4. Patient Disability

Patient disability was known/documented in 1,092 SANE reports. Of these, 24% (265) had a disability. This is similar to the 23% with a disability reported in 2012. More male (26%) than female SANE patients (22%) had a disability. When examined by age, 12% of child SANE patients, 18% of adolescent SANE patients, and 27% of adult SANE patients had a disability. Overall, of the 265 SANE patients with a disability where age was documented, 76% (202) were adults.

Of the 265 patients with a disability, 93% or 246, had a mental/cognitive disability, 20% (52) an unspecified physical disability, 23% (61) a visual disability, 5% (14) a hearing disability and 1% (3) a speech disability.

#### 5. Offender Gender and Age


Of 1,049 individual reports where gender of the offender was documented, there was a male offender in 1,023 (97.5%), which equals the proportion of reports with a male offender in 2012. The age of the offender was documented in 811 of the individual reports submitted. Of these, 87% (709) were adults (ages 18 and older), 9% (76) were adolescents (ages 13-17) and 3% (26) were children (ages 12 and under).

## C. OFFENSE CHARACTERISTICS

### 1. Victim/Offender Relationship


The victim/offender relationship was identified for 1,086 SANE patients. Overall, 29% of offenders were acquaintances, 22% family members, 19% strangers, 9% an intimate partner, 7% an ex-intimate partner, and 11% a brief encounter.

Of 250 SANE child patients (ages 12 and under), the victim/offender relationship was documented in 230. Three-quarters (74% or 171) were victimized by a family member, 20% (46) by an acquaintance, 2% (4) by a stranger, and 3% (7) “other” relationship. See **Figure 48**.



By contrast, SANE patients over age 12 were significantly more likely to be victimized by an acquaintance or a stranger, than by a family member. Family offenders comprised 16% of SANE adolescent (ages 13-17) patients, and 5% of SANE adult (ages 18 and older) patients. Stranger offenders comprised 24% of adolescent SANE patients, and 23% of adult SANE patients. Acquaintance offenders comprised 35% of adolescent SANE patients, and 31% of adult SANE patients. Eleven percent of adolescent patients, and 15% of adult SANE patients were assaulted by someone from a brief encounter. Additionally, 4% and 14% of adolescent and adult SANE patients respectively, were assaulted by an intimate partner; and 7% and 9% of adolescent and adult SANE patients respectively, were assaulted by an ex-intimate partner. See **Figure 49**.

**Figure 49. Percent Offender Relationship Among Adolescent and Adult SANE Patients, 2013**




**2. Number of Offenders**

Of 992 reports that documented the number of offenders per sexual assault, 877 (88%) sexual assaults were perpetrated by one offender, 72 (7%) by two offenders, 20 (2%) by three offenders, and 23 (2%) by four or more offenders.

**3. Type of Coercion**

The type of coercion was documented on 970 SANE patients. Overall, SANE Programs report that the type of coercion used most was physical force (56%), followed by physical intimidation and alcohol/drugs (29%, respectively), authority over the victim (26%), and verbal threat (22%). One or more weapons was used in 8% of SANE cases: firearm (3%), knife (4%), and other weapon (1%). See **Figure 50**.






The type of coercion used was examined by victim age for 982 patients. Of 218 child SANE patients (ages 12 and under) most were coerced by a person of authority (84%), followed by physical force (17%), and physical intimidation (11%). See **Figure 51**.

Of 159 adolescent SANE patients (ages 13-17) most were coerced by physical force (55%), physical intimidation (31%), a person of authority (30%) and alcohol/drugs (28%). Refer to Figure 51.


Of 605 adult SANE patients (18 and older) most were coerced by physical force (70%), followed by alcohol/drugs (38%), physical intimidation (34%), and verbal threat (28%). Refer to Figure 51.

**Figure 51. Type of Coercion Used in SANE Sexual Assault Cases, by Patient Age, 2013**




The type of coercion used on SANE patients was examined by offender relationship to the victim, either family, other-known offender, or stranger. Significantly more offenses involving a knife (8%) or firearm (7%) were committed by strangers. Conversely, significantly more offenses involving a person in authority (75%) were committed by family offenders. A significantly greater proportion of stranger and

known-offender offenses than family offenses involved physical force, physical intimidation, verbal threat, alcohol/drugs, other incapacitation and other types of coercion. See **Figure 52**.




#### 4. Location of Sexual Offenses

Overall, almost three-quarters (73%) of the sexual assaults among SANE patients occurred in a residence: victim's home (34%), offender's home (28%), or other residence (11%). Another 9% of sexual assaults occurred outdoors, 6% in a vehicle, and 4% in a motel/hotel. See **Figure 53**.



When the location of the sexual offenses was examined by SANE patient age, most (94%) child SANE patients (ages 12 and under) were victimized in a residence: own home (43%), offender's home (40%), or other residence (11%). Most adolescents SANE patients (ages 13-17) were victimized in the offender's home (29%), the victim's home (23%) or outdoors (19%). Additionally, 12% of adolescents respectively, were victimized in a vehicle or other residence. Most (67%) adult SANE patients (18 and older) were victimized in a residence: victim's home (31%), offender's home (25%) or other residence (11%). An additional 11% were victimized outdoors. There was an equal proportion of adults who were victimized in a motel/hotel and vehicle (8%, respectively). See **Figure 54**.

**Figure 54. Location of Sexual Offenses by Victim Age, 2013**




## 5. Patient Injury

Injury was observed in 75% (735) of the 982 SANE patients where injury was documented. When examined by gender, 77% of females and 51% of males were injured during their sexual assault.

When examined by age, victim injury occurred in 88% of adult (18 and older) SANE patients, 78% of adolescent (ages 13-17) SANE patients, and 36% of SANE child patients (ages 12 and under).

Almost two thirds (63%) of SANE child patients do not have injuries, and as a point of fact, with regard to child SANE patient genital injuries, the SANE exam does not diagnose or identify the cause of injuries, but rather identifies findings of concern, an anatomical variant, abnormality in appearance, or something noteworthy of attention for further follow-up care. Because the likelihood of identifying physical findings of concern is greater when examined as close to the time of the abuse as possible, child exams are done within 72 hours of the event versus 120 hours for adolescents and adults. For the purposes of this discussion regarding child genital injuries, the words “injury” and “physical finding of concern” are interchangeable.

SANE patients of all ages experienced more vaginal injuries than any other type of specified injury: almost two-thirds of child SANE patients (61%) and adolescent SANE patients (64%), and 43% of adult SANE patients incurred vaginal injuries. See **Figure 55**.




Significantly more child (21%) SANE patients than adolescent (6%) and adult (12%) SANE patients had rectal injuries. Significantly more adult SANE patients suffered strangulation (17%), than child (0%) or adolescent patients (8%). More adult and adolescent SANE patients than child patients had injuries to the body: head/neck (adult 14%, adolescent 13%, children 8%); extremities (adults 20%, adolescents 19%, children 14%); and torso (adults 13%, adolescents 11%, and children 11%). Refer to Figure 55.

**D. SANE PROGRAMS SERVICE CHARACTERISTICS**

**1. Referral Source**


Referral sources were documented for 1,064 SANE patients. Most patients were referred from law enforcement (49%), followed by hospitals (33%), and emergency medical services (13%). An additional 7% were referred by a rape crisis center, 6% were self-referred, and 5% were referred by CYFD. See Figure 56.



**2. Evidence Collection**

Forensic evidence was collected in 1,042 (89%) of SANE cases. No forensic evidence collection was reported for 8% of child (ages <13) SANE patients, 5% of adolescent (ages 13-17) SANE patients, and 4% of adult (ages 18 and older) SANE patients. Significantly more adult and adolescent patients (83% and 90%, respectively) than child patients (61%) had swabs taken from the mouth or genitalia as

part of the *sexual assault evidence kit* (SAEK). See **Figure 57**. Similarly, while most adult patients (47%) and adolescent patients (48%) had clothes collected for forensic evidence, only 23% of child patients had their clothes collected. A great proportion of SANE patients of all ages had photos taken as a part of evidence collection: 96% of children, 85% of adolescents, and 89% of adults. Specific to suspected Drug Facilitated Sexual Assault (DFSA), more adult patients (5%) and adolescent patients (3%) than child patients (0%) presented within 24 hours of the suspected DFSA and had blood and urine collected. An equal proportion of adult and adolescent patients (17%, respectively) than child patients (2%) presented after 24 hours but within 120 hours or 5 days of the suspected DFSA to have just urine collected. Refer to Figure 57.




### 3. Assessment Services

Eighty-one percent (946) of all SANE patients received one or more types of assessment services. When assessment services among SANE patients were examined by age, 79% of adults, 90% of adolescents, and 80% of children obtained services.

Treatment of sexually transmitted diseases was the service conducted most on adult patients (89%) and adolescent patients (90%), while a physical assessment/medical exam was the service most conducted on child patients (71%). See **Figure 58**. Psychological/suicide assessment was conducted on a small proportion of adolescent (7%) and adult (8%) SANE patients. Significantly more adolescent patients received pregnancy prevention/emergency contraception services (73%) than adult patients (54%), and child patients (4%). Refer to Figure 58.



**Figure 58. Assessment Services Provided by SANE Programs, by Patient Age, 2013**




#### 4. Reports to Law Enforcement

Of 1,173 SANE cases, 975 documented whether a report was made to law enforcement. Of these 885 (91%) were reported to police at the time of the SANE exams. When examined by patient age, 97% of child cases, 90% of adolescent cases, and 89% of adult cases were reported to law enforcement at the time of the SANE exam.

#### 5. SANE Referrals to Other Services


Sometime during and after SANE services are provided, the patient is also referred to other services for assistance beyond the scope of SANE Programs. There were 1,047 patients who received referrals to other services. Overall, one-half (56% or 585) were referred to SANE for follow-up services, one-third (32% or 332) were referred to rape crisis centers, 26% (277) to law enforcement, 20% (212) to crime victims reparation, and 14% (144) to community mental health centers. Ten percent of SANE patients respectively, were referred to health services (primary healthcare providers, specialty healthcare providers, medical clinics) (109), and child protective services (105), 4% (45) for victim advocacy services, and 1% (13) for domestic violence services. See **Figure 59**.

**Figure 59. SANE Patient Referrals to Other Services, 2013**



When examined by patient age, significantly more child patients (ages 12 and under) were referred to law enforcement (50%), than adolescent patients (ages 13-17) (24%), or adult patients (ages 18 and older) (18%). Similarly, significantly more child patients were referred to CYFD (34%), than adolescent patients (8%). Additionally, more child patients were referred to rape crises centers (40%), than adolescent patients (34%) and adult patients (28%); and more child patients were referred to crime victims' reparation (36%), than adolescent (18%) or adult (15%) patients. Conversely, more adult (63%) and adolescent SANE patients (55%) were referred for SANE follow-up services, than child patients (37%). See **Figure 60**.


**Figure 60. SANE Patient Referrals to Other Services, by Patient Age, 2013**



## V. District Courts

### A. New Sexual Assault Charges and Cases Filed in 2013

There were a total of 3,035 sexual assault charges filed in 679 new cases of sexual assault in New Mexico District Courts, in 2013. For a list of district courts, see **Appendix L**. Criminal sexual penetration comprised most, 43% (1,318), of the charges: 11% (324) adults, ages >17; 5% (155) minors, ages 13-17; 27% (823) children, ages <13; and <1% (16) incest (age undocumented). Criminal sexual contact of a minor comprised 28% (842) of sexual assault charges filed, followed by sexual exploitation of children, 21% (632). See **Figure 61**.



Most (42%) new sexual assault charges were filed in Bernalillo County. Dona Ana County comprised 10% of sexual assault charges filed, followed by Tarrant County (8%). See **Table 9** for the number of new sexual assault charges filed for each county.

## **B. Sexual Assault Charges and Cases Disposed in 2013**

### **1. Sexual Assault Charges Disposed**

There were 2,589 sexual assault charges disposed in 614 cases of sexual assault. Of the disposed sexual assault charges in 2013, most (39%, or 1,012) were criminal sexual penetration, followed by criminal sexual contact of a minor, 26% (672), and sexual exploitation of children, 24% (644). See **Figure 62** for the proportion of each type of sexual assault crime disposed in 2013. Of the 1,102 criminal sexual penetration charges, 16% (418) were against adults, ages >17, 17% (441) against children ages <13, 5% (135) against minors ages 13-17, and 1% (18) were incest charges which did not document the age of the victims.

Over one-third (41%) of all sexual assault charges were disposed in Bernalillo County. Dona Ana County comprised 15% of disposed sexual assault charges, followed by Otero County (128) and Curry County (126) with 5%, respectively. See **Table 10**.


Of the 2,589 sexual assault charges disposed, 67% (1,723) were dismissed, 3% (71) were acquitted, and 26% (682) obtained a guilty plea or conviction. The remaining 4% (113) were charges with “other” dispositions such as conditional discharges, remands, or consent decrees which demonstrated prosecution proceedings that did not result in a dismissal, conviction, or acquittal.

There were 88 unique charges comprising 16 unique categories of sexual assault crimes among the 614 disposed sexual assault cases in 2013. Dispositions (dismissals, acquittals, convictions) were examined by type of sexual assault charge. Among charge types with at least 10 charges disposed, CSP-incest was the charge with the greatest proportion of dismissals, 94% (17), followed by sexual exploitation of children, 76% (481), criminal sexual penetration of adults, 72% (299), aggravated indecent exposure, 69% (9), criminal sexual penetration of a child (290) and criminal sexual penetration of a minor (90), with 67% respectively. **Figure 63** illustrates the proportion of each charge that was dismissed.


Similarly, when guilty pleas/convictions were examined by type of sexual assault charge, among charge types with at least 10 charges disposed, contributing to the delinquency of a minor (in association with at least one other sex crime) (45), and enticement of a child (6) were the charges with the greatest proportion of convictions, 38% respectively, followed by promoting prostitution, 35% (6), and criminal sexual contact of a minor (224) and criminal sexual contact (21), with 33% respectively. **Figure 64** illustrates the proportion of each charge that obtained a guilty plea or conviction.

When acquittals were examined by type of sexual assault charge among charge types with at least 10 charges disposed, criminal sexual penetration of adults (23), enticement of a child (1) and promoting prostitution (1) were the charges with the greatest proportion of acquittals, 6% respectively, followed by criminal sexual contact of a minor (28) and criminal sexual penetration of a child (17), with 4% respectively. **Figure 65** illustrates the proportion of each charge that obtained an acquittal.


**Figure 62. Percent Sexual Assault Charges Disposed in District Courts, 2013**




**Figure 63. Percent Dismissals for Each Type of Disposed Sexual Assault Charge in District Courts, 2013**



**Figure 64. Percent Convictions or Guilty Pleas for Each Type of Disposed Charge in District Courts, 2013**







Dispositions of sexual assault charges were examined by district court. When dismissals were examined by district court (among courts with 10 or more sexual assault charges), Estancia District Court had the greatest proportion of dismissals (96%), followed by Raton District Court (92%), Grants District Court (84%), and Silver City and Socorro District Courts with 80%, respectively. Conversely, Deming District Court had the fewest sexual assault charges dismissed (8%), followed by Lovington District Court (10%) and Las Vegas District Court (25%). See **Table 11** for the proportion of sexual assault charges dismissed for all district courts.

When guilty pleas/convictions were examined by district court (among courts with 10 or more sexual assault charges), Deming District Court had the greatest proportion of convictions (90%), followed by Lovington (86%) and Taos District Courts (56%). Conversely, Silver City, Santa Rosa, Raton, and Los Alamos District Courts had the fewest convictions of sexual assault charges (0%, respectively). See **Table 12** for the proportion of sexual assault charges that obtained a guilty plea/conviction for all district courts. For the disposition outcomes on specific sexual assault charges for each district court, see **Tables 13 - 42**.

## 2. Sexual Assault Cases Disposed


Of the 614 cases of sexual assault disposed in district courts in 2013, 278 (45%) obtained a guilty plea/conviction, 22 (4%) obtained an acquittal, 268 (44%) were dismissed, and 46 (7%) had prosecution proceedings that resulted in other dispositions (conditional discharges, remands, and consent decrees). **Table 43** illustrates the number of cases dismissed, convicted, and acquitted for each district court.

An examination of dismissed cases by district court (among courts with 10 or more sexual assault cases) shows that Tierra Amarilla District Court had the highest dismissal rate of their disposed sexual assault

cases (60%), followed by Albuquerque District Court (57%) and Carrizozo, Clayton, Estancia and Gallup District Courts (50%, respectively). See **Table 44**.

Similarly, an examination of cases that obtained a guilty plea/conviction by district court (among courts with 10 or more sexual assault cases) shows that Loving District Court had the highest conviction rate of their disposed sexual assault cases (84%), followed by Alamogordo District Court (63%), Clovis District Court (57%) and Santa Fe District Court (56%). Conversely, Albuquerque District Court had the fewest sexual assault cases with a conviction (34%), followed by Tierra Amarilla, Roswell, and Las Vegas District Courts (40%, respectively). See **Table 45**.

Of the 278 sexual assault cases that resulted in a guilty plea or conviction, 232 (83%) received a jail and/or probation sentence: 33% (92) received a jail sentence with no probation, 9% (26) received probation with no jail, and 41% (114) received a jail and probation sentence. Criminal sexual penetration of a child, received the longest average jail sentence (12.1 years), followed by criminal sexual penetration of an adult (7.7 years), and criminal sexual contact of a minor (6.6 years). See **Figure 66** for the average length of sentence for each type of sexual assault charge disposed in 2013.



### **III. SECTION TWO: IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS**

Findings from the SVV on the rate of rape in the previous 12 months would estimate the number of reported and unreported rapes among adults in New Mexico in 2013 to be 6,366. This is thirteen (12.7) times the number of adult rapes actually reported to law enforcement in the same year, 499. This suggests greater outreach is needed to identify rape victims and refer them to appropriate services.

The rape of children and adolescents in New Mexico must be a primary focus of sexual assault prevention, identification, investigation, and prosecution efforts. Findings from the SVV, law enforcement, service providers, and SANE Programs demonstrate that victims of sex crimes are overwhelmingly female; and a significant proportion of males and females are victimized by age 12. When one considers that parents and step-parents, and other family members are responsible for much of this abuse of males and females, it is imperative that parents, guardians, and extended family be targeted for prevention education and outreach to compliment the training of other professionals (teachers, clergy, law enforcement, prosecutors, and judges) who must respond to a suspected sexual assault of a child or a child's disclosure.

The negative effects of sexual violation during childhood cannot be overstated. To be sure, sexual assault during childhood is a precursor to experiencing a sexual assault in the future. Half (57%) of all those who sought assistance for a sexual assault in the year 2013, had experienced a prior sexual assault. Further, while sexual abuse prevention instruction is recommended for students throughout elementary school, when developmentally appropriate, a necessary component of such education must address the reality that children who are sexually abused are at greater risk of becoming pregnant as a teen, than children who are not sexually abused. Education on self-esteem, self-respect, components for healthy relationships, and normal sexual developmental must be addressed to reduce the likelihood of early pregnancy among sexually violated children.

Ten percent of sexual assault survivors who were offended by a stranger, sought therapeutic services compared to 19% of stranger-perpetrated rape survivors that reported to SANE programs, and 24% of stranger-perpetrated rape survivors who reported to law enforcement. These findings suggest that sexual assault victims who are victimized by a stranger are more likely to report to law enforcement and seek medical services and forensic documentation of their victimizations; and that victims who are victimized by a relative are less likely to seek medical services and forensic documentation regarding their victimization. By extension, this means that successful prosecution of sexual assaults perpetrated by family members is less likely, and victims of these sex crimes are less likely to access needed services and protections. Since family members comprise a significant number of all perpetrators of reported sexual assaults in our state, it is imperative to provide education and outreach in schools and communities to inform those at risk about how and where to disclose and services available to them.

Sexual victimizations of adolescents and adults more often involved a gun, knife, and intentional drugging than child victimizations. Adolescents and young adults are vulnerable to date rape and rape by new and/or social acquaintances. Further, alcohol and/or drug use is associated with a greater vulnerability to stranger rape, multiple-offender rape, and the contraction of a sexually transmitted disease. These findings have implications for personal safety instruction and alcohol and drug prevention education programs for high school students.

In 2013, over one-third (37%) of victims who sought assistance for a sexual assault had some type of disability before the assault. Most of these victims (71%) were mentally/emotionally disabled. This speaks to the need for education programs to promote greater awareness among families and communities regarding the vulnerability of their residents with disabilities to being sexually assaulted; and the need for sexuality education and personal safety for individuals with disabilities.

Only one-third (33%) of sex crimes that came to the attention of service providers were reported to law enforcement. Additionally, only 16% of adult victims, 15% of adolescent victims, and 9% of child victims in the SVV reported their victimizations to law enforcement. Further, the SVV found that females report to law enforcement (19%) three times the rate of males (6%). There are several implications: 1) training for healthcare providers to effectively respond to patient disclosures of sexual assault; 2) training of law enforcement officers to respond with sensitivity to the needs of sexual assault victims and initiate advocacy for the victim; and 3) accessible legal advocacy to assist victims through the legal process.

Survivors with a history of domestic violence were five times more likely to be sexually assaulted by someone with a history of domestic violence than survivors who were not exposed to domestic violence in their past. Experiencing domestic violence as a child increases one's vulnerability to abuse and sexual assault as an adult. This finding implies that greater efforts should be made by those in law enforcement and in collaboration with those in the helping professions to identify children from violent homes and provide appropriate counseling services.

Law enforcement reported that 24% of criminal sexual penetration cases and 28% of non-penetration sex crimes involved injury to the victim. Conversely, SANE practitioners found that 75% of their sexual assault patients incurred one or more injuries during their assault. The reasons for the great disparity in injury reporting between law enforcement and SANE practitioners can be explained in part, by the fact that SANE practitioners are specifically trained to identify and document sexual assault injuries; and beyond observable injuries to the head/neck or extremities of the victim, law enforcement officers are not likely to detect injury. Secondly, sexual assault victims who believe they are injured may be more likely to seek SANE services than sexual assault victims who do not believe they are injured. Therefore, SANE Programs would naturally have a higher rate of victims who experienced injury. All this said, there may be an implication for officer training regarding victim injury in sexual assaults and a more accurate way to report injury on law enforcement offense incident reports.

Most survivors of sexual assault seek treatment within the first year of the assault. However, many survivors delay seeking treatment for many years (the average delay for females and males is 13.4 years and 6.5 years, respectively). Most survivors sought treatment because they had mental health problems (69%), or because they were encouraged to do so by others (55%). These findings have implications for greater outreach, community training, and the training of professionals to understand the prevalence of mental health concerns among sexual assault survivors, and the power and importance of seizing all opportunities to encourage survivors to get help.

Almost two-thirds (67%) of sexual assault charges disposed in statewide district courts were dismissed in 2013 which resulted in the dismissal of 44% of sexual assault cases; and these percentages do not include cases bound over/transferred, conditional discharges, remands, or other dispositions that resulted from some prosecution actions. As 72% - 94% of the dismissed charges include serious sexual assault (criminal sexual penetration-incest, 94%; criminal sexual penetration of adults, 72%), and/or sexual assault against minors and children (criminal sexual penetration of a minor, 67%, and criminal sexual penetration of a child, 67%), greater oversight is warranted to: 1) identify the reasons for the dismissals of these charges (especially those perpetrated against children) at the prosecution and judicial levels; and 2) implement steps necessary to address identified problem areas.

# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO XII:**

**An Analysis of 2013 Data from The New Mexico  
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

*TABLES*

Table 1. Law Enforcement-Reported Sex Crimes by Agency, 2013

Law Enforcement Agency	CSP* Reports	CSC**	CSC** of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation of Children	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Human Trafficking	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes
Alamogordo Department of Public Safety	8	0	5	0	1	1	0	0	0		15
Albuquerque Police Department	497	134	400		247					595	1,873
Angel Fire Police Department	0			1							1
Anthony Police Department	4	6	3	0	3	0	0	0	0		16
Artesia Police Department	7	1	7	2							17
Bayard Police Department	0		2								2
Belen Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	60	15	44		2					127	248
Bernalillo Police Department	9	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0		15
Bloomfield Police Department	8	11	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		20
Bosque Farms Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		1
Carlsbad Police Department	7	0	19								26
Carrizozo Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Catron County Sheriff's Department	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		6

<b>Law Enforcement Agency</b>	<b>CSP* Reports</b>	<b>CSC**</b>	<b>CSC** of a Minor</b>	<b>Indecent Exposure</b>	<b>Sexual Exploitation of Children</b>	<b>Enticement of Child</b>	<b>Prostitution</b>	<b>Human Trafficking</b>	<b>Child Solicitation by Electronic Device</b>	<b>Kidnapping</b>	<b>Total Sex Crimes</b>
Chaves County Sheriff's Department	9	3	4	3	0	1	0	0	0		20
Cibola County Sheriff's Department	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		7
Cimarron Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Clayton Police Department	2	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		11
Clovis Police Department	35	9	28	5	1	1	0	0	2		81
Colfax County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Corrales Police Department	0										0
Cuba Police Department	2		2								4
Curry County Sheriff's Office	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0		6
DeBaca County Sheriff's Office	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Dexter Police Department	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		1
Dona Ana County Sheriff's Department	122	46	71	4	0	3	0	0	0		246
Eddy County Sheriff's Office	8	9	10	0	1	1	0	0	0		29
Espanola Police Department	4	6	5	3	3	0	4	0	1		26
Estancia Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0

<b>Law Enforcement Agency</b>	<b>CSP* Reports</b>	<b>CSC**</b>	<b>CSC** of a Minor</b>	<b>Indecent Exposure</b>	<b>Sexual Exploitation of Children</b>	<b>Enticement of Child</b>	<b>Prostitution</b>	<b>Human Trafficking</b>	<b>Child Solicitation by Electronic Device</b>	<b>Kidnapping</b>	<b>Total Sex Crimes</b>
Eunice Police Department	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1		3
Farmington Police Department	34	22	8	0	7	3	0	0	0		74
Gallup Police Department	37	3	24	8	1	0	0	0	0		73
Grant County Sheriff's Department	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		9
Grants Police Department	5		1								6
Guadalupe County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Hatch Police Department	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1
Hidalgo County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Hobbs Police Department	23	3	9	1	2	3	0	0	0		41
Hurley Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Jal Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Laguna Police Department	4	6									10
Las Cruces Police Department	185	11	65	24	12	2	0	0	2		301
Las Vegas Police Department	13	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		20
Lea County Sheriff's Department	12	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	0		17



<b>Law Enforcement Agency</b>	<b>CSP* Reports</b>	<b>CSC**</b>	<b>CSC** of a Minor</b>	<b>Indecent Exposure</b>	<b>Sexual Exploitation of Children</b>	<b>Enticement of Child</b>	<b>Prostitution</b>	<b>Human Trafficking</b>	<b>Child Solicitation by Electronic Device</b>	<b>Kidnapping</b>	<b>Total Sex Crimes</b>
Logan Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0
Lordsburg Police Department	0		2								2
Lovington Police Department	9		3	1	1						14
Luna County Sheriff's Office	1										1
McKinley County Sheriff's Office	9	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0		25
Mora County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moriarty Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Peralta, Village of	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1
Pojoaque Tribal Police Department	3	1									4
Portales Police Department	10	1	17	1	1	0	0	0	1		31
Quay County Sheriff's Office	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Questa Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Ramah Navajo Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0
Raton Police Department	2	0	3		1						6
Red River Marshal's Office	0										0
Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Department	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		8

<b>Law Enforcement Agency</b>	<b>CSP* Reports</b>	<b>CSC**</b>	<b>CSC** of a Minor</b>	<b>Indecent Exposure</b>	<b>Sexual Exploitation of Children</b>	<b>Enticement of Child</b>	<b>Prostitution</b>	<b>Human Trafficking</b>	<b>Child Solicitation by Electronic Device</b>	<b>Kidnapping</b>	<b>Total Sex Crimes</b>
Roswell Police Department	34	2	33	1	0	4	1	0	4		79
Ruidoso Downs Police Department	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		6
Ruidoso Police Department	4	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	2		11
San Juan County Sheriff's Office	48	15	18	4	6	0	2	0	2		95
Sandoval County Sheriff's Office	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1		8
Santa Clara Police Department	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		1
Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department	24		11								35
Santa Fe Police Department	45	4	17								66
Santa Rosa Police Department	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0		3
Sierra County Sheriff's Office	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0		4
Silver City Police Department	8	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0		16
Socorro Police Department	0										0
State Police Alamogordo	2	0	0	0	0	2					4
State Police Albuquerque	25	10	17	1	1	44					98
State Police Clovis	2	0	1	0	0	3					6
State Police Deming	4	1	2	1	0	8					16

<b>Law Enforcement Agency</b>	<b>CSP* Reports</b>	<b>CSC**</b>	<b>CSC** of a Minor</b>	<b>Indecent Exposure</b>	<b>Sexual Exploitation of Children</b>	<b>Enticement of Child</b>	<b>Prostitution</b>	<b>Human Trafficking</b>	<b>Child Solicitation by Electronic Device</b>	<b>Kidnapping</b>	<b>Total Sex Crimes</b>
State Police Espanola	13	6	6	3	0	18					46
State Police Farmington	1	1	4	0	0	4					10
State Police Gallup	0	0	1	1	0	1					3
State Police Grants	3	1	2	0	0	6					12
State Police Hobbs	0	0	0	0	0	0					0
State Police Las Cruces	12	5	5	0	0	17					39
State Police Las Vegas	6	2	4	3	0	13					28
State Police Moriarty	1	0	0	0	0	1					2
State Police Raton	1	1	2	0	0	3					7
State Police Roswell	3	3	0	0	0	6					12
State Police Santa Fe	13	2	6	1	0	20					42
State Police Santa Rosa	1	0	0	0	1	1					3
State Police Socorro	4	0	1	0	0	4					9
State Police Taos	1	2	6	0	0	7					16
State Police Tucumcari	0	0	2	0	0	3					5
Taos Police Department	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		6
Taos Pueblo DPS Police Department	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		1

Law Enforcement Agency	CSP* Reports	CSC**	CSC** of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation of Children	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Human Trafficking	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes
Tatum Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Torrance County Sherrifs Department	6	2	3						1		12
Truth or Consequences Police Department	1	1	11	1	0	0	0	0	0		14
Tucumcari Police Department	3	1	1								5
Tularosa Police Department	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		4
Zuni Police Department	16										16
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,445</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>931</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>722</b>	<b>4,058</b>
<b>Percent</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*CSP = Criminal Sexual Penetration

\*\*CSC = Criminal Sexual Contact

Table 2. Law Enforcement-Reported Sex Crimes by County, 2013

County Name	CSP* Reports	CSC**	CSC** of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation of Children	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Human Trafficking	Child Solicitation By Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes
Bernalillo	582	159	461	1	250	44				722	2,219
Catron	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		6
Chaves	46	8	38	4	0	11	1	0	4		112
Cibola	14	10	5	0	0	6	0	0	0		35
Colfax	3	1	5	1	1	3	0	0	0		14
Curry	40	9	32	5	1	4	0	0	2		93
De Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Dona Ana	323	69	144	28	15	22	0	0	2		603
Eddy	22	10	36	2	1	1	0	0	0		72
Grant	15	2	10	1	0	0	0	0	0		28
Guadalupe	1	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	0		6
Hidalgo	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		2
Lea	44	3	17	2	4	4	0	0	1		75
Lincoln	6	2	2	1	1	2	1	0	2		17
Luna	5	1	2	1	0	8					17
McKinley	62	11	33	9	1	1	0	0	0		117
Mora	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otero	14	0	5	0	1	3	0	0	0		23
Quay	3	1	3	0	0	3	0	0	0		10
Rio Arriba	21	15	12	6	3	18	4	0	1		80
Roosevelt	10	1	17	1	1	0	0	0	1		31
San Juan	91	49	30	5	13	7	2	0	2		199
San Miguel	19	8	5	3	0	13	0	0	0		48
Sandoval	17	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	1		27
Santa Fe	85	7	34	1	0	20					147
Sierra	2	1	14	1	0	0	0	0	0		18
Socorro	4	0	1	0	0	4					9

County Name	CSP* Reports	CSC**	CSC** of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation of Children	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Human Trafficking	Child Solicitation By Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes
Taos	4	4	8	0	0	7	0	0	0		23
Torrance	7	2	3	0	0	1	0	0	1		14
Union	2	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		11
Valencia	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		2
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,445</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>931</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>722</b>	<b>4,058</b>
<b>Percent</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*CSP = Criminal Sexual Penetration

\*\*CSC = Criminal Sexual Contact

Table 3. Percent CSP\* Incidents with a Suspect Arrest by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	Total CSP Reports Documenting Suspect Arrest	Total CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest	Percent Incidents with a Suspect Arrest
Alamogordo Department of Public Safety	8	7	88%
Albuquerque Police Department	497	28	6%
Artesia Police Department	5	2	40%
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	60	10	17%
Catron County Sheriff's Department	2	2	100%
Cibola County Sheriff's Department	2	1	50%
Clovis Police Department	28	6	21%
Cuba Police Department	1	1	100%
Curry County Sheriff's Office	2	1	50%
Eddy County Sheriff's Office	7	2	29%
Espanola Police Department	4	1	25%
Farmington Police Department	34	4	12%
Gallup Police Department	37	6	16%
Grant County Sheriff's Department	1	1	100%
Hobbs Police Department	15	2	13%
Laguna Police Department	4	3	75%
Las Cruces Police Department	58	0	0%
Las Vegas Police Department	9	8	89%
Lea County Sheriff's Department	5	1	20%
Lovington Police Department	7	2	29%
Luna County Sheriff's Office	1	1	100%
McKinley County Sheriff's Office	9	2	22%
Portales Police Department	10	1	10%
Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Department	4	3	75%
Ruidoso Police Department	4	0	0%
Sandoval County Sheriff's Office	3	0	0%
Sierra County Sheriff's Office	1	1	100%
Torrance County Sheriff's Department	4	2	50%
Tularosa Police Department	1	1	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>823</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>12%</b>

\*CSP = criminal sexual penetration

Table 4. Percent Sexual Assault Survivors Served by Participating Agencies, 2013

<b>Agency Name</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Number of Survivors Served</b>	<b>Percent of All Survivors Served</b>
Agave Health (formerly Pathways Inc.)	Bernalillo	8	0%
Alternatives to Violence - Clayton	Union	7	0%
Arise Sexual Assault Services (formerly SASENM)	Roosevelt	23	1%
Community Against Violence	Taos	85	5%
Desert View DV & SA Services	San Juan	43	2%
Farmington Community Health Center PMS	San Juan	16	1%
La Frontera (formerly Southwest Counseling Center)	Dona Ana	28	2%
La Pinon Sexual Assault Recovery Services	Dona Ana	375	21%
Mental Health Resources-Clovis	Curry	13	1%
Mental Health Resources-Portales	Roosevelt	1	0%
Mental Health Resources-Tucumcari	Quay	1	0%
New Mexico Asian Family Center	Bernalillo	2	0%
NMBHI-CBS	San Miguel	9	0%
PMS/Santa Fe Community Guidance Center	Santa Fe	214	12%
Rape Crisis Center Central NM	Bernalillo	528	29%
Sexual Assault Services of Northwest New Mexico	San Juan	133	7%
Sierra Vista Counseling Center	Sierra	20	1%
Silver Regional SASS (Grant County)	Grant	66	4%
Silver Regional SASS (Hidalgo County)	Hidalgo	2	0%
Socorro Mental Health	Socorro	6	0%
Solace Crisis Treatment Center	Santa Fe	162	9%
Southern New Mexico Human Development, Inc.	Dona Ana	11	1%
Tewa Women United	Rio Arriba	10	1%
The Counseling Center-Alamogordo	Otero	37	2%
Tri-County Community Services, Inc.-Taos	Taos	2	0%
Valencia Counseling Services, Inc.-Los Lunas	Valencia	11	1%
Valencia Counseling Services-Bernalillo	Sandoval	3	0%
Western NM Counseling-PMS Gallup	McKinley	7	0%
Western NM Counseling-PMS Thoreau	McKinley	2	0%
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,829</b>	<b>100%</b>



Table 5. Percent Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County, 2013

<b>County</b>	<b>Number of Survivors Served</b>	<b>Percent of All Survivors Served</b>
Bernalillo	538	29%
Curry	13	1%
Dona Ana	414	23%
Grant	66	4%
Hidalgo	2	0%
McKinley	9	0%
Otero	37	2%
Quay	1	0%
Rio Arriba	10	1%
Roosevelt	24	1%
San Juan	192	11%
San Miguel	9	0%
Sandoval	3	0%
Santa Fe	376	21%
Sierra	20	1%
Socorro	6	0%
Taos	87	5%
Union	7	0%
Valencia	11	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,829</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 6. Number of Sex Crimes Survivors Served by Rape Crises/Mental Health Centers and Number of Sex Crimes Victims Reported to Law Enforcement by County, 2013

<b>County</b>	<b>Number of Victims Identified by Law Enforcement</b>	<b>Number of Survivors Served</b>
Bernalillo	2,364	538
Catron	6	NS
Chaves	112	NS
Cibola	37	NS
Colfax	17	NS
Curry	96	14
De Baca	0	NS
Dona Ana	603	414
Eddy	72	NS
Grant	28	66
Guadalupe	6	NS
Hidalgo	2	2
Lea	75	NS
Lincoln	17	NS
Luna	17	NS
McKinley	119	9
Mora	0	NS
Otero	25	37
Quay	10	1
Rio Arriba	82	10
Roosevelt	31	26
San Juan	201	193
San Miguel	48	9
Sandoval	27	3
Santa Fe	147	376
Sierra	18	20
Socorro	9	6
Taos	23	87
Torrance	14	NS
Union	11	7
Valencia	3	11
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,220</b>	<b>1,829</b>

NS = No Services

Table 7. Percent Male Victims Served by Service Provider Agency

Agency Name	Number of Sexual Assault Reports Documenting Victim Gender	Number of Male Victims Served	Percent Male Victims Served
Agave Health (formerly Pathways Inc.)	8	4	50%
Alternatives to Violence - Clayton	7	0	0%
Arise Sexual Assault Services	21	5	24%
Community Against Violence	80	7	9%
Desert View DV & SA Services	43	8	19%
Farmington Community Health Center PMS	16	4	25%
La Frontera (formerly Southwest Counseling Center)	28	8	29%
La Pinon Sexual Assault Recovery Services	365	49	13%
Mental Health Resources-Clovis	12	0	0%
Mental Health Resources-Portales	1	0	0%
Mental Health Resources-Tucumcari	1	0	0%
New Mexico Asian Family Center	2	0	0%
NMBHI-CBS	9	3	33%
PMS/Santa Fe Community Guidance Center	213	64	30%
Rape Crisis Center Central NM	512	30	6%
Sexual Assault Services of Northwest New Mexico	129	12	9%
Sierra Vista Counseling Center	20	5	25%
Silver Regional SASS (Grant County)	66	7	11%
Silver Regional SASS (Hidalgo County)	2	0	0%
Socorro Mental Health	6	0	0%
Solace Crisis Treatment Center	162	31	19%
Southern New Mexico Human Development, Inc.	11	3	27%
Tewa Women United	10	0	0%
The Counseling Center-Alamogordo	35	6	17%
Tri-County Community Services, Inc.-Taos	2	0	0%
Valencia Counseling Services, Inc.-Los Lunas	10	0	0%
Valencia Counseling Services-Bernalillo	3	0	0%
Western NM Counseling-PMS Gallup	5	2	40%
Western NM Counseling-PMS Thoreau	2	1	50%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,781</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>14%</b>

Table 8. Percent SANE Patients Served by SANE Program, 2013

<b>Agency Name</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>SANE Sexual Assault Patients</b>	<b>Percent of Total Patients Served</b>
Albuquerque SANE Collaborative	Bernalillo	559	48%
Arise SAS - Clovis (PRMC) SANE Unit	Curry	34	3%
Arise SAS - LEA County Satellite	Lea	40	3%
Arise SAS - QUAY County Satellite	Quay	10	1%
Arise SAS - ROOSEVELT County SANE Project	Roosevelt	60	5%
Artesia Esperanza House SANE Project	Eddy	16	1%
Las Cruces La Pinon SANE Project	Dona Ana	89	8%
Otero/Lincoln Counties SANE Unit (Alamogordo)	Otero	36	3%
Roswell Esperanza House SANE Project	Chaves	29	2%
Santa Fe Christus St. Vincent SANE Program	Santa Fe	143	12%
SASNWNM - Silver City GRMC SANE	San Juan	70	6%
Sexual Assault Services of NW NM (Farmington SANE)	San Juan	73	6%
Taos Holy Cross Hospital SANE Unit	Taos	14	1%
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,173</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 9. Percent of Sexual Assault Charges Filed in District Courts in 2013, by County

County	Sexual Assault Charges Filed	Percent of Total Sexual Assault Charges Filed
Bernalillo	1,266	42%
Catron	5	0%
Chaves	154	5%
Cibola	23	1%
Colfax	22	1%
Curry	121	4%
Dona Ana	293	10%
Eddy	58	2%
Grants	87	3%
Guadalupe	7	0%
Hidalgo	58	2%
Lea	86	3%
Lincoln	15	0%
Los Alamos	3	0%
Luna	24	1%
McKinley	20	1%
Otero	109	4%
Quay	5	0%
Rio Arriba	11	0%
Roosevelt	45	1%
San Juan	114	4%
San Miguel	10	0%
Sandoval	48	2%
Santa Fe	34	1%
Sierra	39	1%
Socorro	7	0%
Taos	6	0%
Torrance	249	8%
Union	7	0%
Valencia	109	4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,035</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 10. Percent Sexual Assault Charges Disposed in District Courts in 2013, by County

<b>County</b>	<b>Sexual Assault Charges Disposed</b>	<b>Percent of Total Sexual Assault Charges Disposed</b>
Bernalillo	1,055	41%
Chaves	57	2%
Cibola	43	2%
Colfax	13	1%
Curry	126	5%
De Baca	5	0%
Dona Ana	400	15%
Eddy	29	1%
Grants	76	3%
Guadalupe	2	0%
Hidalgo	2	0%
Lea	49	2%
Lincoln	9	0%
Los Alamos	4	0%
Luna	51	2%
McKinley	15	1%
Otero	128	5%
Quay	11	0%
Rio Arriba	18	1%
Roosevelt	35	1%
San Juan	116	4%
San Miguel	12	0%
Sandoval	105	4%
Santa Fe	56	2%
Sierra	34	1%
Socorro	5	0%
Taos	18	1%
Torrance	24	1%
Union	8	0%
Valencia	83	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,589</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 11. Percent Sexual Assault Charges Dismissed in 2013, by District Court

<b>Court</b>	<b>Total Sexual Assault Charges</b>	<b>Total Sexual Assault Charges Dismissed</b>	<b>Percent Sexual Assault Charges Dismissed</b>
Alamogordo District Court	128	96	75%
Albuquerque District Court	1,055	816	77%
Aztec/Farmington District Court	116	69	59%
Bernalillo District Court	105	62	59%
Carlsbad District Court	29	11	38%
Carrizozo District Court	9	6	67%
Clayton District Court	8	4	50%
Clovis District Court	126	71	56%
Deming District Court	51	4	8%
Estancia District Court	24	23	96%
Fort Sumner District Court	5	1	20%
Gallup District Court	15	10	67%
Grants District Court	43	36	84%
Las Cruces District Court	400	251	63%
Las Vegas District Court	12	3	25%
Lordsburg District Court	2	1	50%
Los Alamos District Court	4	4	100%
Los Lunas District Court	83	47	57%
Lovington District Court	49	5	10%
Portales District Court	35	19	54%
Raton District Court	13	12	92%
Roswell District Court	57	27	47%
Santa Fe District Court	56	38	68%
Santa Rosa District Court	2		0%
Silver City District Court	76	61	80%
Socorro District Court	5	4	80%
T Or C District Court	34	19	56%
Taos District Court	18	6	33%
Tierra Amarilla District Court	18	11	61%
Tucumcari District Court	11	6	55%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,589</b>	<b>1,723</b>	<b>67%</b>

Table 12. Percent Guilty Pleas/Convictions in 2013, by District Court

<b>Court</b>	<b>Total Sexual Assault Charges</b>	<b>Total Sexual Assault Charges with A Guilty Plea/Conviction</b>	<b>Percent Sexual Assault Charges with A Guilty Plea/Conviction</b>
Deming District Court	64	46	90%
Lovington District Court	53	42	86%
Taos District Court	24	10	56%
Lordsburg District Court	9	1	50%
Carlsbad District Court	27	14	48%
Las Vegas District Court	69	5	42%
Fort Sumner District Court	3	2	40%
Bernalillo District Court	137	41	39%
Tierra Amarilla District Court	15	7	39%
Clovis District Court	360	48	38%
Aztec/Farmington District Court	115	43	37%
Las Cruces District Court	485	137	34%
Los Lunas District Court	49	28	34%
Gallup District Court	52	5	33%
Roswell District Court	111	18	32%
Santa Fe District Court	85	15	27%
Tucumcari District Court	10	3	27%
Alamogordo District Court	70	28	22%
Carrizozo District Court	18	2	22%
Portales District Court	19	7	20%
Socorro District Court	31	1	20%
T Or C District Court	21	6	18%
Albuquerque District Court	1,331	165	16%
Grants District Court	14	6	14%
Clayton District Court	1	1	13%
Estancia District Court	37	1	4%
Los Alamos District Court	11		0%
Raton District Court	43		0%
Santa Rosa District Court	10		0%
Silver City District Court	19		0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,589</b>	<b>682</b>	<b>26%</b>



Table 13. Alamogordo District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Conviction</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	21		8	13	
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	47		2	45	
Criminal Sexual Penetration	16	1	10	5	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	4		2	2	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	35		4	28	3
Sexual Exploitation of Children	5		2	3	

Table 14. Albuquerque District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Accepting Earnings of a Prostitute	4		1	2	1
Aggravated Indecent Exposure	8		1	7	
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	23	1	7	13	2
Criminal Sexual Contact	35		13	17	5
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	257	17	57	176	7
Criminal Sexual Penetration	196	16	23	156	1
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	165	6	18	141	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	6		2	2	2
CSP Incest (Age Undocumented)	2		1	1	
Enticement of a Child	2		2		
Indecent Exposure	8		3	5	
Patronizing Prostitutes	5			5	
Promoting Prostitution	13	1	5	5	2
Prostitution	7		2	4	1
Sexual Exploitation of Children	324		30	282	12

Table 15. Aztec/Farmington District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Accepting Earnings of a Prostitute	4		1	2	1
Aggravated Indecent Exposure	8		1	7	
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	23	1	7	13	2
Criminal Sexual Contact	35		13	17	5
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	257	17	57	176	7
Criminal Sexual Penetration	196	16	23	156	1
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	165	6	18	141	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	6		2	2	2
CSP Incest (Age Undocumented)	2		1	1	
Enticement of a Child	2		2		
Indecent Exposure	8		3	5	

Table 16. Bernalillo District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	18		3	15	
Criminal Sexual Contact	3		1	2	
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	25		10	15	
Criminal Sexual Penetration	13	1		12	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	22		18	4	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	1				1
Enticement of a Child	4		3	1	
Sexual Exploitation of Children	19		6	13	

Table 17. Carlsbad District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Aggravated Indecent Exposure	1		1		
Criminal Sexual Contact	1		1		
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	8		4	4	
Criminal Sexual Penetration	7	1	6		
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	4	1		3	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	4			2	2
Enticement of a Child	1		1		
Sexual Exploitation of Children	1		1		
Voyeurism	2			2	

Table 18. Carrizozo District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	2			1	1
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	2			2	
Criminal Sexual Penetration	3		1	2	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	1			1	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	1		1		

Table 19. Clayton District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Criminal Sexual Contact	2			2	
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	2		1		1
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	2			2	
Enticement of a Child	2				2

Table 20. Clovis District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	3		1	2	
Criminal Sexual Contact	2		2		
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	24		2	21	1
Criminal Sexual Penetration	10		5	5	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	32		3	23	6
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	3		3		
Enticement of a Child	1			1	
Sexual Exploitation of Children	51		32	19	

Table 21. Deming District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	44		44		
Criminal Sexual Penetration	6		2	4	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	1			1	

Table 22. Estancia District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	1			1	
Criminal Sexual Penetration	3			3	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	5		1	4	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	15			15	

Table 23. Fort Sumner District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	1	1			
Criminal Sexual Penetration	1		1		
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	3	1	1	1	

Table 24. Gallup District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	1			1	
Criminal Sexual Contact	1		1		
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	8		4	4	
Criminal Sexual Penetration	3			3	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	1			1	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	1			1	

Table 25. Grants District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	9		1	7	1
Criminal Sexual Penetration	1			1	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	8		1	7	
Sexual Exploitation of Children	25		4	21	

Table 26. Las Cruces District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Accepting Earnings of a Prostitute	1			1	
Aggravated Indecent Exposure	1			1	
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	21		8	11	2
Criminal Sexual Contact	3			3	
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	117	1	45	70	1
Criminal Sexual Penetration	110	2	30	78	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	103		37	62	4
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	24		12	11	1
Enticement of a Child	2			1	1
Promoting Prostitution	3			3	
Sexual Exploitation of Children	14		4	10	
Voyeurism	1		1		

Table 27. Las Vegas District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	1			1	
Criminal Sexual Contact	1				1
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	7	1	3	1	2
Criminal Sexual Penetration	1			1	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	2		2		

Table 28. Lordsburg District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	1		1		
Criminal Sexual Penetration	1			1	

Table 29. Los Alamos District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Criminal Sexual Contact	1			1	
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	1			1	
Criminal Sexual Penetration	2			2	

Table 30. Los Lunas District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Aggravated Indecent Exposure	1			1	
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	8		1	4	3
Criminal Sexual Contact	3			2	1
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	17		8	9	
Criminal Sexual Penetration	8		1	3	4
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	8		6	2	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	6		1	5	
Indecent Exposure	1			1	
Patronizing Prostitutes	1		1		
Sexual Exploitation of Children	30		10	20	

Table 31. Lovington District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	1		1		
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	8		7	1	
Criminal Sexual Penetration	5		4	1	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	8		6	2	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	2		1	1	
Sexual Exploitation of Children	25		23		2

Table 32. Portales District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Criminal Sexual Contact	1			1	
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	9		3		6
Criminal Sexual Penetration	1			1	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	18		3	12	3
Sexual Exploitation of Children	6		1	5	

Table 33. Raton District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	11			10	1
Sexual Exploitation of Children	2			2	

Table 34. Roswell District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	4		2		2
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	18	2	10	6	
Criminal Sexual Penetration	3			3	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	13	6	1	6	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	16		5	11	
Enticement of a Child	1	1			
Sexual Exploitation of Children	2			1	1

Table 35. Santa Fe District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Accepting Earnings of a Prostitute	1		1		
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	10		9	1	
Criminal Sexual Contact	3			3	
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	8		2	6	
Criminal Sexual Penetration	3			3	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	6		1	5	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	5			3	2
Enticement of a Child	1			1	
Indecent Exposure	3		1	1	1
Promoting Prostitution	1		1		
Prostitution	1			1	
Sexual Exploitation of Children	14			14	

Table 36. Santa Rosa District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	2			2	

Table 37. Silver City District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Criminal Sexual Penetration	1				1
Sexual Exploitation of Children	75			61	14

Table 38. Socorro District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Criminal Sexual Contact	1			1	
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	2		1	1	
Indecent Exposure	1			1	
Sexual Exploitation of Children	1			1	

Table 39. T or C District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	11	6	1	2	2
Criminal Sexual Penetration	2		1	1	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	3	1		2	
Sexual Exploitation of Children	18		4	14	

Table 40. Taos District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	1		1		
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	11		7	3	1
Criminal Sexual Penetration	4		1	2	1
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	1		1		
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	1			1	

Table 41. Tierra Amarilla District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

<b>Offense</b>	<b>Total Charges</b>	<b>Acquitted</b>	<b>Convictions</b>	<b>Dismissed</b>	<b>Other Disposition</b>
Contributing to Delinquency of a Minor	2		2		
Criminal Sexual Contact	1		1		
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	2			2	
Criminal Sexual Penetration	7		3	4	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	3			3	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Minor	2		1	1	
Sexual Exploitation of Children	1			1	



Table 42. Tucumcari District Court Dispositions of Sexual Assault Charges

Offense	Total Charges	Acquitted	Convictions	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Criminal Sexual Contact of a Minor	4		3	1	
Criminal Sexual Penetration of a Child	3			3	
Enticement of a Child	2			2	
Indecent Exposure	2				2

Table 43. Number of Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed, Convicted, And Acquitted for Each District Court, 2013

Court	Total	Conviction	Acquitted	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Alamogordo District Court	16	10	1	3	2
Albuquerque District Court	237	81	6	135	15
Aztec/Farmington District Court	38	21	2	14	1
Bernalillo District Court	19	9	1	7	2
Carlsbad District Court	13	7	2	3	1
Carrizozo District Court	6	2	0	3	1
Clayton District Court	4	1	0	2	1
Clovis District Court	21	12	0	7	2
Deming District Court	8	6	0	1	1
Estancia District Court	2	1	0	1	0
Fort Sumner District Court	3	2	1	0	0
Gallup District Court	12	5	0	6	1
Grants District Court	4	2	0	1	1
Las Cruces District Court	90	49	1	36	4
Las Vegas District Court	10	4	1	2	3
Lordsburg District Court	1	1	0	0	0
Los Alamos District Court	2	0	0	2	0
Los Lunas District Court	17	8	0	7	2
Lovington District Court	19	16	0	2	1
Portales District Court	8	6	0	1	1
Raton District Court	4	0	0	3	1
Roswell District Court	25	10	6	9	0
Santa Fe District Court	16	9	0	7	0
Santa Rosa District Court	1	0	0	0	1
Silver City District Court	2	0	0	1	1
Socorro District Court	4	1	0	3	0
T Or C District Court	6	3	1	1	1
Taos District Court	11	6	0	3	2
Tierra Amarilla District Court	10	4	0	6	0
Tucumcari District Court	5	2	0	2	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>46</b>

Table 44. Disposed District Court Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed, by District Court, 2013

<b>Court</b>	<b>Total Sexual Assault Cases</b>	<b>Total Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed</b>	<b>Percent Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed</b>
Alamogordo District Court	16	3	19%
Albuquerque District Court	237	135	57%
Aztec/Farmington District Court	38	14	37%
Bernalillo District Court	19	7	37%
Carlsbad District Court	13	3	23%
Carrizozo District Court	6	3	50%
Clayton District Court	4	2	50%
Clovis District Court	21	7	33%
Deming District Court	8	1	13%
Estancia District Court	2	1	50%
Fort Sumner District Court	3	0	0%
Gallup District Court	12	6	50%
Grants District Court	4	1	25%
Las Cruces District Court	90	36	40%
Las Vegas District Court	10	2	20%
Lordsburg District Court	1	0	0%
Los Alamos District Court	2	2	100%
Los Lunas District Court	17	7	41%
Lovington District Court	19	2	11%
Portales District Court	8	1	13%
Raton District Court	4	3	75%
Roswell District Court	25	9	36%
Santa Fe District Court	16	7	44%
Santa Rosa District Court	1	0	0%
Silver City District Court	2	1	50%
Socorro District Court	4	3	75%
T Or C District Court	6	1	17%
Taos District Court	11	3	27%
Tierra Amarilla District Court	10	6	60%
Tucumcari District Court	5	2	40%
<b>Total</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>44%</b>

Table 45. Disposed District Court Sexual Assault Cases with A Guilty Plea/Conviction, 2013

<b>Court</b>	<b>Total Sexual Assault Cases</b>	<b>Cases with A Guilty Plea/Conviction</b>	<b>Percent Cases with A Guilty Plea/Conviction</b>
Alamogordo District Court	16	10	63%
Albuquerque District Court	237	81	34%
Aztec/Farmington District Court	38	21	55%
Bernalillo District Court	19	9	47%
Carlsbad District Court	13	7	54%
Carrizozo District Court	6	2	33%
Clayton District Court	4	1	25%
Clovis District Court	21	12	57%
Deming District Court	8	6	75%
Estancia District Court	2	1	50%
Fort Sumner District Court	3	2	67%
Gallup District Court	12	5	42%
Grants District Court	4	2	50%
Las Cruces District Court	90	49	54%
Las Vegas District Court	10	4	40%
Lordsburg District Court	1	1	100%
Los Alamos District Court	2	0	0%
Los Lunas District Court	17	8	47%
Lovington District Court	19	16	84%
Portales District Court	8	6	75%
Raton District Court	4	0	0%
Roswell District Court	25	10	40%
Santa Fe District Court	16	9	56%
Santa Rosa District Court	1	0	0%
Silver City District Court	2	0	0%
Socorro District Court	4	1	25%
T Or C District Court	6	3	50%
Taos District Court	11	6	55%
Tierra Amarilla District Court	10	4	40%
Tucumcari District Court	5	2	40%
<b>Total</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>45%</b>

# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO XII:**

**An Analysis of 2013 Data from The New Mexico  
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

*APPENDICES*

# Appendix A. Survey of Violence Victimization Summary

## I. INTRODUCTION

Nationally, victimization surveys such as the National Crime Victims Survey and the National Violence Against Women Survey demonstrate more comprehensive reporting of crimes, and in particular, interpersonal violence crimes than those which are reported to law enforcement. While New Mexico law enforcement agencies have reported their incidents of domestic violence, intimate partner violence, stalking, and sexual assault to the Central Repository, determining the rates of these crimes that were *never* reported to law enforcement was not possible. The long term goal to rectify this problem and make possible more accurate estimates of the prevalence and incidence of interpersonal violence in New Mexico was to conduct our own statewide victimization survey. To this end, in 2005 the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico (SVV) was conducted, asking 2000 adult men and 2000 adult women about their experiences of violence. While all data captured from this survey will be analyzed over the course of time, several discouraging realities are clear from the preliminary findings of this survey:

1. The rate of sexual assault (rape and attempted rape) in New Mexico is staggering; and higher than national comparable rates found in the National Violence Against Women Survey (NVAWS), the most comprehensive victimization survey to date;
2. Rape is significantly under-reported to law enforcement;
3. There are significantly more victims of sexual assault than ever identified by law enforcement or service providers statewide, especially in the case of incapacitated rape;
4. There are significant co-morbidity and healthcare utilization issues associated with interpersonal violence; and
5. There are significant differences between males and females in the experience and outcomes of sexual assault crimes.

This summary discusses selected findings regarding rape among males and females from the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico, together with selected findings on child sexual abuse derived from statewide law enforcement and service provider data submitted to the New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository in 2005.

## II. RAPE AMONG MALES

### A. Prevalence and Incidence

In the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico, 5% or 1 in 20 adult males (18 and older) reported being the victim of rape or attempted rape in their lifetime compared to 3% nationally (NVAWS); 4% or 1 in 25 were the victim of a *completed* rape. In 2005, there were 2,773 male victims of rape or attempted rape, 1,162 of these a completed rape.

### B. The Circumstances of Male Rape

While female and male adolescents and young adults have similar rates of rape, over half (53%) of male rape victims were raped as children (ages <13) compared to 44% of females who were raped as children.

Although fewer males (1 in 40) are the victims of incapacitated rape (unwanted, non-consensual sex while very high, drunk or passed out) compared to females (1 in 12), males (43%) are significantly more likely than females (29%) to experience their incapacitated rapes as an adult.

The rape experience for males includes a greater likelihood of *being hit with an object* (10%) and having a *knife or other weapon used on them* (7%) compared to females (7% and 4%, respectively). Additionally, more *male* victims of rape use *alcohol* (28%), *drugs* (2%) or *both* (4%) at the time of the incident than *female* rape victims (18%, 1% and 2%, respectively).

When it comes to rape, males like females, experience rape most often (85%) at the hands of a male assailant.

### **C. Male Rape Outcomes**

Fewer male rape victims reported being injured during their rape incidents (16%) compared to female rape victims (27%), and just under one-third (30%) of these obtained medical care for their injuries. However, male victims of rape are two times more likely than male *non-victims* to suffer from a *serious disabling injury* in their lives and seven times more likely to suffer *one or more chronic mental health conditions*.

Only 6% of male rape victims reported their rapes to police. Three quarters (74%) of male rape victims reported being *dissatisfied* with police response to their reported rapes, two-thirds of these, *very dissatisfied*. Most male rape victims do not report to police because they consider the rape to be *too minor or not a crime* (19%); they *fear the offender* (13%); or will *handle the situation themselves* (12%). Six times more males than females report that they *want the police to take their complaint more seriously, believe them and not laugh at them*.

Male rape victims who report their rape to police are significantly more likely (47%) than female rape victims (37%) to have an officer *arrest the offender or take him/her into custody*. However, since only 6% of males report their victimization to police, this means that only 3% of all cases of male rape result in a suspect arrest. To make matters worse, male victims of rape are only half as likely as female victims to be *referred to services*, such as victim assistance, a medical clinic, legal aid or a shelter.

Only 3% of male rape victims obtained a restraining order against their offender and half (52%) of these were violated by the offender. A mere 1% of male rape victims filed criminal charges against their offender. This low number of criminal charges filed by male rape victims prohibited the examination of gender differences in rape case dispositions.

## **III. RAPE AMONG FEMALES**

### **A. Prevalence and Incidence**

As reported by adults 18 and older in the Survey of Violence Victimization, 24% or 1 in 4 females are the victims of rape or attempted rape sometime in their lifetime compared to 18% (17.6%) nationally (NVAWS); 21% or 1 in 5 females the victim of a *completed* rape. In 2005,

there were 5,224 female victims of rape and attempted rape, 4,158 of these, the victims of a completed rape.

## **B. The Circumstances of Female Rape**

Forty-four percent of female rape victims were raped as children (ages <13), one-quarter (24%) as adolescents (ages 13-18) and one-third (34%) as adults. Eighty-six percent of female rape victims were raped by a male.

More female victims of rape, than males, reported being physically attacked: *pushed, grabbed or shoved* (44.5% vs. 37%); had their *hair pulled* (16% vs. 11%); were *choked or had an attempted drowning* (10% vs. 6%) and were *beat up* (14% vs. 9%). Similarly, twice as many female rape victims (32%) than male victims (16%) reported that their offenders *threatened to harm or kill them or someone close to them*; and nearly twice as many female rape victims (40%) than male victims (22%), *believed* that they or someone close to them would be seriously harmed or killed by their offenders.

## **C. Female Rape Outcomes**

Slightly over one-quarter (27%) of female rape victims were injured during their rape incidents and one-third (34%) obtained medical care for their injuries. Like male rape victims, female rape victims are two times more likely than female *non-victims* to suffer from a *serious disabling injury* in their lives and six times more likely to suffer from *one or more chronic mental health conditions*.

Although a dismally low rate, female rape victims (19%) are three times more likely to report their incidents to police than male rape victims (6%). Most female rape victims who do not report to police say it is because they *were too young/a child* (17%); *feared the offender/afraid offender would get even* (17%); and *felt shame/embarrassment or thought it was their fault* (15.5%).

Twice as many female rape victims (33%), than male victims (16%) were *referred to services* (victim assistance, medical clinic, legal aid or shelter). Females were also significantly more likely than male rape victims to *have an officer see them in person* (67% vs. 53%) and *be referred to court or a prosecutor's office* (20% vs. 16%). However, female rape victims (13%) were significantly more likely than male rape victims (8%) for *the police to do nothing* in response to a rape report.

Slightly over one-third (37%) of female rape victims' cases that were reported to police resulted in a suspect arrest. Since 19% of female rape victims reported their rape to police, this represents only 7% of all cases of female rape.

About half (49%) of female rape victims reported being *dissatisfied* with police response to their reported rape, over one-third of those, *very dissatisfied*. Most (19%) victims wanted the police to charge/arrest the offender or keep him/her locked up. Others (13%) wanted the police to *take a report, follow through with an investigation and question the offender*.

Three times as many female (11%) as male (3%) rape victims obtained a restraining order; and similar to male victims, about half (49%) were violated by the offender.

Seven percent of female rape victims *filed criminal charges against the offender*. One-quarter (25%) of the rape victims that filed criminal charges reported that their *charges were dropped*; 6% reported that the *offender was acquitted*; 45% reported that the *offender was convicted*; and 11% reported that the *offender entered a guilty plea*. Of those that were convicted or pled guilty, 88% were *sentenced to jail or prison* and the *average sentence* was 62.5 months. However, over half (54%) of the guilty offenders were sentenced to 48 months or less.

#### IV. SELECTED CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE FINDINGS

There were 1,545 victims of sexual assault who sought services from rape crises and mental health centers across New Mexico in 2005. Both, the *gender of the victim* and the *type of sexual offense* were documented in 1,241 of these cases.

There were 91 males and 362 females who were victims of *non-penetration* sex crimes that were reported to rape crisis and mental health centers in 2005. Of these, almost three-quarters (70%) of the males and over half (56%) of the females were children (ages 12 and under).

Similarly, there were 89 males and 699 females who were victims of *rape* that were reported to rape crisis and mental health centers in 2005. Of these, two-thirds (68%) of the males, and one-quarter (25%) of the females were children (ages 12 and under). In a trend analysis from 2002-2005, an average of 41% of the rapes reported to law enforcement were perpetrated upon those 12 and under. Over the same four years, an average of 31% of rapes was perpetrated upon *adults* and an average of 28% of rapes was perpetrated upon *teens* (ages 13-18).

There is a significant difference in ethnicity/race among child rape victims by gender. Over two-thirds (68%) of male rape victims 12 and under were *Hispanic*, followed by 14% *White (non-Hispanic)*, 12% *mixed ethnicity/race*, 5% *Native American*, and 2% *Black*. Among female rape victims 12 and under, 49% were *Hispanic*, 35% *White (non-Hispanic)*, 8% *mixed ethnicity/race*, 5% *Native American*, and 3% *Black*.

Of 172 female rape victims 12 and under who went for help in 2005, *prior sexual abuse* was documented on 121. Of these, 47% (57) were sexually abused prior to the most recent rape. Similarly, of 60 male rape victims 12 and under who went for help in 2005, *prior sexual abuse* was documented on 46. Of these, 43% (20) were sexually abused prior to the most recent rape.

While children 12 and under are the largest group of rape *victims* among those who went for help, adults (age 19 and older) comprised the largest group (73%) of rape *offenders*. Among these rape victims under 13 years old, only 2% were raped by a *stranger*. Of the 98% of child rapes perpetrated by someone known to the child, over three-quarters (77%) were raped by a *family member* and 21% raped by other *known offenders*. *Fathers* comprised the largest group of child rapists (30%), followed by *uncles* (15%), *cousins* (14%), *brothers* (12%) and *step-fathers* (9%). Among known *non-relative* rapists of children under 13 years of age, *friends* comprised the largest group (24%), followed by the *mom's boyfriend* (10%), *babysitters* (8%), *social acquaintances* (8%) and *teachers* (6%).

There is no difference in the rate of *incest* by gender among child rape victims. There were 171 female child rape victims who went for help in 2005 and documented the relationship of the perpetrator. Of these 76% (130) were victims of incest. Similarly, there were 60 male child



rape victims who went for help in 2005 and documented the relationship of the perpetrator. Of these 75% (45) were victims of incest.

While one-third (35%) of male victims of sexual assault go for services within the first year of the assault, an analysis of sex crimes data from 2002 – 2005, found that males wait an average of 12.5 years after their sexual assault before seeking therapeutic services. Similarly, while 46% of female victims go for services within the first year of the sexual assault, females wait an average 9.4 years.

## V. IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS

### A. Implications for Prevention

When only 6% males and 19% females report their rapes to law enforcement, there needs to be a concerted effort to better identify rape victims by focusing on *why* they do not report. There are significant gender differences in why victims do not report. Most males in the statewide survey did not report because they considered the rape to be *too minor or not a crime*, while females *feared their offender or felt shame*. These differences must be taken into consideration for successful prevention education.

If prevention efforts could dramatically reduce the number of females who perpetrate sexual offenses, the *reduction* in the number of victims and incidents of sexual assault would be negligible. All sources of data examined for this report demonstrate the overwhelming reality that males perpetrate rapes: law enforcement incidents (93%); service provider's cases (97%); SANE cases (97%) and survey findings (85%). Even when males are the rape victims, males are also the perpetrators 85% of the time. Until more prevention programs target males as the focus of their interventions, little will be accomplished to reduce the incidence of rape and sex crimes, overall.

Similarly, much prevention is focused on children because children comprise so many of those victimized: 44% of the rape victims identified in the statewide survey; 33% of rape victims identified by law enforcement and 49% of rape victims identified by service providers. However, these same sources of data reveal that rape *offenders* are overwhelmingly *adults* (law enforcement 78%, service providers 84%, and SANE units 84%). Children have little power to counter the sophisticated coercion techniques, verbal threats, physical intimidation and physical force of adult offenders. Therefore, more prevention should be focused on working with *adults*, and especially *male adults*, to stop sexual assault and abuse.

What is it that makes *male Hispanic children* (68%) dramatically more susceptible to rape compared to male children of other races/ethnicities? The prevention implication is unclear but the need to study this issue is most evident.

Who are the primary perpetrators of the sexual abuse of children? They are family members, chiefly their fathers, step-fathers, uncles, cousins and brothers. Prevention programs should be two-fold: 1) prevention aimed at helping a child guard against family members as perpetrators and what to do in the event of sexual abuse by a family member; and 2) prevention aimed at helping the family members examine their own sexual behaviors and boundaries, identify healthy and unhealthy sexual behaviors/practices by relatives (and others that their children may come into contact with), learn how to protect their children from sexual abuse by relatives (and others) and access available resources.

## **B. Implications for Law Enforcement**

The statewide survey identified 5,320 rape victims in 2005. In the same time period, law enforcement reported 1400 rape incidents. Too many victims do not report their offenses. Both male and female rape victims from the statewide survey reported being *dissatisfied*, most *very dissatisfied* with the law enforcement response to their complaint. The most common reason given for their dissatisfaction was that they wanted the police to *charge/arrest the offender* or *keep the offender locked up* (18%) and to *take a report and follow through with an investigation, to question the offender* (13%). When victim dissatisfaction was examined by gender of the victim, there were dramatically more *very dissatisfied* males (66%) compared to females (38%). The implications are unclear. Perhaps this is more a matter of policy change, regarding officer response to alleged rape victims rather than the actions of individual officers. Perhaps it is a matter of officer sensitivity training responding to male complaints of rape. Finally, perhaps there is an implication for the role of victim advocacy and an examination of protocol for referral of rape victims by responding officers to advocacy services.

## **C. Implications for Healthcare**

Slightly over one-quarter of rape victims (29%) are injured during their rape incidents, and approximately one-third of these go for medical treatment. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner units capture information regarding sexual assault patients that present to their facilities and offer forensic evidence collection and sometimes a physical exam. However, no standardized monitoring system currently exists to reliably document the number of rape victims that go to emergency departments or doctor's offices as a result of a sexual assault. Further, twice as many rape victims as non-victims suffer from serious disabling injury and approximately six times as many rape victims as non-victims suffer from one or more chronic mental health conditions. Without identifying these patients, it is impossible to determine healthcare utilization due to sexual assault or to more effectively treat these patients by offering them appropriate referral services. The implication to improve public health is to consider the need for universal screening for lifetime exposure to sexual assault and other forms of violence.

## APPENDIX B. Summary: Sexual Abuse of Children and Youth in New Mexico

### A. Highlights on Child Rape Victimization

▶ In 2013, children (ages <13) comprised 28% of law enforcement-reported sexual assaults. Over the same time period, 20% of the sexual assault victims that sought therapeutic services in New Mexico were victimized as children.

▶ The rate of rape among children and adolescents in New Mexico (66%) found in the *Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico* (SVV) is significantly higher than that found nationally (54%), as reported in the National Violence Against Women Survey (NVAWS).

▶ In 2013, 57% of sexual assault victims that sought therapeutic services experienced a prior sexual assault. Two thirds (68%) of those abused as children were victims of ongoing or serial abuse rather than a single incident of rape.

▶ The SVV found that only 3% of child rape cases are perpetrated by a *stranger*; and *relatives* rape children at almost twice the rate of other *known* offenders. Data from statewide service providers report that the worst offenders by far, are *fathers* (20%); who commit rape at a significantly higher rate than step-fathers (14%), uncles (13%), cousins and brothers (10%, respectively).

▶ Most child rape, (88% [service providers], and 93% [SVV]) is perpetrated by *one* offender, who is *male* (98% [service providers], and 95% [SVV]) and of the same ethnicity as the victim, 82% [service providers], and 86% [SVV]).

▶ Findings from the SVV revealed that 40% of child rape cases involved physical assault of the victim as well, mostly in the form of grabbing and pushing (27.5%) and slapping or hitting (16%). However, 4% respectively, of child rape victims were choked or victims of an attempted drowning, threatened with a gun, and threatened with a knife or other weapon.

▶ The SVV reported that almost one-quarter (22%) of child rape victims were physically injured during their sexual assault, and 4% received medical treatment for their injuries. In 2013, reports from statewide service providers found that 19% of child rape victims were physically injured during their sexual assault and half (57%) sought medical treatment as a result of their injuries.

▶ Of 1,173 sexual assault victims that sought Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) services in 2013, almost one-quarter (21%) were children. One-third (36%) of child SANE patients incurred injuries in conjunction with their sexual assaults. *Vaginal* (61%) and *rectal* (21%) injuries comprised the injuries most incurred by child SANE patients. Indeed more rectal injuries were observed among child victims than among victims of any other age group.

▶ In 2013, law enforcement and service provider data demonstrate that victim vulnerability and access make children prime targets for sexual victimization. As reported by law enforcement, most child rape offenders are adults (78%), and as reported by service providers, most often use *manipulation* (44%), *physical force* (33%) and *verbal threat* (17%) to facilitate the rape of children. The SVV found that over one-third (39.5%) of child rape victims were threatened by the offender that they or someone close to them would be harmed or killed; and nearly half (48%) of the child rape victims believed their offender would carry out their threat. Service provider reports in 2013, found that most often children were victimized in their own homes (20%), the

offender's home (47%) or other residence (7%). Perhaps most egregious in their assault of the vulnerable, is that 9% of the children chosen by their offenders to be victimized were children with a mental, emotional or physical disability.

▶ Nationally, only 16% of rape victims reported their victimization to law enforcement (NVAWS). In New Mexico, 17% of rape victims reported their victimization to statewide law enforcement agencies (SVV). As poor as these reporting rates are, when examined by age of respondent at the time of their victimization, only 9% of those raped as children reported their rape to law enforcement. Perhaps not surprisingly, criminal charges were filed in only 9% of child rape cases.

## **B. Highlights on Adolescent Rape Victimization**

▶ In 2013, one-quarter (28%) of law enforcement-reported and service provider-reported sexual assaults of all types (23%) were perpetrated upon adolescents (ages 13-17). Of the service provider reports, significantly more female victims (23%) than male victims (17%) were victimized as adolescents. In criminal sexual penetration crimes, 24% of females and 16% of males were victimized as adolescents. Similarly, adolescents comprised 15% of SANE patients treated.

▶ Service provider reports in 2013 found that over half (55%) of those sexually abused as adolescents were victims of a prior assault. Of these, 53% were victims of *on-going* abuse. However, when examined by gender, more male adolescents (61%) than female adolescents (46%) experienced on-going abuse.

▶ Service provider reports in 2013 found that physical force (40%) was the type of coercion used in most adolescent rape victimizations, as reported by service providers, followed by manipulation (24%) and verbal threat (21%). Five percent of adolescents were intentionally drugged by their offenders. Similarly, physical force (55%) was the type of coercion reported most among adolescent SANE patients, followed by physical intimidation (31%), person in authority (30%), alcohol/drug use (28%), and verbal threat (18%).

▶ Among SANE patients in 2013, almost two-thirds (64%) of adolescent rape victimizations occurred in a residence, one-quarter respectively of these in the offenders' home (29%) and the victim's home (23%).

▶ According to SANE reports in 2013, 24% of adolescent rape victimizations were perpetrated by strangers. Of the 76% of victimizations by known offenders, 35% were perpetrated by an acquaintance (other than family, an intimate partner or someone else in their household).

▶ Data from the SVV found that two-thirds of adolescent rape victimizations involved physical assault in addition to the sexual assault: over half (57.5%) were grabbed and pushed, approximately one-quarter (23%) were slapped or hit, 15% were beat up, and 12% were choked or victims of an attempted drowning. Additionally, 8% were threatened with a gun and 11% threatened with a knife. In 2013, data from SANE programs found that 2.5% of adolescents were threatened with a gun and 2% threatened with a knife.

## Appendix C: New Mexico Sex Crime Statutes

### Chapter 30

#### Criminal Offenses

#### Article 9: Sexual Offenses

##### 30-9-10. Definitions.

As used in Sections 30-9-10 through 30-9-16 NMSA 1978:

A. "force or coercion" means:

- (1) the use of physical force or physical violence;
- (2) the use of threats to use physical violence or physical force against the victim or another when the victim believes that there is a present ability to execute the threats;
- (3) the use of threats, including threats of physical punishment, kidnapping, extortion or retaliation directed against the victim or another when the victim believes that there is an ability to execute the threats;
- (4) the perpetration of criminal sexual penetration or criminal sexual contact when the perpetrator knows or has reason to know that the victim is unconscious, asleep or otherwise physically helpless or suffers from a mental condition that renders the victim incapable of understanding the nature or consequences of the act; or
- (5) the perpetration of criminal sexual penetration or criminal sexual contact by a psychotherapist on his patient, with or without the patient's consent, during the course of psychotherapy or within a period of one year following the termination of psychotherapy;

Physical or verbal resistance of the victim is not an element of force or coercion.

B. "great mental anguish" means psychological or emotional damage that requires psychiatric or psychological treatment or care, either on an inpatient or outpatient basis, and is characterized by extreme behavioral change or severe physical symptoms;

C. "patient" means a person who seeks or obtains psychotherapy;

D. "personal injury" means bodily injury to a lesser degree than great bodily harm and includes, but is not limited to, disfigurement, mental anguish, chronic or recurrent pain, pregnancy or disease or injury to a sexual or reproductive organ;

E. "position of authority" means that position occupied by a parent, relative, household member, teacher, employer or other person who, by reason of that position, is able to exercise undue influence over a child;

F. "psychotherapist" means a person who is or purports to be a:

- (1) licensed physician who practices psychotherapy;
- (2) licensed psychologist;
- (3) licensed social worker;
- (4) licensed nurse;
- (5) counselor;
- (6) substance abuse counselor;
- (7) psychiatric technician;
- (8) mental health worker;
- (9) marriage and family therapist;
- (10) hypnotherapist; or

(11) minister, priest, rabbi or other similar functionary of a religious organization acting in his role as a pastoral counselor;

- G. "psychotherapy" means professional treatment or assessment of a mental or an emotional illness, symptom or condition; and
- H. "school" means any public or private school, including the New Mexico military institute, the New Mexico school for the visually handicapped, the New Mexico school for the deaf, the New Mexico boys' school, the New Mexico youth diagnostic and development center, the Los Lunas medical center, the Fort Stanton hospital, the Las Vegas medical center and the Carrie Tingley crippled children's hospital, that offers a program of instruction designed to educate a person in a particular place, manner and subject area. "School" does not include a college or university; and
- I. "spouse" means a legal husband or wife, unless the couple is living apart or either husband or wife has filed for separate maintenance or divorce.

**30-9-11. Criminal sexual penetration.**

- A. Criminal sexual penetration is the unlawful and intentional causing of a person to engage in sexual intercourse, cunnilingus, fellatio or anal intercourse or the causing of penetration, to any extent and with any object, of the genital or anal openings of another, whether or not there is any emission.
- B. Criminal sexual penetration does not include medically indicated procedures.
- C. Aggravated criminal sexual penetration consists of all criminal sexual penetration perpetrated on a child under nine years of age with an intent to kill or with a depraved mind regardless of human life. Whoever commits aggravated criminal sexual penetration is guilty of a first degree felony for aggravated criminal sexual penetration.
- D. Criminal sexual penetration in the first degree consists of all sexual penetration perpetrated:
  - (1) on a child under thirteen years of age; or
  - (2) by the use of force or coercion that results in great bodily harm or great mental anguish to the victim.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the first degree is guilty of a first degree felony.

- E. Criminal sexual penetration in the second degree consists of all criminal sexual penetration perpetrated:
  - (1) by the use of force or coercion on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age;
  - (2) on an inmate confined in a correctional facility or jail when the perpetrator is in a position of authority over the inmate;
  - (3) by the use of force or coercion that results in personal injury to the victim;
  - (4) by the use of force or coercion when the perpetrator is aided or abetted by one or more persons;
  - (5) in the commission of any other felony; or
  - (6) when the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the second degree is guilty of a second degree felony.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the second degree when the victim is a child who is thirteen to eighteen years of age is guilty of a second degree felony for a sexual offense against a child and, notwithstanding the provisions of Section 31-18-15 NMSA 1978, shall be sentenced to a minimum term of imprisonment of three years, which shall not be suspended or deferred. The imposition of a minimum, mandatory term of imprisonment pursuant to the provisions of this subsection shall not be interpreted to preclude the imposition of sentencing enhancements pursuant to the provisions of the Criminal Sentencing Act [31-18-12 NMSA 1978].

- F. Criminal sexual penetration in the third degree consists of all criminal sexual penetration perpetrated through the use of force or coercion not otherwise specified in this section.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the third degree is guilty of a third degree felony.

- G. Criminal sexual penetration in the fourth degree consists of all criminal sexual penetration:
- (1) not defined in Subsections D through F of this section perpetrated on a child thirteen to sixteen years of age when the perpetrator is at least eighteen years of age and is at least four years older than and not the spouse of that child; or
  - (2) perpetrated on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when the perpetrator, who is a licensed school employee, an unlicensed school employee, a school contract employee, a school health service provider or a school volunteer, and who is at least eighteen years of age and is at least four years older than the child and not the spouse of that child, learns while performing services in or for a school that the child is a student in a school.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the fourth degree is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

**30-9-12. Criminal sexual contact.**

- A. Criminal sexual contact is the unlawful and intentional touching of or application of force, without consent, to the unclothed intimate parts of another who has reached his eighteenth birthday, or intentionally causing another who has reached his eighteenth birthday to touch one's intimate parts.
- B. Criminal sexual contact does not include touching by a psychotherapist on his patient that is:
- (1) inadvertent;
  - (2) casual social contact not intended to be sexual in nature; or
  - (3) generally recognized by mental health professionals as being a legitimate element of psychotherapy.
- C. Criminal sexual contact in the fourth degree consists of all criminal sexual contact perpetrated:
- (1) by the use of force or coercion that results in personal injury to the victim;
  - (2) by the use of force or coercion when the perpetrator is aided or abetted by one or more persons; or
  - (3) when the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact in the fourth degree is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

- D. Criminal sexual contact is a misdemeanor when perpetrated with the use of force or coercion.
- E. For the purposes of this section, "intimate parts" means the primary genital area, groin, buttocks, anus or breast.

**30-9-13. Criminal sexual contact of a minor.**

- A. Criminal sexual contact of a minor is the unlawful and intentional touching of or applying force to the intimate parts of a minor or the unlawful and intentional causing of a minor to touch one's intimate parts. For the purposes of this section, "intimate parts" means the primary genital area, groin, buttocks, anus or breast.
- B. Criminal sexual contact of a minor in the second degree consists of all criminal sexual contact of the unclothed intimate parts of a minor perpetrated:
- (1) on a child under thirteen years of age; or
  - (2) on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when:
    - (a) the perpetrator is in a position of authority over the child and uses this authority to coerce the child to submit;

- (b) the perpetrator uses force or coercion which results in personal injury to the child;
- (c) the perpetrator uses force or coercion and is aided or abetted by one or more persons; or
- (d) the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact of a minor in the second degree is guilty of a second degree felony for a sexual offense against a child and, notwithstanding the provisions of Section 31-18-15 NMSA 1978, shall be sentenced to a minimum term of imprisonment of three years, which shall not be suspended or deferred. The imposition of a minimum, mandatory term of imprisonment pursuant to the provisions of this subsection shall not be interpreted to preclude the imposition of sentencing enhancements pursuant to the provisions of Sections 31-18-17, 31-18-25 and 31-18-26 NMSA 1978.

- C. Criminal sexual contact of a minor in the third degree consists of all criminal sexual contact of a minor perpetrated:
- (1) on a child under thirteen years of age; or
  - (2) on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when:
    - (a) the perpetrator is in a position of authority over the child and uses this authority to coerce the child to submit;
    - (b) the perpetrator uses force or coercion which results in personal injury to the child;
    - (c) the perpetrator uses force or coercion and is aided or abetted by one or more persons; or
    - (d) the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact of a minor in the third degree is guilty of a third degree felony, for a sexual offense against a child.

- D. Criminal sexual contact of a minor in the fourth degree consists of all criminal sexual contact:
- (1) not defined in Subsection C of this section, of a child thirteen to eighteen years of age perpetrated with force or coercion; or
  - (2) of a minor perpetrated on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when the perpetrator, who is a licensed school employee, an unlicensed school employee, a school contract employee, a school health service provider or a school volunteer, and who is at least eighteen years of age and is at least four years older than the child and not the spouse of that child, learns while performing services in or for a school that the child is a student in a school.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact in the fourth degree is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

**30-6-3. Contributing to delinquency of minor.**

- A. Contributing to the delinquency of a minor consists of any person committing any act or omitting the performance of any duty, which act or omission causes or tends to cause or encourage the delinquency of any person under the age of eighteen years. Whoever commits contributing to the delinquency of a minor is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

**30-9-14. Indecent exposure.**

- A. Indecent exposure consists of a person knowingly and intentionally exposing his primary genital area to public view.
- B. As used in this section, "primary genital area" means the mons pubis, penis, testicles, mons veneris, vulva or vagina.
- C. Whoever commits indecent exposure is guilty of a misdemeanor.
- D. In addition to any punishment provided pursuant to the provisions of this section, the court shall order a person convicted for committing indecent exposure to participate in and complete a program of professional counseling at his own expense.



### **30-9-14.3. Aggravated indecent exposure.**

- A. Aggravated indecent exposure consists of a person knowingly and intentionally exposing his primary genital area to public view in a lewd and lascivious manner, with the intent to threaten or intimidate another person, while committing one or more of the following acts or criminal offenses:
  - (1) exposure to a child less than eighteen years of age;
  - (2) assault, as provided in Section 30-3-1 NMSA 1978;
  - (3) aggravated assault, as provided in Section 30-3-2 NMSA 1978;
  - (4) assault with intent to commit a violent felony, as provided in Section 30-3-3 NMSA 1978;
  - (5) battery, as provided in Section 30-3-4 NMSA 1978;
  - (6) aggravated battery, as provided in Section 30-3-5 NMSA 1978;
  - (7) criminal sexual penetration, as provided in Section 30-9-11 NMSA 1978; or
  - (8) abuse of a child, as provided in Section 30-6-1 NMSA 1978.
- B. As used in this section, "primary genital area" means the mons pubis, penis, testicles, mons veneris, vulva or vagina.
- C. Whoever commits aggravated indecent exposure is guilty of a fourth degree felony.
- D. In addition to any punishment provided pursuant to the provisions of this section, the court shall order a person convicted for committing aggravated indecent exposure to participate in and complete a program of professional counseling at his own expense.

### **30-4-1. Kidnapping.**

- A. Kidnapping is the unlawful taking, restraining, transporting or confining of a person, by force, intimidation or deception, with intent:
  - (1) that the victim be held for ransom;
  - (2) that the victim be held as a hostage or shield and confined against his will;
  - (3) that the victim be held to service against the victim's will; or
  - (4) to inflict death, physical injury or a sexual offense on the victim.
- B. Whoever commits kidnapping is guilty of a first degree felony, except that he is guilty of a second degree felony when he voluntarily frees the victim in a safe place and does not inflict physical injury or a sexual offense upon the victim.

### **30-10-3 Incest.**

Incest consists of knowingly intermarrying or having sexual intercourse with persons within the following degrees of consanguinity: parents and children including grandparents and grandchildren of every degree, brothers and sisters of the half as well as of the whole blood, uncles and nieces, aunts and nephews.

Whoever commits incest is guilty of a third degree felony.

---

## **ARTICLE 6A**

### **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF CHILDREN**

---

#### **30-6A-2. Definitions.**

As used in the Sexual Exploitation of Children Act [30-60A-1 to 30-60A-4 NMSA 1978]:

- A. "prohibited sexual act" means:
  - (1) sexual intercourse, including genital-genital, oral-genital, anal-genital or oral-anal, whether between persons of the same or opposite sex;
  - (2) bestiality;

- (3) masturbation;
  - (4) sadomasochistic abuse for the purpose of sexual stimulation; or
  - (5) lewd and sexually explicit exhibition with a focus on the genitals or pubic area of any person for the purpose of sexual stimulation;
- B. "visual or print medium" means:
- (1) any film, photograph, negative, slide, computer diskette, videotape, videodisc or any computer or electronically generated imagery; or
  - (2) any book, magazine or other form of publication or photographic reproduction containing or incorporating any film, photograph, negative, slide, computer diskette, videotape, videodisc or any computer generated or electronically generated imagery;
- C. "performed publicly" means performed in a place which is open to or used by the public; and
- D. "manufacture" means the production, processing, copying by any means, printing, packaging or repackaging of any visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age.
- E. "obscene" means any material, when the content is taken as a whole:
- (1) appeals to a prurient interest in sex, as determined by the average person applying contemporary community standards;
  - (2) portrays a prohibited sexual act in a patently offensive way; and
  - (3) lacks serious literary, artistic, political or scientific value."

**30-6A-3. Sexual exploitation of children.**

- A. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally possess any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such act and if that person knows or has reason to know that one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age. A person who violates the provisions of this subsection is guilty of a fourth degree felony.
- B. It is unlawful for a person to intentionally distribute any visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such act and if that person knows or has reason to know that one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age. A person who violates this subsection is guilty of a third degree felony.
- C. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally cause or permit a child under eighteen years of age to engage in any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows, has reason to know or intends that the act may be recorded in any obscene visual or print medium or performed publicly. Any person who violates this subsection is guilty of a third degree felony, unless the child is under the age of thirteen, in which event the person is guilty of a second degree felony.
- D. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally manufacture any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age. A person who violates the provisions of this subsection is guilty of a second degree felony.
- E. It is unlawful for a person to intentionally manufacture any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts a prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act and if that person knows or has reason to know that a real child under eighteen years of age, who is not a participant, is depicted as a participant in that act. A person who violates the provisions of this subsection is guilty of fourth degree felony.

- F. It is unlawful for a person to intentionally distribute any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts a prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act and if that person knows or has reason to know that a real child under eighteen years of age, who is not a participant, is depicted as a participant in that act. A person who violates the provisions of this subsection is guilty of a third degree felony
- G. The penalties provided for in this section shall be in addition to those set out in Section 30-9-11 NMSA 1978.

**30-6A-4. Sexual exploitation of children by prostitution.**

- A. Any person knowingly receiving any pecuniary profit as a result of a child under the age of sixteen engaging in a prohibited sexual act with another is guilty of a second degree felony, unless the child is under the age of thirteen, in which event the person is guilty of a first degree felony.
- B. Any person hiring or offering to hire a child over the age of thirteen and under the age of sixteen to engage in any prohibited sexual act is guilty of a second degree felony.
- C. Any parent, legal guardian or person having custody or control of a child under sixteen years of age who knowingly permits that child to engage in or to assist any other person to engage in any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act for the purpose of producing any visual or print medium depicting such an act is guilty of a third degree felony.

**30-9-1. Enticement of child.**

Enticement of child consists of:

- A. enticing, persuading or attempting to persuade a child under the age of sixteen years to enter any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 [30-9-1 to 30-9-9 NMSA 1978] of the Criminal Code; or
- B. having possession of a child under the age of sixteen years in any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 of the Criminal Code.

Whoever commits enticement of child is guilty of a misdemeanor.

**30-52-1. Human trafficking.**

- A. Human trafficking consists of a person knowingly:
  - (1) recruiting, soliciting, enticing, transporting or obtaining by any means another person with the intent or knowledge that force, fraud or coercion will be used to subject the person to labor, services or commercial sexual activity;
  - (2) recruiting, soliciting, enticing, transporting or obtaining by any means a person under the age of eighteen years with the intent or knowledge that the person will be caused to engage in commercial sexual activity; or
  - (3) benefiting, financially or by receiving anything or value, from the labor, services or commercial sexual activity of another person with the knowledge that force, fraud or coercion was used to obtain the labor, services or commercial sexual activity.

**30-37-3.2 Child solicitation by electronic communication device**

- A. Child solicitation by electronic communication device consists of a person knowingly and intentionally soliciting a child under sixteen years of age, by means of an electronic communication device, to engage in sexual intercourse, sexual contact or in a sexual or obscene performance, or to engage in any other sexual conduct when the perpetrator is at least three years older than the child.

## Appendix D. Participating Law Enforcement Agencies

Agency Name	Address	City	State	Zip
Alamogordo Dept. of Public Safety	700 Virginia Avenue	Alamogordo	NM	88310
Albuquerque Police Department	400 Roma NW	Albuquerque	NM	87102
Angel Fire Police Department	P.O. Box 610	Angel Fire	NM	87710
Anthony Police Department	P.O. Box 2653	Anthony	NM	88021
Artesia Police Department	702 W. Chisum	Artesia	NM	88210
Bayard Police Department	P.O. Box 788	Bayard	NM	88023
Belen Police Department	607 Becker Avenue	Belen	NM	87002
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 25927	Albuquerque	NM	87125
Bernalillo Police Department	P.O. Box 638	Bernalillo	NM	87004
Bloomfield Police Department	915 N. First St.	Bloomfield	NM	87413
Bosque Farms Police Department	P.O. Box 660	Peralta	NM	87042
Carlsbad Police Department	405 S. Halagueno	Carlsbad	NM	88220
Carrizozo Police Department	P.O. Box 828	Carrizozo	NM	88301
Catron County Sheriff's Department	P.O. Box 467	Reserve	NM	87830
Chaves County Sheriff's Department	One St. Mary's Place, East Wing	Roswell	NM	88203
Cibola County Sheriff's Department	115 W. High St.	Grants	NM	87020
Cimarron Police Department	P.O. Box 654	Cimarron	NM	87714
Clayton Police Department	112 North Street	Clayton	NM	88415
Clovis Police Department	P.O. Box 862	Clovis	NM	88102
Colfax County Sheriff's Department	P.O. Box 39	Raton	NM	87740
Corrales Police Department	P.O. Box 707	Corrales	NM	87048
Cuba Police Department	P.O. 426	Cuba	NM	87013
Curry County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 1043	Clovis	NM	88102
De Baca County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 927	Ft. Sumner	NM	88119
Dexter Police Department	P.O. Box 610	Dexter	NM	88230
Dona Ana County Sheriffs Office	750 Motel Blvd, Suite A	Las Cruces	NM	88007
Eddy County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 1240	Carlsbad	NM	88220
Espanola Police Department	401 North Paseo de Oate	Espanola	NM	87532
Estancia Police Department	P.O. Box 166	Estancia	NM	87016
Eunice Police Department	P.O. Box 147	Eunice	NM	88231
Farmington Police Department	800 Municipal Drive	Farmington	NM	87401
Gallup Police Department	451 State Road 564	Gallup	NM	87301
Grant County Sheriff's Department	201 N. Cooper St.	Silver City	NM	88061
Grants Police Division, DPS	105 E. Roosevelt	Grants	NM	87020
Guadalupe County Sheriff's Department	P.O. Box 36	Santa Rosa	NM	88435
Hatch Police Department	P.O. Box 220	Hatch	NM	87917
Hidalgo County Sheriff's Department	305 South Pyramid	Lordsburg	NM	88045
Hobbs Police Department	301 N. Dalmont	Hobbs	NM	88240
Hurley Police Department	P.O. Box 65	Hurley	NM	88043
Jal Police Department	P.O. Drawer W	Jal	NM	88252
Laguna Police Department	P.O. Box 194	Old Laguna	NM	87026
Las Cruces Police Department	P.O. Box 20000	Las Cruces	NM	88001
Las Vegas Police Department	318 Moreno Street	Las Vegas	NM	87701

<b>Agency Name</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>
Lea County Sheriff's Department	215 East Central	Lovington	NM	88260
Logan Police Department	P.O. Box 7	Logan	NM	88426
Lordsburg Police Department	206 S. Main	Lordsburg	NM	88045
Lovington Police Department	213 S. Love	Lovington	NM	88260
Luna County Sheriff's Department	116 E. Popular Street	Deming	NM	88030
McKinley County Sheriff's Office	2105 East Aztec	Gallup	NM	87301
Mora County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 659	Mora	NM	87732
Moriarty Police Department	P.O. Drawer 130	Moriarty	NM	87035
Otero County Sheriff's Department	3208 N. Sands Blvd.	Alamogordo	NM	88310
Peralta Police Department	P.O. Box 660	Peralta	NM	87042
Pojoaque Tribal Police Department	Route 11, Box 71	Santa Fe	NM	87501
Portales Police Department	1700 North Boston	Portales	NM	88130
Quay County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 943	Tucumcari	NM	88401
Questa Police Department	P.O. Box 260	Questa	NM	87556
Ramah Navajo Police Department	HCR 61, Box 13	Ramah	NM	87321
Raton Police Department	P.O. Box 397	Raton	NM	87740
Red River Marshal's Office	P.O. Box 410	Red River	NM	87558
Rio Arriba County Sheriff	P.O. Box 1256	Espanola	NM	87532
Roswell Police Department	P.O. Box 1994	Roswell	NM	88201
Roosevelt County Sheriff's Office	1700 N. Boston`	Portales	NM	88130
Ruidoso Downs Police Department	P.O. Box 1560	Ruidoso Downs	NM	88346
Ruidoso Police Department	1085 Mechem Drive	Ruidoso	NM	88345
San Juan County Sheriff's Office	211 S. Oliver	Aztec	NM	87410
Sandoval County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 5219	Bernalillo	NM	87004
Santa Clara Police Department	P.O. Box 316	Santa Clara	NM	88026
Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department	#35 Camino Justicia	Santa Fe	NM	87508
Santa Fe Police Department	2515 Camino Entrada	Santa Fe	NM	87505
Santa Rosa Police Department	141 South 5th Street	Santa Rosa	NM	88435
Sierra County Sheriff's Office	311 Date Street	T or C	NM	87901
Silver City Police Department	P.O. Box 997	Silver City	NM	88062
Socorro Police Department	P.O. Box 992	Socorro	NM	87801
State Police Alamogordo	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Albuquerque	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Clovis	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Deming	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Espanola	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Farmington	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Gallup	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Grants	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Hobbs	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Las Cruces	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Las Vegas	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Moriarty	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Raton	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Roswell	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Santa Fe	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Santa Rosa	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Socorro	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505

<b>Agency Name</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>
State Police Taos	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Tucumcari	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
Taos Police Department	107 Civic Plaza Drive	Taos	NM	87571
Taos Pueblo Police Department	P.O. Box 1846	Taos	NM	87571
Tatum Police Department	P.O. Box 691	Tatum	NM	88267
Torrance County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 498	Estancia	NM	87016
T or C Police Department	401 McAdoo St.	T or C	NM	88352
Tucumcari Police Department	P.O. Box 1336	Tucumcari	NM	88401
Tularosa Police Department	703 St. Francis Drive	Tularosa	NM	88352
Zuni Police Department	P.O. Box 339	Zuni	NM	87327

1. Agency Name \_\_\_\_\_
2. Quarter Reporting 1st  2nd  3rd  4th  Year: 2013
3. Total Number of criminal sexual penetration (CSP) incidents: (Add State Statutes 30-9-11 and 30-10-3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(If the offense incident report your officers use does not document sexual crimes by state statute, enter instead, the total number of CSP incidents perpetrated [add male and female adults and children]) \_\_\_\_\_
4. If known, of the number of CSP incidents counted in **q.3**, how many were:  
a) Sodomy \_\_\_\_\_ b) with an Object \_\_\_\_\_ c) Incest \_\_\_\_\_ d) Gang Related \_\_\_\_\_ e) Resulted in Homicide \_\_\_\_\_
- 5.a) Of the number of CSP incidents counted in **q.3**, how many *victims* were there? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Of these, how many were: a) Female victims \_\_\_\_\_ b) Male victims \_\_\_\_\_
6. Of the total number of CSP *victims* in **q.5a**, give the number per *age group*:  
0-6 \_\_\_\_\_ 7-12 \_\_\_\_\_ 13-18 \_\_\_\_\_ 19-25 \_\_\_\_\_ 26-35 \_\_\_\_\_  
36-45 \_\_\_\_\_ 46-55 \_\_\_\_\_ 56-65 \_\_\_\_\_ 66+ \_\_\_\_\_ # Victim age unknown \_\_\_\_\_
7. Of the total number of CSP *victims* in **q.5a**, give the number of each *ethnicity*:  
Caucasian \_\_\_\_\_ Hispanic \_\_\_\_\_ Native American \_\_\_\_\_ Asian/Pacific Islander \_\_\_\_\_  
Black \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_ # Victim Ethnicity Unknown \_\_\_\_\_
- 8.a) Of the number of CSP incidents counted in **q.3**, how many total *offenders* were there? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Of these, how many were: a) Female offenders \_\_\_\_\_ b) Male offenders \_\_\_\_\_
9. Of the number of CSP *offenders* in **q.8a**, give the number per *age group*:  
0-6 \_\_\_\_\_ 7-12 \_\_\_\_\_ 13-18 \_\_\_\_\_ 19-25 \_\_\_\_\_ 26-35 \_\_\_\_\_  
36-45 \_\_\_\_\_ 46-55 \_\_\_\_\_ 56-65 \_\_\_\_\_ 66+ \_\_\_\_\_ # Offender age unknown \_\_\_\_\_
10. Of the number of CSP offenders in **q.8a**, give the number of each *ethnicity*:  
Caucasian \_\_\_\_\_ Hispanic \_\_\_\_\_ Native American \_\_\_\_\_ Asian/Pacific Islander \_\_\_\_\_  
Black \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_ # Offender Ethnicity Unknown \_\_\_\_\_
- 11.a) Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many were perpetrated by a stranger to the victim? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) How many CSP incidents in **q.3** were perpetrated by someone who knew the victim? \_\_\_\_\_  
c) Of the number in **11b**, how many were a relative? \_\_\_\_\_
12. Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many involved a weapon? \_\_\_\_\_ # with weapon use unknown \_\_\_\_\_
13. Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many involved injury to the victim? \_\_\_\_\_ # injury unknown \_\_\_\_\_
14. a) Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many involved drugs/alcohol use? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Of these, how many involved: Offender use only \_\_\_\_\_ Victim use only \_\_\_\_\_ Offender and Victim use \_\_\_\_\_
15. a) Of the number of CSP *incidents* in **q.3**, in how many of these did at least one child witness the event? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Number of CSP *incidents* in **q.3** where it is unknown if a child was present \_\_\_\_\_
16. a) What is the *total number of children* who witnessed the CSP incidents counted in **q.3**? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Of these, number per age group: 0-5 \_\_\_\_\_ 6-9 \_\_\_\_\_ 10-12 \_\_\_\_\_ 13-17 \_\_\_\_\_ 18-21 \_\_\_\_\_ # age unknown \_\_\_\_\_
17. Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many included a suspect arrest? \_\_\_\_\_

*For the reporting quarter, please give the:*

18. Number of incidents of *criminal sexual contact* (or statute 30-9-12) \_\_\_\_\_
19. Number of incidents of *criminal sexual contact of a minor* (or statute 30-9-13) \_\_\_\_\_
20. Number of incidents of *indecent exposure* (or 30-9-14 and 30-9-14.3) \_\_\_\_\_
21. Number of incidents of *sexual exploitation of children* (30-6A-3 and 30-6A-4) \_\_\_\_\_
22. Number of incidents of *enticement of child* (or statute 30-9-1) \_\_\_\_\_
23. Number of incidents of *kidnapping* (or statute 30-4-1) \_\_\_\_\_
24. Number of incidents of *human trafficking* (or statute 30-52-1) \_\_\_\_\_
25. Number of incidents of *child solicitation by electronic communication device* (or statute 30-37-.3.2.) \_\_\_\_\_

**Quarterly Reports are due April 25th, July 25th, October 25th, and January 25th, 2014). Please send reports to: NMCSAP, 3909 Juan Tabo Suite 6, Alb., NM 87111 or fax to (505) 883-7530. Call Betty Caponera, (505) 883-8020 for questions.**

**Appendix F. Rate of Law Enforcement Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration Victimization for Counties with Complete\* Reporting, 2013**

<b>County</b>	<b>Number of CSP Victims Reported to Law Enforcement</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Rate Per 1000</b>
Bernalillo	626	674,221	0.93
Catron	2	3,607	0.55
Chaves	46	65,823	0.70
Cibola	16	27,335	0.59
Colfax	6	13,094	0.46
Curry	43	50,598	0.85
De Baca	0	1,907	Incomplete Reporting <sup>1</sup>
Dona Ana	323	213,460	1.51
Eddy	22	55,471	0.40
Grant	15	29,328	0.51
Guadalupe	1	4,551	0.22
Harding	NA	693	NA
Hidalgo	0	4,654	0.00
Lea	44	68,062	0.65
Lincoln	6	20,105	0.30
Los Alamos	NA	17,798	NA
Luna	5	24,659	Incomplete Reporting <sup>2</sup>
McKinley	64	73,308	0.87
Mora	0	4,704	0.00
Otero	16	65,616	0.24
Quay	3	8,662	0.35
Rio Arriba	23	40,072	0.87
Roosevelt	10	19,955	Incomplete Reporting <sup>3</sup>
San Juan	93	126,503	0.73
San Miguel	19	28,541	0.67
Sandoval	17	136,575	0.12
Santa Fe	85	147,423	0.58
Sierra	2	11,572	0.17
Socorro	4	17,584	Incomplete Reporting <sup>4</sup>
Taos	4	33,035	0.12
Torrance	7	15,717	0.45
Union	2	4,370	0.46
Valencia	2	76,284	Incomplete Reporting <sup>5</sup>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,506</b>	<b>2,085,287</b>	

NA = No law enforcement participation from this county

\*Incomplete reporting means that the law enforcement agency (s) from the largest city in the county did not report or reported less than a full year of sex crimes data for 2013:

<sup>1</sup> Fort Sumner Police Department did not report

<sup>2</sup> Deming Police Department did not report

<sup>3</sup> Roosevelt County Sheriff's Office did not report

<sup>4</sup> Socorro County Sheriff's Department did not report

<sup>5</sup> Los Lunas Police Department did not report



**Appendix G. Rate and Rank of Law Enforcement Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration Victimization for Counties with Complete Reporting, 2013**

<b>County</b>	<b>Number of CSP Victims Reported to Law Enforcement</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Rate Per 1000</b>	<b>Rank</b>
Dona Ana	323	213,460	1.51	1
Bernalillo	626	674,221	0.93	2
McKinley	64	73,308	0.87	3
Curry	43	50,598	0.85	4
San Juan	93	126,503	0.73	5
Chaves	46	65,823	0.70	6
San Miguel	19	28,541	0.67	7
Lea	44	68,062	0.65	8
Cibola	16	27,335	0.59	9
Santa Fe	85	147,423	0.58	10
Rio Arriba	23	40,072	0.57	11
Catron	2	3,607	0.55	12
Grant	15	29,328	0.51	13
Colfax	6	13,094	0.46	14
Union	2	4,370	0.46	14
Torrance	7	15,717	0.45	15
Eddy	22	55,471	0.40	16
Quay	3	8,662	0.35	17
Lincoln	6	20,105	0.30	18
Otero	16	65,616	0.24	19
Guadalupe	1	4,551	0.22	20
Sierra	2	11,572	0.17	21
Sandoval	17	136,575	0.12	22
Taos	4	33,035	0.12	22
Hidalgo	0	4,654	0.00	23
Mora	0	4,704	0.00	23
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,485</b>	<b>1,926,407</b>	<b>0.77</b>	

## Appendix H. Service Provider Agencies, 2013

Agency Name	Address	City	Zip Code
Agave Health	2550 Coors Blvd. NW	Albuquerque	87120
Alternatives to Violence	124 Pecos Ave.	Raton	87740
Arise Sexual Assault Services	PO Drawer 868 Roosevelt Hospital	Portales	88130
Community Against Violence	PO Box 169	Taos	87571
Desert View DV & SA Services	2700 Farmington Ave Bldg F, Suite 1	Farmington	87401
Farmington Community Health Center PMS	PO Box 3239	Farmington	87401
La Fontera	100 W. Griggs Ave.	Las Cruces	88001
La Pinon Sexual Assault Recovery Services	525 S. Melendres	Las Cruces	88005
Mental Health Resources-Clovis	1100 West Twenty-First	Clovis	88101
Mental Health Resources-Portales	300 East First St.	Portales	88130
Mental Health Resources-Tucumcari	PO Box 1121	Tucumcari	88401
New Mexico Asian Family Center	128 Quincy St. NE	Albuquerque	87108
NMBHI-CBS	700 Friedman	Las Vegas	87701
PMS/Santa Fe Community Guidance Center	2960 Rodeo Park Drive W	Santa Fe	87111
Rape Crisis Center Central NM	1025 Hermosa SE	Albuquerque	87108
Sexual Assault Services of NW NM	812 West Maple	Farmington	87401
Sierra Vista Counseling Center	Sierra Vista Hospital, 800 E 9th Ave.	T or C	87901
Silver Regional SASS (Grant County)	301 W. College Avenue, #16	Silver City	88061
Silver Regional SASS (Hidalgo County)	301 W. College Avenue, #16	Silver City	88061
Socorro Mental Health	1200 Highway 60 West	Socorro	87801
Solace Crisis Treatment Center	6601 Valentine Way	Santa Fe	87507
Southern NM Human Development	820 New Mexico 478	Anthony	88021
Tewa Women United	912 Fairview Lane	Espanola	87532
The Counseling Center-Alamogordo	1900 East 10th St.	Alamogordo	88310
Tri-County Community Services, Inc.-Taos	413 Sipapu	Taos	87571
Valencia Counseling Services, Inc.-Bernalillo	282 Camino del Pueblo, Suite 2C	Bernalillo	87004
Valencia Counseling Services, Inc.-Los Lunas	PO Box 518	Los Lunas	87031
Western NM Counseling PMS-Gallup	2025 East Aztec	Gallup	87305
Western NM Counseling PMS-Thoreau	15 Navarre Blvd.	Thoreau	87323

*This form is to be completed by each therapist in each mental health/rape crisis center and their satellite offices for every client who presents or later discloses sexual assault/abuse. Please submit forms to: NMCSAP (505-883-8020), 3909 Juan Tabo NE, Suite 6, Albuquerque, NM 87111, by the tenth of every month.*

1. Name of Agency \_\_\_\_\_ 2. Client Identifier \_\_\_\_\_

### A. Survivor Information

3. Date of most recent sexual assault/abuse incident \_\_/\_\_/\_\_ 4. Survivor Gender:  Male  Female  
(mo / yr)
5. Survivor's Age at time of most recent sexual assault/abuse incident \_\_\_\_\_ 6. Survivor's Current age \_\_\_\_\_
7. Survivor Ethnicity/Race: (check one)  White (Non-Hispanic)  Hispanic  Mixed  Native American  
 Black  Asian  Unknown
8. Survivor Disability (check all that apply):  None  Visual  Mobility  Hearing  Physical  
 Emotional/Mental (prior to this incident)  Unknown
9. Did the survivor use alcohol or drugs immediately prior to or during the most recent sexual assault incident?  
 Yes  No  Unknown
10. Did the survivor contract a sexually transmitted disease as a result of the most recent sexual assault?  
 Yes  No  Unknown
11. Did a pregnancy result from the most recent sexual assault?  Yes  No  Unknown
12. Did the survivor have a history of domestic violence as a child, either as a witness or as one directly victimized?  
 Yes  No  Unknown
13. Was the client ever sexually assaulted/abused before this incident?  No (skip to q.15)  Yes (answer 13a or b)  
 Unknown (skip to q.15)
- If Yes to q.13 and,*
- a) the client is a victim of *ongoing* sexual abuse, enter age at onset of sexual abuse \_\_\_\_\_. (If this age is under 18, go to q.14). If age at onset of ongoing sexual abuse is unknown, check:  Age Unknown (skip to q.15)
- If Yes to q. 13 and,*
- b) the client is *not* a victim of *ongoing* abuse, enter age at time of prior incident of sexual assault/abuse \_\_\_\_\_. (If this age is under 18, go to q.14) If age at time of prior sexual assault is unknown, check:  Age Unknown (skip to q.15)
- 14a. If the survivor experienced a prior sexual assault/abuse at any time before age 18, did the survivor ever become pregnant before age 18?  
 Yes (answer q.14b)  No  Unknown
- 14b. If Yes, was the pregnancy a result of the prior sexual assault?  Yes  No  Unknown

### B. Offender Information

15. Number of offenders involved in the most recent sexual assault: (check one)  One  Two  Three  
 Four or more  Unknown

**If more than one offender in the most recent sexual assault, choose one offender to answer questions 16-27**

16. Offender Gender:  Male  Female  
(check one)
17. Offender Age: (check one)  5 and under  6-12  13-17  18-24  
 25-34  35-44  45-54  55-64  65+  Unknown

18. *Offender Ethnicity/Race (check one):*  White (Non-Hispanic)  Hispanic  Native American  Black  
 Asian  Mixed  Unknown
19. *Did the offender use alcohol or drugs immediately prior to or during the current sexual assault incident?*  
 Yes  No  Unknown
20. *Did the offender have a history of domestic violence as a child, either as a witness or one directly victimized?*  
 Yes  No  Unknown

### C. Sexual Offense Information

21. *Type of Offense: (check all that apply)*  Penetration (includes: oral, anal, vaginal) - *please specify, if applicable:*  
 spousal rape  incest  date rape  gang rape  
 Attempted Penetration  Sexual Harassment  Fondling (no penetration)  
 Stalking  Indecent Exposure  Unknown

22. *Survivor/Offender Relationship (check only one, either from 22a, 22b or 22c):*

- a) Known Relative Offender:  Father  Mother  Sister  Brother  Step-Brother  
 Grandfather  Grandmother  Step-mother  Step-father  Current spouse  Brother in law  
 Sister in Law  Cousin  Aunt  Uncle  Other
- b) Known Non-Relative Offender:  Ex- spouse  Mom's boyfriend  Dad's girlfriend  
 Mom's lesbian partner  Dad's gay partner  Survivors lesbian/gay partner  
 Social acquaintance  New acquaintance  Employer  Clergy/spiritual leader  
 Health care provider  Friend  Teacher  Therapist  
 Boyfriend  Girlfriend  Co-worker  Other
- c)  Stranger

23. *Was the offender the same ethnicity/race as the survivor?*  Yes  No  Unknown

24. *Type of Coercion/Weapon Used: (check all that apply):*  Physical Force  Verbal Threat  Manipulation  
 Knife  Other Weapon  Intentionally drugged by perpetrator  Gun  Other \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown

25. *Location of Most Recent Offense: (check one):*  Survivor's home  Offender's home  Other residence  Vehicle  
 Parking Lot  Workplace  School  Public Facility  Multiple locations  Other \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown

26. \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_  
city county state reservation or country outside of U.S.

27. *Time of most recent assault:*  Morning (6am-noon)  Afternoon (12:01-6pm)  Evening (6pm-10pm)  
 Night (10:01pm-6am)  Unknown

28. *The most recent sexual assault was reported by (check one):*  
 Survivor  Therapist  Not Reported  Unknown  Other \_\_\_\_\_

29. *If reported, the most recent sexual assault was reported to (check all that apply):*  Social Services  
 Rape Crisis Center  ER/Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner  Law Enforcement  Other  Unknown

30. *Did the survivor sustain any injuries related to the assault?*  Yes  No  Unknown

31. *Was medical treatment sought for injuries?*  Yes  No  Unknown

32. *Was rape kit evidence collection within 72 hours after assault?*  Yes  No  Unknown

33. *If known, survivor's family annual income at the time of the most recent incident* \_\_\_\_\_.  Income Unknown

34. *How did you hear about the help we offer?*  Friend/Relative/Coworker/Partner  Health care provider  
 Advertising  Law Enforcement  Social Services provider  Other (please describe) \_\_\_\_\_

35. *What led you to seek help now?*  Symptoms from the assault, such as nightmares, phobias, flashbacks  
 It is safe to get help now  Encouraged to get help by others  Other (please describe) \_\_\_\_\_

Appendix J. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) Programs

<b>Agency Name</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>
Albuquerque SANE Collaborative	PO Box 37139	Albuquerque	NM	87176
Arise Sexual Assault Services Clovis (PRMC) SANE Unit	Roosevelt General Hospital, Hwy. 70	Portales	NM	88130
Arise Sexual Assault Services Lea County Satellite SANE	Roosevelt General Hospital, Hwy. 70	Portales	NM	88130
Arise Sexual Assault Services Quay Satellite SANE	Roosevelt General Hospital, Hwy. 70	Portales	NM	88130
Arise Sexual Assault Services SANE	Roosevelt General Hospital, Hwy. 70	Portales	NM	88130
Artesia Esperanza House Sane Project	PO Box 1582	Roswell	NM	88203
Santa Fe Christus St. Vincent SANE Program	Christus St. Vincent RMC 455 St. Michael Drive	Santa Fe	NM	87505
Las Cruces La Pinon SANE Program	525 Melendres	Las Cruces	NM	88005
Otero/Lincoln County SANE Program	2669 North Scenic Drive	Alamogordo	NM	88310
Roswell Esperanza House SANE Program	PO Box 1582	Roswell	NM	88203
Sexual Assault Services of NW NM (Farmington SANE)	622 W Maple, Suite H	Farmington	NM	87401
Silver City Gila Regional Medical Center SANE	1313 East 22 <sup>nd</sup> St	Silver City	NM	88061
Taos Holy Cross Hospital SANE Program	1397 Weimer Rd	Taos	NM	87571

## Appendix K

### Minimal Data Fields to be Collected by SANE Programs in New Mexico for the Sex Crimes in New Mexico Report

1. Program/Agency Name: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Date of SANE Exam: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Gender of Patient (*based on patient identification*):  
 Male       Female       Transgender       Unknown
4. Age of Patient (*based on patient report of date of birth*): \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown
5. Patient Ethnicity/Race (*based on patient self-identification with the following categories*):  
 Native American       Hispanic       African American       Asian       White (non-Hispanic)  
 Mixed Ethnicity/Race       Other: \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown
6. Patient Disability (*based on patient self-identification/nursing assessment*):  
 None       Visual       Physical       Hearing       Mental/Cognitive  
 Other: \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown
7. Relationship of Offender (*to Victim*):  
 **Family** (*based on patient identification, i.e. patient identified the husband of her third cousin as family*)  
 **Stranger** (*someone the patient has never met before, someone completely unknown to the patient*)  
 **Acquaintance** (*someone the patient has met before, someone known to the patient*)  
 **Brief Encounter** (*someone the patient has just met, ... someone known briefly to the patient*)  
 **Current Intimate Partner or Spouse** (*any current love relationship*)  
 **Ex-Intimate Partner or Spouse** (*any past love relationship*)  
 **Date** (*as defined by patient*)       Other: \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown
8. Number of Offenders (*if more than 1, collect information on all offenders*):      Number: \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown
9. Offender Gender:       Male       Female       Transgender       Unknown
10. Offender Age:      Numeric Age: \_\_\_\_\_ (*approximate number acceptable*)       Unknown
11. Type of Coercion (*database needs ability to capture all that apply, may pick more than one*):  
 **Firearm** (*including visual/known presence of firearm as well as actual use*)  
 **Knife** (*including visual/known presence of knife as well as actual use*)  
 **Hate/Bias crime** (*as identified by patient, i.e., he did this because I am a lesbian*)  
 **Stalking** (*as identified by patient*)  
 **Gang-related** (*as identified by patient, including initiation, retribution*)  
 **Physical Force** (*as identified by patient or presence of injuries*)  
 **Intimidation** (*i.e. size of offender, locking a door, blocking escape*)  
 **Verbal threat** (*i.e., he told me he'd kill me, he told me he'd tell my husband, he told me he had a gun, he told me he knew where I lived and would come back, etc.*)  
 **Manipulation** (*statements such as if you loved me or I'll explode if you don't*)  
 **Alcohol/Drugs** (*where patient reports alcohol or drugs were used to incapacitate patient*)  
 **Authority** (*adult on child or statutory rape*)       **Other Incapacitation** (*unconscious, sleeping*)  
 Other: \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown

12. Location of Assault:  Victim's home  Offender's home  Other residence  
 Vehicle  Outside  Other: \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown
13. Referral Source (*as identified by patient: who told/encouraged them to go to SANE*):  
 Police  Rape Crisis/Victim Advocate  Hospital/Medical Provider  EMS  
 CYFD/Safehouse  Friend  Relative  School/University/College  
 Self  Other: \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown
14. Referred To:  
 Law Enforcement  Rape Crisis/Victim Advocate  Community Mental Health Center  CVRC  
 Hospital/Medical Provider  Victim Advocate/DA  CYFD/Safehouse  DV Services  
 Another SANE / PLN / SANE Follow-Up  Other: \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown
15. Police Report Filed at Time of Exam:  Yes  No  Unknown
16. Evidence Collected:  
 SAEK (*white envelope*)  Clothes  Photography (*digital, print, video, Polaroid, 33 mm*)  
 Blood (*suspected DFSA*)  Urine (*suspected DFSA*)  
 None/no evidence collected  Other: \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown
17. Other Services Provided:  
 Pregnancy Prevention/Emergency Contraception  STI Prophylaxis  STI Cultures  
 Medical Exam/Physical or Strangulation Assessment  Suicide Assessment/Crisis Intervention  
 Other: \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown
18. Patient Currently Pregnant:  Yes  No  Unknown
19. Injuries Sustained by Patient (*check any/all that apply*):  
 Oral  Rectal/Buttocks  Vaginal  Penis  
 Body – Head/Neck  Body – Extremities  Body – Torso  
 Strangulation  Other: \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown  No injuries noted
20. Patient County of Residence: \_\_\_\_\_
21. Geographic Location of **Assault**:  
Identify Town: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown
22. Geographic Location of **Exam**:  
Identify Town: \_\_\_\_\_ County: \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown

## Appendix L. Participating District Courts

District Court	Address	City	Zip
Twelfth Judicial District	1000 New York Avenue	Alamogordo	88310
Second Judicial District	505 Marquette NW	Albuquerque	87102
Eleventh Judicial District	103 South Oliver	Aztec	87410
Thirteenth Judicial District	P.O. Box 130	Bernalillo	87004
Fifth Judicial District	P.O. Box 1838	Carlsbad	88220
Twelfth Judicial District	P.O. Box 725	Carrizozo	88310
Eighth Judicial District	P.O. Box 310	Clayton	88415
Ninth Judicial District	700 North Main	Clovis	88101
Sixth Judicial District	700 S. Silver, Rm. 40	Deming	88030
Seventh Judicial District	P.O. Box 78	Estancia	87016
Tenth Judicial District	P.O. Box 910	Fort Sumner	88119
Eleventh Judicial District	201 West Hill St., Rm. 201	Gallup	87301
Thirteenth Judicial District	P.O. Box 758	Grants	87020
Third Judicial District	201 W. Picacho	Las Cruces	88005
Fourth Judicial District	P.O. Box 2025	Las Vegas	87701
Sixth Judicial District	P.O. Box 608	Lordsburg	88045
First Judicial District	P.O. Box 30	Los Alamos	87544
Thirteenth Judicial District	P.O. Box 1089	Los Lunas	87301
Fifth Judicial District	Box 6-C	Lovington	88260
Ninth Judicial District	109 West First St., Ste. 207	Portales	88130
Eighth Judicial District	P.O. Box 160	Raton	87740
Fifth Judicial District	P.O. Box 1776	Roswell	88202
First Judicial District	P.O. Box 2041	Santa Fe	87504
Fourth Judicial District	420 Parker Avenue, Ste.5	Santa Rosa	88435
Sixth Judicial District	P.O. Box 2339	Silver City	88061
Seventh Judicial District	P.O. Drawer 1129	Socorro	87801
Seventh Judicial District	P.O. Box 3009	T or C	87901
Eighth Judicial District	P.O. Box Drawer E	Taos	87571
Tenth Judicial District	P.O. Box 1141	Tucumcari	88401



# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO XII:**

**An Analysis of 2013 Data from The New Mexico  
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

*SECTION THREE: COUNTY TRENDS TABLES*

## Bernalillo County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	526	1,242	1,768
2010	565	1,532	2,097
2011	508	1,404	1,912
2012	565	1,602	2,167
2013	582	1,637	2,219

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Bernalillo County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Albuquerque Police Department	460	502	438	472	497
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	57	56	64	80	60
Isleta Tribal Police	0	NR	NR	NR	NR
State Police Albuquerque	9	7	6	13	25
<b>County Total</b>	<b>526</b>	<b>565</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>565</b>	<b>582</b>

NR = Isleta Tribal Police Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	526	577	571	23% (130)	29% (165)	48% (276)
2010	565	613	602	18% (111)	28% (170)	53% (321)
2011	508	566	559	25% (138)	26% (145)	49% (276)
2012	565	613	600	20% (117)	24% (142)	57% (341)
2013	573	626	608	23% (141)	27% (166)	50% (301)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	526	624	510	4% (20)	16% (81)	80% (409)
2010	565	683	576	3% (15)	15% (87)	82% (474)
2011	508	581	498	2% (9)	15% (73)	84% (416)
2012	565	684	586	3% (16)	17% (98)	81% (472)
2013	582	681	566	6% (36)	16% (88)	78% (442)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	577	577	86% (498)	14% (79)
2010	613	554	86% (477)	14% (77)
2011	566	563	83% (470)	17% (93)
2012	613	610	85% (517)	15% (93)
2013	626	616	83% (511)	17% (105)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	624	565	6% (36)	94% (529)
2010	683	647	4% (25)	96% (622)
2011	581	564	5% (29)	95% (535)
2012	684	649	9% (56)	91% (593)
2013	681	634	8% (49)	92% (585)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	577	530	41% (218)	47% (248)	8% (40)	1% (4)	4% (20)	
2010	613	587	37% (218)	48% (283)	9% (52)	0% (2)	5% (32)	
2011	566	528	37% (195)	51% (269)	7% (39)	1% (5)	4% (20)	
2012	613	604	37% (224)	18% (109)	8% (47)	1% (4)	3% (19)	33% (201)
2013	626	571	37% (210)	49% (280)	8% (44)	1% (5)	6% (32)	

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	624	492	32% (159)	48% (238)	10% (49)	1% (5)	8% (41)	
2010	683	566	31% (177)	47% (265)	8% (48)	1% (3)	13% (73)	
2011	581	448	34% (152)	52% (235)	2% (8)	0% (1)	12% (52)	
2012	684	550	31% (168)	50% (275)	8% (44)	1% (6)	10% (57)	
2013	681	553	32% (178)	53% (292)	6% (33)	1% (3)	8% (47)	

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	526	144	27%	29%
2010	560	160	29%	28%
2011	507	141	28%	28%
2012	561	169	30%	30%
2013	563	122	22%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Bernalillo County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Bernalillo	10%	11%	11%	12%	7%
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Bernalillo County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Bernalillo	391	551	534	596	538

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Bernalillo County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	5	11	2	6	6	41
2010	5	38	4	123	20	337
2011	4	21	3	82	23	286
2012	13	39	6	104	20	368
2013	7	38	6	97	17	324

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Bernalillo County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	2	8	46	5	15	46
2010	4	22	3	99	22	376
2011	1	10	2	72	27	312
2012	4	22	4	78	32	414
2013	3	10	3	5	20	46

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Bernalillo County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	189	53	1	4	0	5	2	41
2010	413	413	3	19	4	100	15	272
2011	181	173	3	10	0	38	7	115
2012	596	471	7	15	1	30	4	414
2013	538	74	4	10	3	5	11	41

## Catron County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Catron County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	0	0	0
2010	6	7	13
2011	1	1	2
2012	0	0	0
2013	2	4	6

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Catron County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Catron County Sheriff's Department	0	6	1	0	2
<b>County Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Catron County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	0	0	0			
2010	6	6	6	100% (6)		
2011	1	1	1	100% (1)		
2012	0	0	0			
2013	2	2	1		100% (1)	

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Catron County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	0	0	0			
2010	6	1	1			100% (1)
2011	1	1	1			100% (1)
2012	0	0	0			
2013	2	2	1			100% (1)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Catron County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	0	0		
2010	6	6	100% (6)	
2011	1	1	100% (1)	
2012	0	0		
2013	2	2	100% (2)	

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Catron County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	0	0		
2010	1	1		100% (1)
2011	1	1		100% (1)
2012	0	0		
2013	2	2		100% (2)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Catron County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	0	0						
2010	6	6	100% (6)					
2011	1	1	100% (1)					
2012	0	0						
2013	2	0						

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Catron County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	0	0						
2010	1	1		100% (1)				
2011	1	1		100% (1)				
2012	0	0						
2013	2	0						

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Catron County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	NR	NR		30%
2013	NR	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Catron County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Catron</b>	NR	17%	NR	NR	100%
<b>NM</b>	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Catron County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Catron</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Catron County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Catron County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Catron County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Chaves County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Chaves County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	11	11	22
2010	15	31	46
2011	5	9	14
2012	24	92	116
2013	46	66	112

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Chaves County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Chaves County Sheriff's Department	11	13	2	7	9
Dexter Police Department	0	0	0	0	0
Roswell Police Department	NR	NR	NR	16	34
State Police Roswell	0	2	3	1	3
<b>County Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>46</b>

NR = Roswell Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Chaves County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	11	14	14	57% (8)	21% (3)	21% (3)
2010	15	16	10	40% (4)	30% (3)	30% (3)
2011	5	5	5	20% (1)		80% (4)
2012	24	27	11	18% (2)	45% (5)	36% (4)
2013	46	46	3			100% (3)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Chaves County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	11	13	10	20% (2)	10% (1)	70% (7)
2010	15	16	12		17% (2)	83% (10)
2011	5	5	2			100% (2)
2012	24	25	6		17% (1)	83% (5)
2013	46	46	2			100% (2)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Chaves County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	14	14	93% (13)	7% (1)
2010	16	14	50% (7)	50% (7)
2011	5	5	60% (3)	40% (2)
2012	27	11	82% (9)	18% (2)
2013	46	3	67% (2)	33% (1)



**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Chaves County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	13	13	8% (1)	92% (12)
2010	16	15	7% (1)	93% (14)
2011	5	3		100% (3)
2012	25	8	13% (1)	88% (7)
2013	46	2		100% (2)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Chaves County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	14	14	57% (8)	43% (6)				
2010	16	13	54% (7)	46% (6)				
2011	5	4	25% (1)	50% (2)	25% (1)			
2012	27	11	82% (9)	18% (2)				
2013	46	3		100% (3)				

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Chaves County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	13	12	33% (4)	67% (8)				
2010	16	13	38% (5)	62% (8)				
2011	5	1					100% (1)	
2012	25	6	83% (5)	17% (1)				
2013	46	1	100% (1)					

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Chaves County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	6	2	33%	29%
2010	13	6	46%	28%
2011	2	2	100%	28%
2012	7	1	14%	30%
2013	2	1	50%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Chaves County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Chaves</b>	33%	100%	NR	43%	NR
<b>NM</b>	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Chaves County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Chaves</b>	10	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Chaves County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	0	3	0	0	0	3
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	1	8	0	2	0	3
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Chaves County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	0	2	4	1	0	4
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	0	1	0	2	1	12
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Chaves County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	7	5	0	1	0	1	0	3
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	7	6	0	2	0	2	0	2
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Cibola County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Cibola County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	6	10	16
2010	16	21	37
2011	22	21	43
2012	23	17	40
2013	14	21	35

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Cibola County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Cibola County Sheriff's Department	1	3	6	9	2
Grants Police Department	0	10	13	13	5
Laguna Police Department	NR	NR	2	NR	4
Ramah Navajo Police Department	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
State Police Grants	5	3	1	1	3
<b>County Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>

NR = Laguna Police Department and Ramah Navajo Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Cibola County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	6	6	5		40% (2)	60% (3)
2010	16	17	15	47% (7)	27% (4)	27% (4)
2011	22	25	23	22% (5)	35% (8)	43% (10)
2012	23	25	25	52% (13)	16% (4)	32% (8)
2013	8	16	9	22% (2)	33% (3)	44% (4)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Cibola County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	6	6	4			100% (4)
2010	16	15	7			100% (7)
2011	22	22	16		13% (2)	88% (14)
2012	23	21	16		25% (4)	75% (12)
2013	14	14	8		25% (2)	75% (6)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Cibola County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	6	5	60% (3)	40% (2)
2010	17	14	64% (9)	36% (5)
2011	25	23	78% (18)	22% (5)
2012	25	24	75% (18)	25% (6)
2013	16	7	57% (4)	43% (3)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Cibola County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	6	4	25% (1)	75% (3)
2010	15	11	36% (4)	64% (7)
2011	22	18	28% (5)	72% (13)
2012	21	17	35% (6)	65% (11)
2013	14	6	17% (1)	83% (5)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Cibola County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	6	5	60% (3)	20% (1)	20% (1)			
2010	17	13	38% (5)	38% (5)	23% (3)			
2011	25	23	39% (9)	30% (7)	22% (5)		9% (2)	
2012	25	25	32% (8)	40% (10)	28% (7)			
2013	16	9	22% (2)	11% (1)	67% (6)			

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Cibola County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	6	4	25% (1)	50% (2)	25% (1)			
2010	15	9	22% (2)	33% (3)	44% (4)			
2011	22	15	27% (4)	47% (7)	20% (3)		7% (1)	
2012	21	16	25% (4)	50% (8)	25% (4)			
2013	14	8	38% (3)	25% (2)	38% (3)			

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Cibola County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	2	1	50%	28%
2011	6	2	33%	28%
2012	4	1	25%	30%
2013	3	2	67%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Cibola County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Cibola</b>	20%	33%	0%	NR	67%
<b>NM</b>	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Cibola County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Cibola</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Cibola County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Cibola County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Cibola County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Colfax County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Colfax County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	12	19	31
2010	2	17	19
2011	10	11	21
2012	8	12	20
2013	3	11	14

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Colfax County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Angel Fire Police Department	0	1	2	1	0
Cimarron Police Department	NR	0	0	0	0
Colfax County Sheriff's Department	1	0	0	0	0
Raton Police Department	10	1	6	6	2
Springer Police Department	NR	0	NR	NR	NR
State Police Raton	1	0	2	1	1
<b>County Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>

NR = Cimarron Police Department and Springer Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Colfax County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	12	17	16	19% (3)	50% (8)	31% (5)
2010	2	2	1		100% (1)	
2011	10	10	7	14% (1)	43% (3)	43% (3)
2012	8	8	7	14% (1)	43% (3)	43% (3)
2013	1	6	3	33% (1)	67% (2)	

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Colfax County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	12	13	12		33% (4)	67% (8)
2010	2	2	1			100% (1)
2011	10	11	5		20% (1)	80% (4)
2012	8	8	6	17% (1)	17% (1)	67% (4)
2013	3	5	3		67% (2)	33% (1)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Colfax County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	17	16	56% (9)	44% (7)
2010	2	1		100% (1)
2011	10	7	86% (6)	14% (1)
2012	8	7	86% (6)	14% (1)
2013	6	6	100% (6)	

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Colfax County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	13	13		100% (13)
2010	2	1	100% (1)	
2011	11	6	17% (1)	83% (5)
2012	8	6	17% (1)	83% (5)
2013	5	5	20% (1)	80% (4)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Colfax County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	17	17	29% (5)	71% (12)				
2010	2	0						
2011	10	4	50% (2)	50% (2)				
2012	8	6	50% (3)	33% (2)		17% (1)		
2013	6	3		100% (3)				

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Colfax County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	13	12	33% (4)	58% (7)		8% (1)		
2010	2	0						
2011	11	4	50% (2)	50% (2)				
2012	8	6		100% (6)				
2013	5	5	40% (2)	40% (2)		20% (1)		

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Colfax County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	1	1	100%	29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	1	1	100%	28%
2012	3	1	33%	30%
2013	1	1	100%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Colfax County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Colfax	0%	0%	100%	NR	NR
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Colfax County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Colfax	14	17	14	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Colfax County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	3	3	1	0	0	2
2010	1	6	0	5	0	2
2011	1	1	0	4	0	1
2012	*	*	*	*	*	*
2013	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Colfax County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	0	0	7	3	3	7
2010	0	0	0	1	1	12
2011	0	0	0	2	1	4
2012	*	*	*	*	*	*
2013	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Colfax County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	11	10	2	3	1	2	0	2
2010	10	10	1	2	0	5	0	2
2011	4	3	0	1	0	2	0	0
2012	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2013	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported



## Curry County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Curry County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	42	66	108
2010	49	60	109
2011	44	64	108
2012	42	62	104
2013	40	53	93

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Curry County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Clovis Police Department	39	44	39	36	35
Curry County Sheriff's Office	3	1	3	3	3
State Police Clovis	0	4	2	3	2
<b>County Total</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Curry County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	42	43	43	26% (11)	44% (19)	30% (13)
2010	49	51	34	15% (5)	53% (18)	32% (11)
2011	44	45	42	31% (13)	43% (18)	26% (11)
2012	42	42	5	20% (1)	60% (3)	20% (1)
2013	31	43	43	28% (12)	42% (18)	30% (13)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Curry County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	42	50	36	6% (2)	25% (9)	69% (25)
2010	49	53	31	3% (1)	29% (9)	68% (21)
2011	44	51	35	9% (3)	29% (10)	63% (22)
2012	42	42	5	20% (1)	0% (0)	80% (4)
2013	40	42	22	5% (1)	9% (2)	86% (19)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Curry County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	43	43	95% (41)	5% (2)
2010	51	51	90% (46)	10% (5)
2011	45	45	87% (39)	13% (6)
2012	42	5	100% (5)	
2013	43	36	97% (35)	3% (1)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Curry County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	50	49	4% (2)	96% (47)
2010	53	34	9% (3)	91% (31)
2011	51	48	10% (5)	90% (43)
2012	42	5	20% (1)	80% (4)
2013	42	36	6% (2)	94% (34)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Curry County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	43	43	49% (21)	42% (18)	2% (1)		7% (3)	
2010	51	33	45% (15)	39% (13)	3% (1)		12% (4)	
2011	45	42	36% (15)	52% (22)			12% (5)	
2012	42	5	80% (4)	20% (1)				
2013	43	41	49% (20)	44% (18)			7% (3)	

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Curry County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	50	43	28% (12)	58% (25)			14% (6)	
2010	53	40	43% (17)	45% (18)			13% (5)	
2011	51	38	18% (7)	63% (24)			18% (7)	
2012	42	4	25% (1)	75% (3)				
2013	42	33	24% (8)	61% (20)			15% (5)	

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Curry County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	39	21	54%	29%
2010	48	18	38%	28%
2011	39	9	23%	28%
2012	1	1	100%	30%
2013	10	3	30%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Curry County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Curry	15%	15%	15%	67%	23%
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Curry County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Curry	36	60	52	17	13

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Curry County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	2	6	1	2	2	7
2010	4	21	1	10	2	13
2011	0	0	0	1	0	4
2012	0	3	1	5	0	5
2013	0	1	0	3	0	6

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Curry County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	0	2	19	1	4	19
2010	0	1	0	1	7	42
2011	0	0	0	0	0	5
2012	0	0	0	3	1	11
2013	0	0	0	1	0	9

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Curry County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	25	17	2	3	1	4	1	6
2010	44	44	3	19	1	6	2	13
2011	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
2012	17	22	0	5	1	5	0	11
2013	13	8	0	1	0	3	0	4

## De Baca County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in De Baca County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	0	0	0
2010	NR	NR	NR
2011	0	0	0
2012	NR	NR	NR
2013	0	0	0

NR = DeBaca County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in De Baca County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
DeBaca County Sheriff's Office	0	NR	0	NR	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NR</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NR</b>	<b>0</b>

NR = DeBaca County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in De Baca County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	0	0	0			
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	0	0	0			
2012	*	*	*	*	*	*
2013	0	0	0			

\*Victim Age Not Reported

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in De Baca County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	0	0	0			
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	0	0	0			
2012	*	*	*	*	*	*
2013	0	0	0			

\*Age of Offender Not Reported

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in De Baca County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	0	0		
2010	*	*	*	*
2011	0	0		
2012	*	*	*	*
2013	0	0		

\*Victim Gender Not Reported

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in De Baca County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	0	0		
2010	--	--	--	--
2011	0	0		
2012	0	0		
2013	0	0		

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in De Baca County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	0	0						
2010	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2011	0	0						
2012	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2012	0	0						

NR = Race/Ethnicity Not Reported

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in De Baca County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	0	0						
2010	--	NR	--	--	--	--	--	--
2011	0	0						
2012	--	NR	--	--	--	--	--	--
2013	0	0						

NR = Race/Ethnicity Not Reported

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in De Baca County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	--	NR		29%
2010	--	NR		28%
2011	--	NR		28%
2012	--	NR		30%
2013	--	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in De Baca County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>De Baca</b>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
<b>NM</b>	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in De Baca County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>De Baca</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in De Baca County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in De Baca County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in De Baca County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Dona Ana County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	232	191	423
2010	309	200	509
2011	255	194	449
2012	288	167	455
2013	323	280	603

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Dona Ana County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Anthony Police Department	--	--	--	1	4
Dona Ana County Sheriff's Department	38	165	41	89	122
Hatch Police Department	1	0	0	0	0
Las Cruces Police Department	190	321	212	196	185
State Police Las Cruces	3	23	2	2	12
<b>County Total</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>509</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>323</b>

--In 2012, Anthony Police Department Began Reporting Data to Central Repository

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	232	232	155	39% (60)	30% (46)	32% (49)
2010	309	310	158	34% (54)	31% (49)	35% (55)
2011	255	263	182	36% (66)	37% (67)	27% (49)
2012	288	288	150	41% (61)	25% (38)	34% (51)
2013	270	323	139	37% (52)	29% (40)	34% (47)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	232	136	92	12% (11)	26% (24)	62% (57)
2010	309	309	94		31% (29)	69% (65)
2011	255	255	110	7% (8)	14% (15)	79% (87)
2012	288	191	81	12% (10)	17% (14)	70% (57)
2013	323	308	91	14% (13)	14% (13)	71% (65)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	232	155	83% (128)	17% (27)
2010	310	158	81% (128)	19% (30)
2011	263	184	80% (148)	20% (36)
2012	288	151	74% (112)	26% (39)
2013	323	138	75% (104)	25% (34)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	136	96	5% (5)	95% (91)
2010	309	98	6% (6)	94% (92)
2011	255	214	7% (15)	93% (199)
2012	191	82	5% (4)	95% (78)
2013	308	96	11% (11)	89% (85)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	232	151	45% (68)	50% (76)			4% (6)	1% (1)
2010	310	155	50% (78)	46% (72)		1% (1)	3% (4)	
2011	263	174	51% (88)	48% (84)			1% (2)	
2012	288	144	51% (73)	47% (67)	1% (1)	1% (1)	1% (2)	
2013	323	128	57% (73)	40% (51)		1% (1)	2% (3)	

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	136	87	48% (42)	51% (44)			1% (1)	
2010	309	90	44% (40)	52% (47)			3% (3)	
2011	255	102	38% (39)	49% (50)	1% (1)		12% (12)	
2012	191	76	47% (36)	47% (36)	1% (1)		4% (3)	
2013	308	80	44% (35)	48% (38)		1% (1)	8% (6)	

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	2	1	50%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	1	1	100%	30%
2013	7	3	43%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported



**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Dona Ana County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Dona Ana</b>	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NM</b>	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Dona Ana County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Dona Ana</b>	324	398	387	423	414

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Dona Ana County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	12	11	4	4	4	28
<b>2010</b>	28	101	9	66	7	99
<b>2011</b>	36	111	5	77	8	88
<b>2012</b>	37	148	8	60	7	93
<b>2013</b>	32	133	6	61	12	100

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Dona Ana County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	10	11	34	5	11	34
<b>2010</b>	24	80	7	57	18	156
<b>2011</b>	25	65	9	68	22	166
<b>2012</b>	25	86	3	44	24	182
<b>2013</b>	9	12	3	5	16	42

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Dona Ana County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	176	54	7	11	3	4	3	26
<b>2010</b>	147	140	9	22	3	40	5	61
<b>2011</b>	211	181	14	46	4	48	5	64
<b>2012</b>	423	318	14	69	6	41	6	182
<b>2013</b>	414	54	5	10	3	5	3	28

## Eddy County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Eddy County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	70	67	137
2010	61	59	120
2011	52	52	104
2012	36	34	70
2013	22	50	72

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Eddy County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Artesia Police Department	16	32	3	6	7
Carlsbad Police Department	36	68	34	20	7
Eddy County Sheriff's Office	18	20	15	10	8
<b>County Total</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>22</b>

NR = Eddy County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Eddy County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	70	72	55	27% (15)	44% (24)	29% (16)
2010	61	65	65	42% (27)	34% (22)	25% (16)
2011	52	53	50	28% (14)	36% (18)	36% (18)
2012	36	36	16	13% (2)	50% (8)	38% (6)
2013	17	22	15	20% (3)	33% (5)	47% (7)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Eddy County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	70	69	52	6% (3)	12% (6)	83% (43)
2010	61	57	46		28% (13)	72% (33)
2011	52	53	41		20% (8)	80% (33)
2012	36	36	12		42% (5)	58% (7)
2013	22	25	14		21% (3)	79% (11)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Eddy County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	72	55	87% (48)	13% (7)
2010	65	65	86% (56)	14% (9)
2011	53	51	82% (42)	18% (9)
2012	36	16	100% (16)	
2013	22	15	93% (14)	7% (1)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Eddy County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	69	53	15% (8)	85% (45)
2010	57	52	8% (4)	92% (48)
2011	53	47	4% (2)	96% (45)
2012	36	15		100% (15)
2013	25	18	6% (1)	94% (17)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Eddy County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	72	55	56% (31)	44% (24)				
2010	65	65	49% (32)	49% (32)			2% (1)	
2011	53	48	56% (27)	40% (19)			4% (2)	
2012	36	16	38% (6)	56% (9)			6% (1)	
2013	22	15	73% (11)	20% (3)			7% (1)	

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Eddy County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	69	53	60% (32)	36% (19)			4% (2)	
2010	57	49	35% (17)	65% (32)				
2011	53	43	35% (15)	58% (25)			7% (3)	
2012	36	13	54% (7)	38% (5)			8% (1)	
2013	25	16	50% (8)	44% (7)			6% (1)	

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Eddy County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	48	9	19%	29%
2010	34	4	12%	28%
2011	34	5	15%	28%
2012	1	1	100%	30%
2013	7	2	29%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Eddy County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Eddy	20%	14%	11%	NR	33%
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Eddy County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Eddy	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Eddy County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*
2012	*	*	*	*	*	*
2013	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Eddy County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*
2012	*	*	*	*	*	*
2013	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Eddy County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2012	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2013	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Grant County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Grant County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	9	4	13
2010	23	12	35
2011	13	7	20
2012	29	13	42
2013	15	13	28

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Grant County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Bayard Police Department	2	4	0	0	0
Grant County Sheriff's Department	NR	13	3	10	7
Hurley Police Department	NR	0	NR	0	0
Santa Clara Police Department	0	0	0	1	0
Silver City Police Department	7	18	10	18	8
<b>County Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>15</b>

NR = Grant County Sheriff's Department and Hurley Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Grant County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	9	9	9	11% (1)	33% (3)	56% (5)
2010	23	23	23	22% (5)	26% (6)	52% (12)
2011	13	13	9	11% (1)	11% (1)	78% (7)
2012	29	29	19	21% (4)	37% (7)	42% (8)
2013	14	15	14	14% (2)	7% (1)	79% (11)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Grant County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	9	9	7		14% (1)	86% (6)
2010	23	26	19	5% (1)	32% (6)	63% (12)
2011	13	13	4			100% (4)
2012	29	35	8	13% (1)	13% (1)	75% (6)
2013	15	16	9			100% (9)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Grant County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	9	9	89% (8)	11% (1)
2010	23	20	95% (19)	5% (1)
2011	13	10	100% (10)	
2012	29	29	100% (29)	
2013	15	15	87% (13)	13% (2)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Grant County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	9	9		100% (9)
2010	26	25	12% (3)	88% (22)
2011	13	9		100% (9)
2012	35	30		100% (30)
2013	16	15		100% (15)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Grant County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	9	8	25% (2)	75% (6)				
2010	23	17	29% (5)	65% (11)			6% (1)	
2011	13	9	44% (4)	56% (5)				
2012	29	27	37% (10)	63% (17)				
2013	15	13	46% (6)	54% (7)				

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Grant County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	9	7	14% (1)	71% (5)			14% (1)	
2010	26	17	12% (2)	82% (14)	6% (1)			
2011	13	5	20% (1)	80% (4)				
2012	35	24	4% (1)	96% (23)				
2013	16	11	18% (2)	73% (8)		9% (1)		

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Grant County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	2	2	100%	29%
2010	2	1	50%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	14	1	7%	30%
2013	4	1	25%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Grant County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Grant</b>	NR	100%	NR	60%	100%
<b>NM</b>	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Grant County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Grant</b>	23	82	69	56	66

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Grant County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	0	5	0	2	2	7
<b>2010</b>	6	14	0	16	0	31
<b>2011</b>	5	0	1	6	0	21
<b>2012</b>	1	10	1	12	0	31
<b>2013</b>	3	21	0	10	4	27

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Grant County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	1	3	10	3	2	10
<b>2010</b>	6	10	0	9	0	42
<b>2011</b>	2	7	0	7	4	21
<b>2012</b>	1	4	1	10	0	39
<b>2013</b>	3	9	0	4	3	23

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Grant County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	16	12	0	3	0	2	2	5
<b>2010</b>	41	41	1	7	0	11	0	22
<b>2011</b>	23	22	3	0	1	2	0	16
<b>2012</b>	56	59	0	9	1	10	0	39
<b>2013</b>	66	24	1	5	0	4	2	12

## Guadalupe County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	5	16	21
2010	0	16	16
2011	1	3	4
2012	3	10	13
2013	1	5	6

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Guadalupe County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Guadalupe County Sheriff's Department	NR	0	0	0	0
Santa Rosa Police Department	1	2	1	0	0
State Police Santa Rosa	4	14	0	3	1
Vaughn Police Department	0	0	0	NR	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>

NR = Guadalupe County Sheriff's Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	5	5	5		80% (4)	20% (1)
2010	0	0	0			
2011	1	1	1		100% (1)	
2012	3	3	3			100% (3)
2013	1	1	1			100% (1)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	5	5	5		20% (1)	80% (4)
2010	0	0	0			
2011	1	1	1		100% (1)	
2012	3	3	3			100% (3)
2013	1	1	1			100% (1)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	5	5	100% (5)	
2010	0	--	--	--
2011	1	1	100% (1)	
2012	3	3	33% (1)	67% (2)
2013	1	1		100% (1)



**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	5	5		100% (5)
2010	0	0		
2011	1	1		100% (1)
2012	3	3		100% (3)
2013	1	1		100% (1)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	5	5		100% (5)				
2010	0	0						
2011	1	1		100% (1)				
2012	3	2		50% (1)			50% (1)	
2013	1	1		100% (1)				

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	5	5		100% (5)				
2010	0	0						
2011	1	1		100% (1)				
2012	3	3		33% (1)			67% (2)	
2013	1	1		100% (1)				

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	3	3	100%	29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	1	1	100%	30%
2013	NR	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Guadalupe County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Guadalupe	0%	0%	NR	NR	NR
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Guadalupe County**

	2008	2009	2011	2012	2013
<b>Guadalupe</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Guadalupe County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Guadalupe County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Guadalupe County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Hidalgo County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	2	1	3
2010	3	2	5
2011	1	2	3
2012	2	0	2
2013	0	2	2

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Hidalgo County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Hidalgo County Sheriff's Department	0	5	1	2	0
Lordsburg Police Department	2	0	0	0	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	2	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2010	3	3	3	67% (2)		33% (1)
2011	1	1	1	100% (1)		
2012	2	2	2	50% (1)		50% (1)
2013	0	0	0			

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	2	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2010	3	3	3		33% (1)	67% (2)
2011	1	1	1		100% (1)	
2012	2	2	0			
2013	0	0	0			

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	2	2	100% (2)	
2010	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)
2011	1	1	100% (1)	
2012	2	2	100% (2)	
2013	0	0		

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	2	2		100% (2)
2010	3	3		100% (3)
2011	1	1		100% (1)
2012	2	0		
2013	0	0		

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	2	2		100% (2)				
2010	3	3	100% (3)					
2011	1	1	100% (1)					
2012	2	2	100% (2)					
2013	0	0						

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	2	2		100% (2)				
2010	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)				
2011	1	1	100% (1)					
2012	2	0						
2013	0	0						

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	3	0	0%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	NR	NR		30%
2013	NR	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Hidalgo County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Hidalgo</b>	NR	33%	NR	NR	NR
<b>NM</b>	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Hidalgo County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Hidalgo</b>	3	*	*	3	2

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Hidalgo County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	0	0	0	1	1	1
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0
<b>2012</b>	0	1	0	0	0	2
<b>2013</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Hidalgo County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0
<b>2012</b>	0	1	0	0	0	2
<b>2013</b>	0	0	0	0	0	2

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Hidalgo County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	3	3	0	0	0	1	1	1
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	2
<b>2013</b>	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

\*No Services Reported

## Lea County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement (LE), in Lea County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	29	27	56
2010	38	38	76
2011	25	24	49
2012	22	23	45
2013	44	31	75

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Lea County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Eunice Police Department	2	3	0	0	0
Hobbs Police Department	17	43	15	9	23
Jal Police Department	0	1	0	2	0
Lea County Sheriff's Department	8	15	5	2	12
Lovington Police Department	2	8	5	9	9
State Police Hobbs	0	6	0	0	0
Tatum Police Department	0	0	0	0	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>44</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lea County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	29	30	30	27% (8)	37% (11)	37% (11)
2010	38	40	37	41% (15)	32% (12)	27% (10)
2011	25	25	24	29% (7)	33% (8)	38% (9)
2012	22	22	21	24% (5)	48% (10)	29% (6)
2013	24	44	43	35% (15)	42% (18)	23% (10)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lea County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	29	30	25	8% (2)	16% (4)	76% (19)
2010	38	44	29	14% (4)	21% (6)	66% (19)
2011	25	26	19		16% (3)	84% (16)
2012	22	21	17		18% (3)	82% (14)
2013	44	46	34	3% (1)	9% (3)	88% (30)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lea County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	30	30	97% (29)	3% (1)
2010	40	38	82% (31)	18% (7)
2011	25	24	88% (21)	13% (3)
2012	22	21	90% (19)	10% (2)
2013	44	43	88% (38)	12% (5)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lea County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	30	30	3% (1)	97% (29)
2010	44	43	2% (1)	98% (42)
2011	26	26	8% (2)	92% (24)
2012	21	21	5% (1)	95% (20)
2013	46	43	2% (1)	98% (42)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lea County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	30	30	33% (10)	53% (16)			13% (4)	
2010	40	37	43% (16)	49% (18)	3% (1)		5% (2)	
2011	25	24	33% (8)	63% (15)			4% (1)	
2012	22	21	57% (12)	38% (8)			5% (1)	
2013	44	42	62% (26)	31% (13)	2% (1)		5% (2)	

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lea County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	30	25	28% (7)	48% (12)			24% (6)	
2010	44	34	41% (14)	56% (19)			3% (1)	
2011	26	22	23% (5)	59% (13)	5% (1)		14% (3)	
2012	21	19	42% (8)	47% (9)			11% (2)	
2013	46	39	49% (19)	46% (18)			5% (2)	

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lea County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	2	1	50%	29%
2010	23	1	4%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	8	2	25%	30%
2013	11	2	18%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Lea County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Lea</b>	7%	17%	25%	25%	19%
<b>NM</b>	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Lea County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Lea</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Lea County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Lea County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Lea County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported



## Lincoln County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	11	2	13
2010	10	10	20
2011	4	6	10
2012	12	5	17
2013	6	11	17

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Lincoln County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Carrizozo Police Department	0	2	0	1	0
Lincoln County Sheriff's Office	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Ruidoso Downs Police Department	0	2	1	1	2
Ruidoso Police Department	11	16	3	10	4
<b>County Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6</b>

NR = Lincoln County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	11	12	12	33% (4)	25% (3)	42% (5)
2010	10	10	10	50% (5)	30% (3)	20% (2)
2011	4	6	5	20% (1)	60% (3)	20% (1)
2012	12	12	1		100% (1)	
2013	6	6	6	33% (2)	17% (1)	50% (3)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	11	10	8		25% (2)	75% (6)
2010	10	10	9		11% (1)	89% (8)
2011	4	6	5	20% (1)		80% (4)
2012	12	12	1			100% (1)
2013	6	6	2	50% (1)		50% (1)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	12	12	83% (10)	17% (2)
2010	10	2	100% (2)	
2011	6	6	50% (3)	50% (3)
2012	12	1	100% (1)	
2013	6	6	50% (3)	50% (3)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	10	9		100% (9)
2010	10	10		100% (10)
2011	6	6	17% (1)	83% (5)
2012	12	1		100% (1)
2013	6	6		100% (6)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	12	12	25% (3)	67% (8)	8% (1)			
2010	10	9	67% (6)	33% (3)				
2011	6	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2012	12	1	100% (1)					
2013	6	6	67% (4)	33% (2)				

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	10	9	22% (2)	44% (4)	33% (3)			
2010	10	8	50% (4)	50% (4)				
2011	6	5	20% (1)	80% (4)				
2012	12	1	100% (1)					
2013	6	6	50% (3)	50% (3)				

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	11	3	27%	29%
2010	8	1	13%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	NR	NR		30%
2013	4	1	25%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Lincoln County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Lincoln	18%	33%	33%	NR	0%
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by Lincoln County 2009-2013, in Lincoln County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Lincoln</b>	13	30	28	1	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Lincoln County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	2	3	0	0	0	2
<b>2010</b>	1	10	0	2	1	13
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	0	1	0	0	0	0
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Lincoln County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	0	0	7	1	3	7
<b>2010</b>	0	0	0	1	2	24
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Lincoln County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
<b>2010</b>	19	19	0	6	0	1	1	11
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Los Alamos County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	5	5	10
2010	3	1	4
2011	5	6	11
2012	17	12	29
2013	NR	NR	NR

NR = Law Enforcement Did Not Report

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Los Alamos County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Los Alamos Police Department	5	4	5	17	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>NR</b>

NR = Law Enforcement Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	5	5	5		80% (4)	20% (1)
2010	3	3	3			100% (3)
2011	5	5	0			
2012	17	17	0			
2013	NR	NR	NR			

NR = Law Enforcement Did Not Report

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	5	6	5		40% (2)	60% (3)
2010	3	3	0			
2011	5	5	0			
2012	17	17	0			
2013	NR	NR	NR			

NR = Law Enforcement Did Not Report

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	5	5	80% (4)	20% (1)
2010	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)
2011	5	0		
2012	17	0		
2013	NR	NR		

NR = Did Not Report

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	6	6		100% (6)
2010	3	3		100% (3)
2011	5	0		
2012	17	0		
2013	NR	NR		

NR = Number of CSP Offenders and/or Offender Gender Not Reported

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	5	4	75% (3)	25% (1)				
2010	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)				
2011	5	0						
2012	17	0						
2013	NR	NR						

NR = Number of CSP Victims and/or Victim Race/Ethnicity Not Reported

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	6	6	83% (5)	17% (1)				
2010	3	1	100% (1)					
2011	5	0						
2012	17	0						
2013	NR	NR						

NR = Number of CSP Offenders and/or Offender Race/Ethnicity Not Reported

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	1	1	100%	29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	NR	NR		30%
2013	NR	NR		24%

NR = Number of CSP Victims and/or Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Los Alamos County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Los Alamos	20%	0%	NR	NR	NR
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Los Alamos County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Los Alamos	2	*	*	1	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Los Alamos County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	0	1	0	0	0	0
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*
2012	1	0	0	0	0	0
2013	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Los Alamos County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	0	0	1	0	0	1
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*
2012	1	0	0	0	0	0
2013	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Los Alamos County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2012	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
2013	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Luna County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Luna County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	11	22	33
2010	14	21	35
2011	8	24	32
2012	8	12	20
2013	5	12	17

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Luna County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2015
Luna County Sheriff's Office	1	17	6	2	1
State Police Deming	10	18	2	6	4
<b>County Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>

\*Luna County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Luna County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	11	15	13	15% (2)	46% (6)	38% (5)
2010	14	15	12	17% (2)	42% (5)	42% (5)
2011	8	9	9	44% (4)	44% (4)	11% (1)
2012	8	8	6	67% (4)	17% (1)	17% (1)
2013	3	5	4		50% (2)	50% (2)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Luna County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	11	12	9		44% (4)	56% (5)
2010	14	16	11		36% (4)	64% (7)
2011	8	11	10	40% (4)	10% (1)	50% (5)
2012	8	6	4			100% (4)
2013	5	5	2			100% (2)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Luna County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	15	14	64% (9)	36% (5)
2010	15	13	92% (12)	8% (1)
2011	9	9	78% (7)	22% (2)
2012	8	6	67% (4)	33% (2)
2013	5	4	50% (2)	50% (2)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Luna County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	12	11		100% (11)
2010	16	12	8% (1)	92% (11)
2011	11	11	27% (3)	73% (8)
2012	6	4		100% (4)
2013	5	3	100% (3)	

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Luna County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	15	12	58% (7)	25% (3)		17% (2)		
2010	15	13	38% (5)	54% (7)		8% (1)		
2011	9	9	22% (2)	78% (7)				
2012	8	4	25% (1)	75% (3)				
2013	5	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Luna County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	12	8	50% (4)	38% (3)		13% (1)		
2010	16	11	45% (5)	45% (5)			9% (1)	
2011	11	10	30% (3)	70% (7)				
2012	6	4	50% (2)	50% (2)				
2013	5	1		100% (1)				

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Luna County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	4	1	25%	29%
2010	2	1	50%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	NR	NR		30%
2013	NR	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Luna County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Luna	0%	50%	100%	100%	100%
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported



**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Luna County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Luna</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Luna County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	2	2	0	3	0	2
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Luna County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	0	1	0	2	2	4
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Luna County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	7	6	2	1	0	2	0	1
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## McKinley County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in McKinley County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	42	42	84
2010	34	42	76
2011	42	65	107
2012	47	89	136
2013	62	55	117

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in McKinley County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Gallup Police Department	32	60	27	35	37
McKinley County Sheriff's Office	8	11	9	6	9
State Police Gallup	2	4	3	1	0
Zuni Police Department	0	1	3	5	16
<b>County Total</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>62</b>

NR = Zuni Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in McKinley County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	42	42	42	7% (3)	31% (13)	62% (26)
2010	34	34	25		12% (3)	88% (22)
2011	42	42	29	7% (2)	7% (2)	86% (25)
2012	47	47	42	5% (2)	17% (7)	79% (33)
2013	53	64	64	30% (19)	22% (14)	48% (31)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in McKinley County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	42	46	30	3% (1)	10% (3)	87% (26)
2010	34	35	16		6% (1)	94% (15)
2011	42	45	21		5% (1)	95% (20)
2012	47	47	26		4% (1)	96% (25)
2013	62	63	43		2% (1)	98% (42)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in McKinley County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	42	42	88% (37)	12% (5)
2010	34	25	96% (24)	4% (1)
2011	42	29	90% (26)	10% (3)
2012	47	42	93% (39)	7% (3)
2013	64	64	97% (62)	3% (2)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in McKinley County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	46	45		100% (45)
2010	35	26		100% (26)
2011	45	31		100% (31)
2012	47	42		100% (42)
2013	63	63	2% (1)	98% (62)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in McKinley County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	42	42	10% (4)	14% (6)	74% (31)	2% (1)		
2010	34	25		4% (1)	92% (23)		4% (1)	
2011	42	28	11% (3)	7% (2)	82% (23)			
2012	47	42	2% (1)	5% (2)	93% (39)			
2013	64	63	3% (2)	3% (2)	92% (58)		2% (1)	

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in McKinley County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	46	41	7% (3)	34% (14)	51% (21)		7% (3)	
2010	35	19	5% (1)	16% (3)	74% (14)		5% (1)	
2011	45	20	10% (2)	5% (1)	85% (17)			
2012	47	27	4% (1)	7% (2)	89% (24)			
2013	63	49		12% (6)	88% (43)			

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in McKinley County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	32	10	31%	29%
2010	25	10	40%	28%
2011	27	11	41%	28%
2012	35	12	34%	30%
2013	37	9	24%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in McKinley County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
McKinley	17%	15%	7%	15%	17%
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in McKinley County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
McKinley	*	3	3	13	9

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in McKinley County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	0	2	0	1	0	0
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*
2012	1	3	0	6	0	3
2013	2	1	1	1	0	2

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in McKinley County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	0	0	0	0	0	0
2010	0	1	0	0	0	1
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*
2012	0	1	0	2	1	9
2013	0	0	0	0	3	4

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in McKinley County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	3	3	0	2	0	1	0	0
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2012	13	19	1	3	0	6	0	9
2013	9	6	1	1	1	1	0	2

\*No Services Reported

## Mora County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Mora County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	0	2	2
2010	1	2	3
2011	0	0	0
2012	0	0	0
2013	0	0	0

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Mora County Sheriff's Department	0	3	0	0	0
Wagon Mound Police Department	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

NR = Wagon Mound Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	0	0	0			
2010	1	1	1		100% (1)	
2011	0	0	0			
2012	0	0	0			
2013	0	0	0			

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	0	0	0			
2010	1	1	1			100% (1)
2011	0	0	0			
2012	0	0	0			
2013	0	0	0			

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	0	0		
2010	1	0		
2011	0	0		
2012	0	0		
2013	0	0		

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	0	0		
2010	1	0		
2011	0	0		
2012	0	0		
2013	0	0		

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	0	0						
2010	1	1		100% (1)				
2011	0	0						
2012	0	0						
2013	0	0						

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	0	0						
2010	1	1		100% (1)				
2011	0	0						
2012	0	0						
2013	0	0						

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	NR	NR		30%
2013	NR	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Mora County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Mora	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Mora County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Mora</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Mora County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Mora County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Mora County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Otero County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Otero County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	41	12	53
2010	21	14	35
2011	9	11	20
2012	18	16	34
2013	14	9	23

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Otero County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Alamogordo Department of Public Safety	38	25	7	5	8
Otero County Sheriff's Department	NR	NR	NR	10	NR
State Police Alamogordo	1	4	1	2	2
Tularosa Police Department	2	6	1	1	4
<b>County Total</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>14</b>

NR = Otero County Sheriff's Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Otero County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	41	42	37	38% (14)	41% (15)	22% (8)
2010	21	26	26	19% (5)	65% (17)	15% (4)
2011	9	9	4	25% (1)	50% (2)	25% (1)
2012	18	18	8		25% (2)	75% (6)
2013	6	16	15		67% (10)	33% (5)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Otero County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	41	37	35	6% (2)	23% (8)	71% (25)
2010	21	27	19		11% (2)	89% (17)
2011	9	10	5		20% (1)	80% (4)
2012	18	18	6		17% (1)	83% (5)
2013	14	16	11		9% (1)	91% (10)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Otero County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	42	37	81% (30)	19% (7)
2010	26	25	84% (21)	16% (4)
2011	9	4	100% (4)	
2012	18	8	75% (6)	25% (2)
2013	16	16	94% (15)	6% (1)



**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Otero County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	37	37	8% (3)	92% (34)
2010	27	20	5% (1)	95% (19)
2011	10	5		100% (5)
2012	18	8		100% (8)
2013	16	16	13% (2)	88% (14)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Otero County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	42	34	59% (20)	38% (13)			3% (1)	
2010	26	24	38% (9)	42% (10)	4% (1)		17% (4)	
2011	9	4	50% (2)	50% (2)				
2012	18	5	80% (4)	20% (1)				
2013	16	14	50% (7)	43% (6)			7% (1)	

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Otero County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	37	30	43% (13)	43% (13)		3% (1)	10% (3)	
2010	27	21	38% (8)	24% (5)	29% (6)		10% (2)	
2011	10	4	75% (3)	25% (1)				
2012	18	6	67% (4)				33% (2)	
2013	16	10	50% (5)	30% (3)			20% (2)	

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Otero County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	32	4	13%	29%
2010	8	3	38%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	NR	NR		30%
2013	1	1	100%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Otero County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Otero	27%	71%	50%	NR	89%
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Otero County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Otero</b>	133	159	149	114	37

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Otero County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	9	10	5	2	2	19
<b>2010</b>	21	38	3	34	1	34
<b>2011</b>	20	28	5	31	2	28
<b>2012</b>	16	22	1	32	2	24
<b>2013</b>	6	7	0	8	0	12

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Otero County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	1	4	40	5	17	40
<b>2010</b>	2	6	4	14	18	91
<b>2011</b>	5	7	1		22	63
<b>2012</b>	2	2	2	15	20	68
<b>2013</b>	0	0	1	1	20	5

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Otero County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	90	40	5	7	3	5	1	19
<b>2010</b>	104	94	10	24	2	29	0	29
<b>2011</b>	71	69	8	12	4	23	1	21
<b>2012</b>	114	113	11	9	0	25	0	68
<b>2013</b>	37	17	2	3	0	3	0	9

## Quay County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Quay County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	6	7	13
2010	4	4	8
2011	1	3	4
2012	4	6	10
2013	3	7	10

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Quay County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Logan Police Department	1	0	0	0	0
Quay County Sheriff's Office	2	0	0	0	0
San Jon Police Department	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
State Police Tucumcari	1	4	1	2	0
Tucumcari Police Department	2	4	0	2	3
<b>County Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>

NR = San Jon Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Quay County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	6	6	6	17% (1)	33% (2)	50% (3)
2010	4	4	4	25% (1)	25% (1)	50% (2)
2011	1	1	1		100% (1)	
2012	4	4	4		50% (2)	50% (2)
2013	3	3	3	33% (1)	33% (1)	33% (1)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Quay County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	6	6	4	25% (1)	50% (2)	25% (1)
2010	4	4	3			100% (3)
2011	1	3	3		67% (2)	33% (1)
2012	4	4	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2013	3	3	3		33% (1)	67% (2)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Quay County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	6	6	100% (6)	
2010	4	4	75% (3)	25% (1)
2011	1	1	100% (1)	
2012	4	4	100% (4)	
2013	3	3	100% (3)	

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Quay County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	6	6		100% (6)
2010	4	4		100% (4)
2011	3	3	33% (1)	67% (2)
2012	4	1		100% (1)
2013	3	2		100% (2)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Quay County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	6	6	50% (3)	50% (3)				
2010	4	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				
2011	1	0						
2012	4	3	33% (1)	33% (1)		33% (1)		
2013	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)				

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Quay County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	6	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2010	4	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				
2011	3	1		100% (1)				
2012	4	2		50% (1)		50% (1)		
2013	3	0						

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Quay County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	4	4	100%	28%
2011	1	1	100%	28%
2012	NR	NR		30%
2013	3	1	33%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Quay County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Quay	40%	33%	0%	NR	NR
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Quay County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Quay	6	4	4	1	1

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Quay County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	0	3	1	0	0	2
2010	1	1	0	0	1	1
2011	0	0	0	1	0	1
2012	1	0	0	0	0	0
2013	0	0	0	0	0	1

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Quay County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	0	0	0	0	2	2
2011	0	0	0	0	0	2
2012	0	0	1	0	0	0
2013	0	0	0	0	0	1

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Quay County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	4	9	0	1	1	5	0	2
2010	3	3	1	1	0	0	0	1
2011	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
2012	1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
2013	1	9	2	3	0	3	0	1

## Rio Arriba County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	26	31	57
2010	20	49	69
2011	11	27	38
2012	10	51	61
2013	21	59	80

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Rio Arriba County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Espanola Police Department	9	19	8	7	4
Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Department	3	3	1	0	4
State Police Espanola	14	47	2	3	13
<b>County Total</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>21</b>

NR = Espanola Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	26	26	21		29% (6)	71% (15)
2010	20	21	18	11% (2)		89% (16)
2011	11	11	11	27% (3)	27% (3)	45% (5)
2012	10	10	10		30% (3)	70% (7)
2013	13	23	19	42% (8)	32% (6)	26% (5)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	26	22	11			100% (11)
2010	20	21	11			100% (11)
2011	11	11	8		13% (1)	88% (7)
2012	10	12	9		11% (1)	89% (8)
2013	21	22	12		25% (3)	75% (9)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	26	21	81% (17)	19% (4)
2010	21	19	95% (18)	5% (1)
2011	11	11	100% (11)	
2012	10	10	100% (10)	
2013	23	19	89% (17)	11% (2)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	22	4	25% (1)	75% (3)
2010	21	12	17% (2)	83% (10)
2011	11	11		100% (11)
2012	12	12	8% (1)	92% (11)
2013	22	18	11% (2)	89% (16)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	26	18	22% (4)	72% (13)	6% (1)			
2010	21	16	13% (2)	88% (14)				
2011	11	8		100% (8)				
2012	10	9	11% (1)	78% (7)	11% (1)			
2013	23	16	6% (1)	94% (15)				

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	22	13	8% (1)	77% (10)			15% (2)	
2010	21	11		100% (11)				
2011	11	10		80% (8)			20% (2)	
2012	12	8	13% (1)	63% (5)	13% (1)		13% (1)	
2013	22	12		100% (12)				

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	3	1	33%	29%
2010	4	2	50%	28%
2011	2	1	50%	28%
2012	1	1	100%	30%
2013	4	3	75%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Rio Arriba County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Rio Arriba	16%	45%	43%	40%	50%
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Rio Arriba County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Rio Arriba</b>	*	16	13	10	10

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Rio Arriba County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	2	9	0	0	0	2
<b>2011</b>	1	12	0	6	0	2
<b>2012</b>	0	4	0	6	0	0
<b>2013</b>	0	5	0	0	0	3

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Rio Arriba County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	2	9	0	0	0	2
<b>2011</b>	1	9	0	8	0	4
<b>2012</b>	0	3	0	5	0	2
<b>2013</b>	0	2	0	0	0	6

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Rio Arriba County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	2
<b>2011</b>	4	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
<b>2012</b>	10	4	0	0	0	2	0	2
<b>2013</b>	10	4	0	2	0	0	0	2

\*No Services Reported



## Roosevelt County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	15	8	23
2010	17	18	35
2011	13	10	23
2012	17	34	51
2013	10	21	31

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Roosevelt County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Portales Police Department	12	26	13	12	10
Roosevelt County Sheriff's Office	3	9	0	5	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>10</b>

NR = Roosevelt County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	15	15	9	22% (2)	44% (4)	33% (3)
2010	17	17	16	25% (4)	6% (1)	69% (11)
2011	13	13	13	31% (4)	54% (7)	15% (2)
2012	17	17	13	46% (6)	15% (2)	38% (5)
2013	10	10	10	10% (1)	50% (5)	40% (4)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	15	9	8		38% (3)	63% (5)
2010	17	17	15	7% (1)	13% (2)	80% (12)
2011	13	13	13		31% (4)	69% (9)
2012	17	17	10	10% (1)		90% (9)
2013	10	10	7		29% (2)	71% (5)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	15	9	100% (9)	
2010	17	16	94% (15)	6% (1)
2011	13	13	85% (11)	15% (2)
2012	17	12	67% (8)	33% (4)
2013	10	10	100% (10)	

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	9	3		100% (3)
2010	17	15		100% (15)
2011	13	10		100% (10)
2012	17	10	10% (1)	90% (9)
2013	10	10		100% (10)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	15	9	67% (6)	33% (3)				
2010	17	16	75% (12)	25% (4)				
2011	13	13	46% (6)	54% (7)				
2012	17	10	70% (7)	30% (3)				
2013	10	10	90% (9)	10% (1)				

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	9	8	25% (2)	75% (6)				
2010	17	15	67% (10)	33% (5)				
2011	13	13	31% (4)	69% (9)				
2012	17	12	75% (9)	25% (3)				
2013	10	7	71% (5)	29% (2)				

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	3	2	67%	29%
2010	4	1	25%	28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	7	1	14%	30%
2013	10	2	20%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Roosevelt County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Roosevelt	40%	80%	70%	57%	10%
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Roosevelt County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Roosevelt	*	5	4	20	24

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Roosevelt County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	0	0	0	1	0	1
2011	0	4	0	3	0	13
2012	3	3	0	3	0	6
2013	2	3	0	2	3	11

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Roosevelt County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	0	0	0	1	0	1
2011	0	1	0	0	0	21
2012	1	0	0	0	2	11
2013	2	0	0	1	3	15

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Roosevelt County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
2011	0	15	0	2	0	3	0	10
2012	20	20	3	3	0	3	0	11
2013	24	13	1	2	0	1	2	7

\*No Services Reported

## San Juan County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in San Juan County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	144	195	339
2010	152	174	326
2011	142	157	299
2012	147	152	299
2013	91	108	199

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in San Juan County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Aztec Police Department	3	11	2	5	NR
Bloomfield Police Department	9	29	9	11	8
Farmington Police Department	78	118	53	55	34
San Juan County Sheriff's Office	52	166	76	75	48
State Police Farmington	2	2	2	1	1
<b>County Total</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>91</b>

NR = Aztec PD Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Juan County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	144	144	65	25% (16)	38% (25)	37% (24)
2010	152	155	106	31% (33)	37% (39)	32% (34)
2011	142	144	102	28% (29)	29% (30)	42% (43)
2012	147	147	51	39% (20)	22% (11)	39% (20)
2013	83	93	50	26% (13)	26% (13)	48% (24)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Juan County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	144	146	52	2% (1)	23% (12)	75% (39)
2010	152	138	81	10% (8)	21% (17)	69% (56)
2011	142	144	79	1% (1)	14% (11)	85% (67)
2012	147	108	40	8% (3)	18% (7)	75% (30)
2013	91	97	41	2% (1)	20% (8)	78% (32)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Juan County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	144	65	94% (61)	6% (4)
2010	155	106	85% (90)	15% (16)
2011	144	106	81% (86)	19% (20)
2012	147	51	78% (40)	22% (11)
2013	93	50	84% (42)	16% (8)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Juan County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	146	68	7% (5)	93% (63)
2010	138	100	3% (3)	97% (97)
2011	144	96	1% (1)	99% (95)
2012	108	48	4% (2)	96% (46)
2013	97	45	9% (4)	91% (41)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Juan County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	144	62	50% (31)	27% (17)	23% (14)			
2010	155	104	35% (36)	28% (29)	35% (36)	1% (1)	2% (2)	
2011	144	97	35% (34)	26% (25)	39% (38)			
2012	147	47	34% (16)	43% (20)	19% (9)	2% (1)	2% (1)	
2013	93	48	29% (14)	17% (8)	54% (26)			

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Juan County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	146	62	32% (20)	40% (25)	26% (16)			2% (1)
2010	138	83	36% (30)	29% (24)	35% (29)			
2011	144	80	35% (28)	31% (25)	28% (22)		6% (5)	
2012	108	38	50% (19)	32% (12)	18% (7)			
2013	97	35	43% (15)	23% (8)	31% (11)		3% (1)	

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Juan County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	24	1	4%	28%
2011	30	5	17%	28%
2012	NR	NR		30%
2013	19	4	21%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in San Juan County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
San Juan	23%	13%	24%	38%	12%
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in San Juan County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>San Juan</b>	211	193	189	238	192

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in San Juan County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	7	11	3	2	2	26
<b>2010</b>	15	51	2	37	3	77
<b>2011</b>	73	123	15	64	7	98
<b>2012</b>	14	68	3	35	6	88
<b>2013</b>	20	60	1	31	1	64

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in San Juan County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	7	12	29	5	8	29
<b>2010</b>	11	41	4	28	5	97
<b>2011</b>	17	50	7	43	38	207
<b>2012</b>	6	46	2	33	20	129
<b>2013</b>	7	12	1	5	7	33

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in San Juan County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	174	48	5	11	3	3	2	24
<b>2010</b>	164	162	11	41	1	32	3	74
<b>2011</b>	287	272	24	89	12	52	6	89
<b>2012</b>	238	212	7	41	2	28	5	129
<b>2013</b>	192	52	8	11	1	5	1	26

## San Miguel County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	23	31	54
2010	24	50	74
2011	22	36	58
2012	17	15	32
2013	19	29	48

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in San Miguel County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Las Vegas Police Department	14	28	12	14	13
State Police Las Vegas	9	46	10	3	6
<b>County Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>19</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	23	25	20	10% (2)	40% (8)	50% (10)
2010	24	25	20	25% (5)	20% (4)	55% (11)
2011	22	25	23	13% (3)	43% (10)	43% (10)
2012	17	17	15	60% (9)	7% (1)	33% (5)
2013	12	19	16		25% (4)	75% (12)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	23	22	15		20% (3)	80% (12)
2010	24	24	12			100% (12)
2011	22	22	10		20% (2)	80% (8)
2012	17	17	11	9% (1)	18% (2)	73% (8)
2013	19	20	7			100% (7)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	25	21	95% (20)	5% (1)
2010	25	21	86% (18)	14% (3)
2011	25	24	71% (17)	29% (7)
2012	17	15	87% (13)	13% (2)
2013	19	16	88% (14)	13% (2)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	22	21	10% (2)	90% (19)
2010	24	15	7% (1)	93% (14)
2011	22	19	16% (3)	84% (16)
2012	17	13		100% (13)
2013	20	10		100% (10)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	25	19	5% (1)	89% (17)	5% (1)			
2010	25	21	19% (4)	76% (16)	5% (1)			
2011	25	23	17% (4)	70% (16)	4% (1)	4% (1)	4% (1)	
2012	17	16	25% (4)	75% (12)				
2013	19	16		94% (15)	6% (1)			

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	22	19		95% (18)			5% (1)	
2010	24	12		100% (12)				
2011	22	12		83% (10)			17% (2)	
2012	17	12		100% (12)				
2013	20	7	14% (1)	86% (6)				

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	9	3	33%	29%
2010	2	1	50%	28%
2011	1	1	100%	28%
2012	4	2	50%	30%
2013	11	5	45%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in San Miguel County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
San Miguel	9%	30%	11%	0%	89%
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%



**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in San Miguel County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
San Miguel	47	70	68	18	9

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in San Miguel County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	5	5	2	1	1	13
2010	3	17	1	10	0	20
2011	6	17	2	9	2	7
2012	1	1	1	3	1	5
2013	2	1	1	2	0	2

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in San Miguel County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	2	0	18	3	9	18
2010	0	0	0	3	13	50
2011	0	1	0	1	13	36
2012	0	0	0	0	4	14
2013	0	0	0	0	3	6

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in San Miguel County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	29	20	3	2	2	2	0	11
2010	37	36	2	8	1	7	0	18
2011	25	24	4	7	2	5	1	5
2012	18	20	0	1	2	2	1	14
2013	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

## Sandoval County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	24	13	37
2010	41	2	43
2011	52	6	58
2012	106	9	115
2013	17	10	27

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Sandoval County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Bernalillo Police Department	5	4	2	6	9
Corrales Police Department	1	1	1	0	0
Cuba Police Department	0	0	0	0	2
Rio Rancho Department of Public Safety	15	38	43	44	NR
Sandoval County Sheriff's Office	3	NR	6	56	6
<b>County Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>17</b>

NR = Sandoval County Sheriff's Office, Rio Rancho DPS Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	24	24	9	33% (3)	22% (2)	44% (4)
2010	41	41	11	18% (2)	64% (7)	18% (2)
2011	52	52	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2012	106	106	8	38% (3)	50% (4)	13% (1)
2013	13	17	8	13% (1)	38% (3)	50% (4)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	24	24	8	25% (2)	25% (2)	50% (4)
2010	41	41	6		33% (2)	67% (4)
2011	52	52	2			100% (2)
2012	106	105	6		50% (3)	50% (3)
2013	17	17	7		14% (1)	86% (6)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	24	9	89% (8)	11% (1)
2010	41	23	100% (23)	
2011	52	2	100% (2)	
2012	106	8	100% (8)	
2013	17	8	88% (7)	13% (1)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	24	9		100% (9)
2010	41	12		100% (12)
2011	52	2		100% (2)
2012	105	7		100% (7)
2013	17	7	14% (1)	86% (6)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	24	9	22% (2)	56% (5)	11% (1)			11% (1)
2010	41	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2011	52	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2012	106	8		25% (2)	75% (6)			
2013	17	8	13% (1)	75% (6)	13% (1)			

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	24	8	13% (1)	75% (6)	13% (1)			
2010	41	3	33% (1)	33% (1)	33% (1)			
2011	52	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2012	105	7	14% (1)	43% (3)	29% (2)		14% (1)	
2013	17	7	14% (1)	71% (5)	14% (1)			

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	6	3	50%	29%
2010	2	2	100%	28%
2011	1	1	100%	28%
2012	NR	NR		30%
2013	NR	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Sandoval County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Sandoval	0%	0%	0%	33%	25%
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Sandoval County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Sandoval	22	18	17	6	3

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Sandoval County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	2	3	1	1	1	7
2010	2	2	0	1	0	9
2011	2	1	0	6	0	1
2012	0	0	2	1	0	2
2013	0	0	0	2	0	1

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Sandoval County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	0	0	13	1	4	13
2010	0	0	0	0	4	12
2011	0	0	0	1	2	8
2012	0	0	0	0	1	3
2013	0	0	0	0	0	3

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Sandoval County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	20	18	2	3	1	5	1	6
2010	11	11	0	1	0	1	0	9
2011	4	4	0	0	0	3	0	1
2012	6	6	0	0	2	1	0	3
2013	3	3	0	0	0	2	0	1

## Santa Fe County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	59	121	180
2010	83	85	168
2011	59	92	151
2012	81	85	166
2013	85	62	147

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Santa Fe County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Edgewood Police Department	1	0	NR	NR	NR
Pojoaque Tribal Police Department	0	0	0	0	3
Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department	21	32	21	19	24
Santa Fe Police Department	25	86	22	50	45
State Police Santa Fe	12	50	16	12	13
<b>County Total</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>85</b>

NR = Pojoaque Tribal Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	59	60	57	18% (10)	19% (11)	63% (36)
2010	83	84	33	6% (2)	33% (11)	61% (20)
2011	59	59	13	31% (4)	23% (3)	46% (6)
2012	81	81	36	81% (29)	3% (1)	17% (6)
2013	82	85	41	80% (33)	5% (2)	15% (6)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	59	60	38	8% (3)	8% (3)	84% (32)
2010	83	79	21		14% (3)	86% (18)
2011	59	59	8		13% (1)	88% (7)
2012	81	77	5		20% (1)	80% (4)
2013	85	84	6		17% (1)	83% (5)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	60	58	88% (51)	12% (7)
2010	84	33	82% (27)	18% (6)
2011	59	14	93% (13)	7% (1)
2012	81	10	70% (7)	30% (3)
2013	85	13	85% (11)	15% (2)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	60	60	7% (4)	93% (56)
2010	79	27	4% (1)	96% (26)
2011	59	9	22% (2)	78% (7)
2012	77	7	14% (1)	86% (6)
2013	84	9		100% (9)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	60	55	36% (20)	56% (31)	5% (3)	2% (1)		
2010	84	31	39% (12)	61% (19)				
2011	59	12	17% (2)	75% (9)		8% (1)		
2012	81	5	20% (1)	20% (1)		40% (2)	20% (1)	
2013	85	10	30% (3)	60% (6)		10% (1)		

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	60	43	28% (12)	65% (28)	5% (2)		2% (1)	
2010	79	22	14% (3)	77% (17)			9% (2)	
2011	59	7		100% (7)				
2012	77	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2013	84	5		80% (4)		20% (1)		

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	37	11	30%	29%
2010	23	3	13%	28%
2011	11	3	27%	28%
2012	5	1	20%	30%
2013	13	3	23%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Santa Fe County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Santa Fe</b>	5%	5%	0%	NR	NR
<b>NM</b>	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Santa Fe County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Santa Fe</b>	190	322	303	278	376

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Santa Fe County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	8	12	2	4	4	30
<b>2010</b>	25	83	7	47	3	92
<b>2011</b>	27	83	14	56	9	108
<b>2012</b>	34	66	6	45	6	68
<b>2013</b>	34	54	15	67	9	88

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Santa Fe County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	4	7	45	4	14	45
<b>2010</b>	5	28	4	16	40	210
<b>2011</b>	3	20	0	22	67	270
<b>2012</b>	4	14	4	13	52	185
<b>2013</b>	2	5	2	5	35	55

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Santa Fe County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	135	49	5	9	1	5	1	28
<b>2010</b>	141	141	8	24	7	36	0	66
<b>2011</b>	199	178	8	31	9	34	5	91
<b>2012</b>	278	258	17	22	0	28	6	185
<b>2013</b>	376	59	5	7	3	5	7	32

## Sierra County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Sierra County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	0	0	0
2010	6	3	9
2011	2	4	6
2012	4	8	12
2013	2	16	18

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Sierra County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Sierra County Sheriff's Office	0	1	1	0	1
Truth or Consequences Police Department	NR	8	1	4	1
<b>County Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>

NR = Truth or Consequences Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sierra County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	0	0	0			
2010	6	6	0			
2011	2	2	1		100% (1)	
2012	4	4	1	100% (1)		
2013	1	2	1	100% (1)		

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sierra County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	0	0	0			
2010	6	6	0			
2011	2	2	1			100% (1)
2012	4	4	0			
2013	2	2	1			100% (1)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sierra County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	0	0		
2010	6	0		
2011	2	1	100% (1)	
2012	4	0		
2013	2	1	100% (1)	



**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sierra County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	0	0		
2010	6	0		
2011	2	1		100% (1)
2012	4	0		
2013	2	1		100% (1)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sierra County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	0	0						
2010	6	0						
2011	2	1	100% (1)					
2012	4	0						
2013	2	1	100% (1)					

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sierra County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2009	0	0						
2010	6	0						
2011	2	1	100% (1)					
2012	4	0						
2013	2	1	100% (1)					

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sierra County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	NR	NR		30%
2013	1	1	100%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Sierra County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Sierra	NR	NR	NR	NR	100%
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Sierra County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Sierra	*	*	*	*	20

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Sierra County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*
2012	*	*	*	*	*	*
2013	3	5	2	5	0	4

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Sierra County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	*	*	*	*	*	*
2012	*	*	*	*	*	*
2013	0	1	0	1	5	10

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Sierra County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2009	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2011	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2012	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2013	20	9	2	2	0	1	0	4

\*No Services Reported

## Socorro County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Socorro County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	7	14	21
2010	9	25	34
2011	8	25	33
2012	9	37	46
2013	4	5	9

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Socorro County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Socorro County Sheriff's Department	2	14	NR	NR	NR
Socorro Police Department	3	11	3	2	0
State Police Socorro	2	9	5	7	4
<b>County Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>

NR = Socorro County Sheriff's Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Socorro County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	7	7	5		100% (5)	
2010	9	10	3	33% (1)	33% (1)	33% (1)
2011	8	9	6	17% (1)	67% (4)	17% (1)
2012	9	9	6	33% (2)	17% (1)	50% (3)
2013	3	4	2	50% (1)	50% (1)	

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Socorro County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	7	6	4			100% (4)
2010	9	9	3		33% (1)	67% (2)
2011	8	8	4		50% (2)	50% (2)
2012	9	6	3		33% (1)	67% (2)
2013	4	4	0			

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Socorro County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	7	5	100% (5)	
2010	10	3	67% (2)	33% (1)
2011	9	6	100% (6)	
2012	9	7	100% (7)	
2013	4	2	50% (1)	50% (1)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Socorro County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	6	5		100% (5)
2010	9	3		100% (3)
2011	8	4		100% (4)
2012	6	4		100% (4)
2013	4	1		100% (1)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Socorro County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	7	3		100% (3)				
2010	10	0						
2011	9	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				
2012	9	3	67% (2)	33% (1)				
2013	4	0						

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Socorro County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	6	4		100% (4)				
2010	9	1		100% (1)				
2011	8	2		100% (2)				
2012	6	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2013	4	0						

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Socorro County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	1	1	100%	28%
2011	1	1	100%	28%
2012	6	2	33%	30%
2013	NR	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Socorro County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Socorro	17%	50%	NR	NR	NR
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Socorro County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Socorro</b>	*	40	40	12	6

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Socorro County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	5	13	0	6	1	5
<b>2011</b>	1	5	0	6	0	1
<b>2012</b>	0	5	0	4	0	2
<b>2013</b>	0	3	0	2	0	1

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Socorro County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	1	0	0	4	6	29
<b>2011</b>	0	1	0	2	1	11
<b>2012</b>	0	0	0	2	0	9
<b>2013</b>	0	0	0	0	0	6

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Socorro County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	17	17	1	7	0	5	1	3
<b>2011</b>	0	7	0	3	0	3	0	1
<b>2012</b>	12	16	0	3	0	4	0	9
<b>2013</b>	6	3	0	0	0	2	0	1

\*No Services Reported

## Taos County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Taos County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	17	38	55
2010	10	23	33
2011	9	23	32
2012	11	23	34
2013	4	19	23

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Taos County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Questa Police Department	1	0	0	0	0
Red River Marshal's Office	0	1	1	0	0
State Police Taos	9	24	6	3	1
Taos Police Department	7	8	2	8	3
Taos Pueblo Police Department	0	0	0	NR	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>

NR = Taos Pueblo Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Taos County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	17	18	18	50% (9)	11% (2)	39% (7)
2010	10	10	6	17% (1)		83% (5)
2011	9	10	9	22% (2)	33% (3)	44% (4)
2012	11	11	9		33% (3)	67% (6)
2013	1	4	4	25% (1)	50% (2)	25% (1)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Taos County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	17	13	10	10% (1)	30% (3)	60% (6)
2010	10	10	5	20% (1)		80% (4)
2011	9	13	11	36% (4)	9% (1)	55% (6)
2012	11	11	10		40% (4)	60% (6)
2013	4	4	3		33% (1)	67% (2)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Taos County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	18	18	89% (16)	11% (2)
2010	10	8	75% (6)	25% (2)
2011	10	9	78% (7)	22% (2)
2012	11	8	88% (7)	13% (1)
2013	4	4	100% (4)	

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Taos County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	13	13		100% (13)
2010	10	7	14% (1)	86% (6)
2011	13	11		100% (11)
2012	11	11		100% (11)
2013	4	4		100% (4)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Taos County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	18	16	44% (7)	50% (8)	6% (1)			
2010	10	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2011	10	9	44% (4)	56% (5)				
2012	11	9	22% (2)	78% (7)				
2013	4	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Taos County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	13	11	18% (2)	73% (8)	9% (1)			
2010	10	5	80% (4)	20% (1)				
2011	13	11	64% (7)	36% (4)				
2012	11	10		80% (8)	10% (1)		10% (1)	
2013	4	2		100% (2)				

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Taos County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	8	3	38%	29%
2010	7	3	43%	28%
2011	2	1	50%	28%
2012	7	4	57%	30%
2013	2	2	100%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Taos County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Taos</b>	6%	0%	0%	33%	NR
<b>NM</b>	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Taos County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Taos</b>	77	103	88	67	87

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Taos County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	4	10	1	0	0	18
<b>2010</b>	7	25	0	17	1	36
<b>2011</b>	2	7	1	2	19	0
<b>2012</b>	8	27	2	12	0	16
<b>2013</b>	5	26	1	14	1	28

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Taos County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	3	6	29	4	6	29
<b>2010</b>	7	15	0	5	1	54
<b>2011</b>	1	2	2	4	2	26
<b>2012</b>	7	16	1	7	2	32
<b>2013</b>	5	8	0	4	2	28

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Taos County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	63	30	2	9	0	0	3	16
<b>2010</b>	64	62	6	13	0	14	1	28
<b>2011</b>	28	28	1	2	1	7	2	15
<b>2012</b>	67	58	3	11	1	11	0	32
<b>2013</b>	87	23	0	6	0	5	1	11



## Torrance County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Torrance County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	7	19	26
2010	5	9	14
2011	12	18	30
2012	6	10	16
2013	7	7	14

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Torrance County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Estancia Police Department	0	0	2	2	0
Moriarty Police Department	2	0	1	2	0
State Police Moriarty	1	6	0	0	1
Torrance County Sheriff's Department	4	8	9	2	6
<b>County Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Torrance County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	7	7	7	43% (3)	29% (2)	29% (2)
2010	5	5	4	50% (2)	25% (1)	25% (1)
2011	12	13	13	46% (6)	38% (5)	15% (2)
2012	6	6	4	50% (2)		50% (2)
2013	5	7	7	14% (1)	29% (2)	57% (4)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Torrance County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	7	7	6	17% (1)	17% (1)	67% (4)
2010	5	5	5			100% (5)
2011	12	13	13		38% (5)	62% (8)
2012	6	6	4	25% (1)		75% (3)
2013	7	7	6		33% (2)	67% (4)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Torrance County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	7	7	86% (6)	14% (1)
2010	5	2	100% (2)	
2011	13	9	78% (7)	22% (2)
2012	6	4	75% (3)	25% (1)
2013	7	7	100% (7)	

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Torrance County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	7	4		100% (4)
2010	5	5		100% (5)
2011	13	9		100% (9)
2012	6	4		100% (4)
2013	7	7		100% (7)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Torrance County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	7	6	50% (3)	33% (2)	17% (1)			
2010	5	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2011	13	13	62% (8)	31% (4)			8% (1)	
2012	6	4	75% (3)	25% (1)				
2013	7	7	86% (6)	14% (1)				

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Torrance County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	7	6	67% (4)	33% (2)				
2010	5	5	40% (2)	60% (3)				
2011	13	12	42% (5)	58% (7)				
2012	6	4	25% (1)	75% (3)				
2013	7	6	100% (6)					

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Torrance County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	3	2	67%	29%
2010	5	3	60%	28%
2011	4	2	50%	28%
2012	NR	NR		30%
2013	4	1	25%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Torrance County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Torrance	75%	50%	27%	NR	50%
NM	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Torrance County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Torrance</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Torrance County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Torrance County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Torrance County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Union County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Union County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	1	3	4
2010	NR	NR	NR
2011	1	3	4
2012	6	11	17
2013	2	9	11

NR = Law Enforcement Did Not Report

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Union County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Clayton Police Department	1	NR	1	6	2
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>NR</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>

NR = Clayton Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Union County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	1	1	0			
2010	NR	NR	NR			
2011	1	1	0			
2012	6	6	1			100% (1)
2013	2	2	0			

NR = Law Enforcement Did Not Report

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Union County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	1	1	0			
2010	NR	NR	NR			
2011	1	1	0			
2012	6	6	1			100% (1)
2013	2	2	0			

NR = Age of CSP Offender Not Reported

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Union County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	1	0		
2010	NR	NR		
2011	1	0		
2012	6	1	100% (1)	
2013	2	0		

NR = Number CSP Victims and/or Victim Gender Not Reported

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Union County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	1	0		
2010	NR	NR		
2011	1	0		
2012	6	1		100% (1)
2013	2	0		

NR = Number of CSP Offenders and/or Offender Gender Not Reported

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Union County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	1	0						
2010	NR	NR						
2011	1	0						
2012	6	0						
2014	2	0						

NR = Race/Ethnicity Not Reported

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Union County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	1	0						
2010	NR	NR						
2011	1	0						
2012	6	1		100% (1)				
2013	2	0						

NR = Race/Ethnicity Not Reported

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Union County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	NR	NR		29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	1	1	100%	30%
2013	NR	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Union County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Union</b>	NR	NR	NR	0%	NR
<b>NM</b>	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Union County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Union</b>	*	*	*	*	7

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Union County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	0	2	0	2	0	1

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Union County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	0	0	0	1	0	5

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Union County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2010</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2011</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2012</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2013</b>	7	4	0	2	0	1	0	1

\*No Services Reported

## Valencia County Sex Crimes Trends, 2009-2013

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Valencia County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2009	25	47	72
2010	5	10	15
2011	1	5	6
2012	3	4	7
2013	1	1	2

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Valencia County

Law Enforcement Agency	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Belen Police Department	7	6	1	2	0
Bosque Farms Police Department	1	1	0	1	0
Los Lunas Police Department	8	8	NR	NR	NR
Peralta, Village of	2	0	0	0	1
Valencia County Sheriff's Department	7	NR	NR	NR	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>

NR = Los Lunas Police Department and Valencia County Sheriff's Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Valencia County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2009	25	25	12	25% (3)	25% (3)	50% (6)
2010	5	5	5		20% (1)	80% (4)
2011	1	1	1			100% (1)
2012	3	4	4	75% (3)	25% (1)	
2013	1	2	2	100% (2)		

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Valencia County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2009	25	24	9		11% (1)	89% (8)
2010	5	5	2			100% (2)
2011	1	1	1			100% (1)
2012	3	3	3	33% (1)	33% (1)	33% (1)
2013	1	1	1		100% (1)	

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Valencia County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2009	25	12	75% (9)	25% (3)
2010	5	5	100% (5)	
2011	1	1	100% (1)	
2012	4	3	33% (1)	67% (2)
2013	2	2	100% (2)	

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Valencia County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2009	24	11		100% (11)
2010	5	5		100% (5)
2011	1	1		100% (1)
2012	3	3		100% (3)
2013	1	1		100% (1)

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Valencia County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2009	25	12	50% (6)	50% (6)				
2010	5	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2011	1	1	100% (1)					
2012	4	4	25% (1)	75% (3)				
2013	2	2		100% (2)				

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Valencia County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2009	24	8	13% (1)	88% (7)				
2010	5	4		100% (4)				
2011	1	0						
2012	3	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				
2013	1	1		100% (1)				

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Valencia County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2009	2	1	50%	29%
2010	NR	NR		28%
2011	NR	NR		28%
2012	NR	NR		30%
2013	NR	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported



**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Valencia County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Valencia</b>	43%	0%	NR	NR	NR
<b>NM</b>	11%	15%	12%	15%	12%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2009-2013, in Valencia County**

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Valencia</b>	2	1	1	1	11

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Valencia County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	0	1	0	0	0	0
<b>2010</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>2011</b>	2	3	1	5	0	5
<b>2012</b>	0	1	0	0	0	0
<b>2013</b>	0	1	0	0	0	9

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Valencia County**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>2010</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>2011</b>	1	0	0	1	2	13
<b>2012</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>2013</b>	0	1	0	0	0	7

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Valencia County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2009</b>	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
<b>2010</b>	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>2011</b>	11	11	1	1	0	4	0	5
<b>2012</b>	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>2013</b>	11	7	0	0	0	0	0	7